## Appendix I

## Ellesmere Place Plan Area Site Assessments

Published: December 2020

#### Site Assessment Process Overview

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. To inform the identification of proposed site allocations within the Local Plan Review, Shropshire Council has undertaken a comprehensive Site Assessment process. This site assessment process incorporates the assessment of sites undertaken within the Sustainability Appraisal of the Local Plan, recognising that the Sustainability Appraisal is an integral part of plan making, informing the development of vision, objectives and policies and site allocations.
- 1.2. Figure 1 summarises the key stages of the Site Assessment process undertaken, more detail on each of these stages is then provided:

Stage 1: Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) Stage 2a: Sustainability Appraisal Additional Sites Identified Through Consultation Stage 2b: Screening of Sites **Additional** Information Gained Through Consultation Stage 3: Detailed Assessment of Sites

Figure 1: Site Assessment Process

#### Stage 1: The Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA)

Stage 1 consisted of a strategic screen and review of sites.

Following the completion of the SLAA, further sites were promoted for consideration through the consultation and engagement process. Where possible these sites have been included within Stages 2a, 2b and 3 of the Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessment process.

**Site Assessment Process** 

Following the completion of the SLAA, further information was achieved through the consultation and engagement process. Where possible this information has been considered within Stages 2a, 2b and 3 of the Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessment process.

Stage 2a: Sustainability Appraisal	Stage 2a consisted of the assessment of the performance of sites against the objectives identified within the Sustainability Appraisal.
Stage 2b: Screening of Sites	Stage 2b consisted of a screening exercise informed by consideration of a sites availability; size and whether there were obvious physical, heritage or environmental constraints present, based on the strategic assessment undertaken within the SLAA.
Stage 3: Detailed site review	Stage 3 consisted of a proportional and comprehensive assessment of sites informed by the sustainability appraisal and assessments undertaken by Highways; Heritage; Ecology; Trees; and Public Protection Officers; various technical studies, including a Landscape and Visual Sensitivity Study, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and Green Belt Assessment/Review where appropriate; consideration of infrastructure requirements and opportunities; consideration of other strategic considerations; and professional judgement.  This stage of assessment was an iterative process.

#### 2. The Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA)

- 2.1. Stage 1 of the Site Assessment process was undertaken within the SLAA. This involved a technical and very strategic assessment of the suitability; availability; and achievability (including viability) of land for housing and employment development. It represents a key component of the evidence base supporting the Shropshire Council Local Plan Review.
- 2.2. Please Note: Whilst the SLAA is an important technical document, it does not allocate land for development or include all locations where future housing and employment growth will occur. The SLAA ultimately provides information which will be investigated further through the plan-making process.

#### **Assessing Suitability:**

- 2.3. Suitability is the consideration of the appropriateness of a use or mix of uses on a site. However, it is not an assessment of what should or will be allocated / developed on a site. The SLAA includes a very strategic assessment of a site's suitability.
- 2.4. Determination of a sites strategic suitability was undertaken through consideration of numerous factors, including:
  - The sites consistency with the Local Plan.
  - The sites location and surroundings, including proximity to the development boundary/built form.
  - The sites boundaries and the extent to which these boundaries are defensible.
  - Site specific factors, including physical limitations to development, such as:
    - The topography of the site;
    - o The sites ground conditions;
    - The ability to access the site;
    - o Flood risk to the site or its immediate access;
    - The agricultural land quality of the site;
    - o Hazardous risks, pollution or contamination of the site;
    - Whether the site has overhead or underground infrastructure, such as pylons, water/gas pipes and electricity cables which may impact on development/levels of development;
    - Other physical constraints, which may impact on development/levels of development.
  - The potential impact on natural environment assets; heritage assets and geological features on and in proximity of the site\*. Including consideration of factors such as:
    - o The impact on internationally and nationally designated sites and assets;
    - o The impact on important trees and woodland, including ancient woodland; and
    - The impact on public open spaces.
  - Whether the site is located within the Green Belt.
  - · Legal covenants affecting the site.
  - Market/industry and community requirements in the area.

\*Historic environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise were: Conservation Areas, Registered Battlefields; World Heritage Sites and their buffers; Scheduled Monuments; Registered Parks and Gardens; and Listed Buildings. Sites were considered to be in proximity of an asset where they were within 300m of the site.

