

For office use only		
Reference number C1054	Date received 07/12/2006	
<b>Sustainable Resource Management in Shropshire (Minerals and Waste Development Framework)</b>		
<b>Core Strategy Development Plan Document Submission</b>		
<b>Representation Form</b>		

This form is to allow you to make representations in support or objection to the Council's Submission Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD). Representations made will be considered by an independent planning inspector at an examination who will consider whether or not the DPD is a 'sound' document. In doing this the inspector will consider whether the DPD satisfies a number of 'tests of soundness'. The nine 'tests of soundness' are included as an annex to this form.

**Please note:**

You should base your responses on how far the DPD satisfies the 'tests of soundness'. A 'Guidance Note on Making Representations' accompanies this form which provides more information all nine 'tests of soundness'.

Use a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make on each policy, paragraph, table or map.

**Representations can be made within a six week period between Friday 27 October and Friday 8 December 2006. Any representations made after this date cannot be considered.**

If you would like to discuss your representation with the council before you submit it please contact us as indicated below.

**How to Contact the Council**

Post: Shropshire County Council Economy and Environment (Sustainability Group) Shire Hall, Abbey Foregate Shrewsbury SY2 6ND	E-mail: <a href="mailto:sustainability@shropshire-cc.gov.uk">sustainability@shropshire-cc.gov.uk</a>
	Telephone: 01743 252510
	Fax: 01743 252505
	Website: <a href="http://www.shropshire.gov.uk/planning.nsf">www.shropshire.gov.uk/planning.nsf</a>

If you can read this but know someone who can't, please contact us on (01743) 252826 so we can provide this information in a more suitable format.

## 1. Your Contact Details

Name	KEN HOBDEN	Job Title	Director of Planning (Designate)
Organisation	Quarry Products Association		
Address	38 – 44 Gillingham Street London		
Postcode	SW1V 1HU	Daytime phone no	
E-mail address			
Are you an agent, and if so for which organisation?	NO		

Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the County Council earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, ie before it was submitted for examination?

No  Yes (at issues and alternative options stage)  Yes (at preferred options stage)

If 'No', can you briefly explain why you did not do so:

Not sure – earlier response not to hand

2. Do you consider the DPD is sound or unsound. If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to (having regard to test numbers 1-9 listed in the Annex).

*Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan document fails.*

Sound<sup>1</sup>  
(ie you support the DPD)

Unsound

Soundness Test number  
(see Annex)

3. To which part of the DPD does your representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Table or map

<sup>1</sup> Sound may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of “showing good judgement” and “able to be trusted” and within the context of fulfilling the expectations of legislation.

4. If you consider the document to be **unsound** please give details of what **change(s)** you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question two above. You will need to say **why** this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

These should be updated to reflect the fact that MPS1 has been published and MPG1 and MPG6 have consequently been cancelled. Therefore it is not correct to state that all of the Mineral Planning Guidance Notes 1 – 15 are valid.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

5. Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Written representations

Participate at the oral examination

**Please note** equal weight will be given to written representations and oral representations by the Planning Inspector at the examination.

6. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Because at this stage we are unaware what other parties may submit as comments on the same issue which may require a further response by the QPA.

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

**Please note** that representations cannot be treated as confidential. Shropshire County Council must make copies of representations available for inspection at the places listed in the notice of submission, and publish them on their website where practicable, to enable individuals to identify those with similar representations. The Council will keep personal details so that those submitting representations can be informed of subsequent stages in the

preparation of the DPD and other planning documents. However, these details will not be used for additional purposes without consent.

<b>Annex : Failure to meet a Soundness Test</b>	
<b>1</b>	It has not been prepared in accordance with the authority's Local Development Scheme (LDS).
<b>2</b>	<b>A</b> It has not been prepared in compliance with the Statement of Community involvement (SCI).
	<b>B</b> Where no SCI exists, it has not been prepared in accordance with the minimum requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.
<b>3</b>	The plan and its policies have not been subject to sustainability appraisal.
<b>4</b>	<b>A</b> It is not a spatial plan, or it has not properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
	<b>B</b> It is inconsistent with national planning policy.
	<b>C</b> It is not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy (or spatial development strategy in London).
<b>5</b>	It does not have regard to the authority's community strategy.
<b>6</b>	The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan are not coherent and consistent within and between Development Plan Documents (DPDs) prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.
<b>7</b>	The strategies/policies/allocations fail to represent the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
<b>8</b>	There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
<b>9</b>	The plan is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.