\*Natural environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise and the distance used to determine where a site was in proximity of an asset were: Trees subject to TPO Protection; (30m); Veteran Trees (30m); Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites (50m); Local Nature Reserves (100m); Local Wildlife Sites (250m); National Nature Reserves (500m); Sites of Special Scientific Interest (500m); Ancient Woodland (500m); Special Areas of Conservation (1km); Special Protection Areas (1km); and Ramsar Sites (1km).

It is accepted that the identification of these key historic and natural environment assets within a set distance of a site is only a useful starting point for consideration of potential impacts resulting from the development/redevelopment of a site and that a more holistic process is required when determining preferred site allocations. However, the SLAA represents a very strategic site assessment and only the first phase of a wider site assessment process. The selection of proposed allocations will be informed by a more holistic process by which sites are reviewed by relevant service areas to consider potential impacts on all assets.

It should also be noted that as the SLAA is a strategic assessment of individual sites it cannot include sequential/exception considerations and as such sites predominantly in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 or directly accessed through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 are not suitable. This applies precautionary principle as detailed information on extent of impact of flood risk on access is not available, the site would only be suitable for development if it is considered necessary (through the sequential and/or exception test), the risk can be mitigated and will not increase risk elsewhere. This consideration cannot be undertaken at the high level and individual site assessment stage.

#### 2.5. Reflecting upon the above factors:

- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was
  concluded that it has no known constraints or restrictions that would prevent
  development for a particular use or mix of uses, or these constraints could
  potentially be suitably overcome through mitigation\*, then it was viewed as being
  currently suitable subject to further detailed assessment for the particular
  use or mix of uses.
- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was concluded that a site did not currently comply with the Local Plan\*, but was located within or in proximity of a settlement potentially considered an appropriate location for sustainable development and was not known to have other constraints or restrictions that would prevent development for a particular use or mix of uses, or any known constraints could potentially be suitably overcome through mitigation\*\*, then it was viewed as being not currently suitable but future potential subject to further detailed assessment.
- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was
  concluded that a site was subject to known constraints and it was considered that
  such constraints cannot be suitably overcome through mitigation, then it was
  viewed as being not suitable.
- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was concluded that a site did not currently comply with the Local Plan, and was not located within or in proximity of a settlement potentially considered an appropriate location for sustainable development, then it was viewed as being **not suitable**.

\*As this is a very strategic assessment, where sites are currently contrary to Local Plan policy but are located within or in proximity of a settlement potentially considered an appropriate location for sustainable development, no judgement is made about whether such a change to policy would be appropriate, this is the role of the Local Plan Review.

\*\*As this is a very strategic assessment, where sites are subject to known constraints and it is considered that the constraints present could potentially be suitably overcome through mitigation, further detailed assessment will be required to confirm if such mitigation is effective and the impact of this mitigation on the developable area.

#### **Assessing Availability:**

2.6. Availability is the consideration of whether a site is considered available for a particular form of development. National Guidance defines availability as follows: "A site is considered available for development, when, on the best information available (confirmed by the call for sites and information from land owners and legal searches where appropriate), there is confidence that there are no legal or ownership problems, such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational

requirements of landowners. This will often mean that the land is controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop, or the landowner has expressed an intention to sell".

- 2.7. Within the SLAA, sites were generally considered to be available where they had been actively promoted for the relevant use during:
  - The 'Call for Sites' exercise;
  - The Local Plan Review; or
  - Preparation of the current Local Plan (Core Strategy and SAMDev Plan).

#### 2.8. Or where:

- There has been a recent Planning Application (whether successful or not) for the relevant use; or
- Officers have particular knowledge about a site's availability.

#### Assessing Achievability (including Viability)

2.9. As this SLAA is a very strategic assessment, Shropshire Council has used very general assumptions to inform its assessment of the achievability and viability of a site. A more detailed assessment of viability and deliverability will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.

#### Conclusion

- 2.10. Once the assessment of a site's development potential; suitability; availability; and achievability (including viability) was undertaken and conclusions reached on each of these categories, an overall conclusion was reached.
- 2.11. Sites were effectively divided into three categories, these were:

#### • Rejected sites:

- o The site is considered unsuitable; and/or
- o The site is considered to be unavailable; and/or
- o The site is considered unachievable/unviable.

#### • Long Term Potential - Subject to Further Detailed Assessment:

- The site is considered to be not currently suitable but may have future potential subject to further detailed assessment; and/or
- o There is uncertainty about the sites availability; and/or
- o There is uncertainty about the sites achievability/viability.

#### Accepted - Subject to Further Detailed Assessment:

- The site is considered currently suitable subject to further detailed assessment;
   and
- o The site is considered available; and
- The site is considered achievable/viable.
- 2.12. Various data sources were used to identify sites for consideration within the SLAA, including existing Local Plan Allocations (including proposals within adopted and emerging Neighbourhood Plans); Planning Application records; Local Authority land ownership records; a 'Call for Sites'; and sites identified within previous Strategic Housing Land Availability (SHLAA) exercises. Ultimately, around 2,000 sites were considered within the SLAA process.

#### 3. Sustainability Appraisal (SA)

3.1. Stage 2a of the Site Assessment process consisted of the analysis of the performance of sites against the Sustainability Objectives identified within the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report. The Sustainability Appraisal and Site Assessment Environmental Report illustrates how these Sustainability Objectives relate to the SEA Directive and the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CLG, NPPG – HELAA, Paragraph 020, Reference ID 3-020-20140306, Last updated 06/03/2014

- 3.2. The Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report describes how the Sustainability Objectives have been adapted to allow for the sustainability appraisal of sites. Information on implementation and further adaptations in response to practical issues and comments received during the Local Plan preparation process is given in the Sustainability Appraisal and Site Assessment Environmental Report. The aim throughout was to ensure the allocation of the most sustainable sites and where a less sustainable option was chosen for valid and justifiable planning reasons, to suggest mitigation measures to offset any identified significant negative impact.
- 3.3. The Sustainability Appraisal scoring system was adapted for the Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal to allow for clear comparisons between the sustainability of several sites in the same vicinity. The scoring system also needed to provide a relatively straightforward result. Accordingly, it used the same positive, neutral and negative nomenclature as that for the Sustainability Appraisal of the options and policies. It differed however, in that each criterion is scored from only two options. These options varied between criteria to better reflect the purpose of Sustainability Appraisal.
- 3.4. The identified criteria and scoring system were translated into a matrix, to assess sites. The scoring was then colour coded to assist with interpretation as follows:

-	
0	
+	

- 2.23 Sites were assessed on a settlement by settlement basis e.g. all sites in Albrighton were assessed against each other. This was felt to be the best way of using the Sustainability Appraisal as it is intended namely to evaluate options (in this case all the sites promoted for development in each settlement) and use the outcomes to inform the site selection process for the Local Plan. All sites from the SLAA were assessed for each settlement and most of the assessment was carried out using GIS to populate the excel spreadsheet. Manual recording was used for those few instances where data was not available e.g. when a site was promoted after the data had already been exported from the GIS.
- 2.24 Once the Sustainability Appraisal matrix was complete, the negative and positive marks for each site were combined to give a numerical value. The lowest and highest values for that settlement were then used to determine a range. The range was then divided into three equal parts. Where three equal parts were not possible (for instance in a range of -8 to +4 = 13 points) the largest part was assigned to the higher end of the range (for instance -8 to -5 = 4 points, then -4 to -1 = 4 points and lastly 0 to +4 = 5 points). This was based on the assumption that there are likely to be more negative than positive scores.
- 2.25 Those sites in the lowest third of the range were rated as Poor, those in the middle third as Fair and those in the upper third as Good. A Poor rating was deemed to be the equivalent of significantly negative.
- 2.26 Completed matrices for each settlement are provided within Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal of this Appendix.

#### 4. Screening of Sites

4.1. Stage 2b of the Site Assessment process involved screening of identified sites. This screen was informed by consideration of a sites availability, size and whether there were obvious physical, heritage or environmental constraints present, based on the strategic assessment undertaken within the SLAA.

- 4.2. Specifically, sites did not proceed to Stage 3 of the site assessment process where:
  - There is uncertainty about whether the site is available for relevant forms of development. A site is generally considered to be available where they have been actively promoted for residential or mixed-use development during the preparation of the current Local Plan (Core Strategy and SAMDev Plan); during the most recent 'call for sites'; or during the ongoing Local Plan Review. It is also considered to be available for residential development where there has been a recent Planning Application for residential or mixed-use development on the site (whether successful or not); or where officers have particular knowledge about a sites availability.

Where relevant, a site is considered to be available for employment development where it has been actively promoted for employment or mixed-use development during the preparation of the current Local Plan (Core Strategy and SAMDev Plan); during the most recent 'call for sites'; or during the ongoing Local Plan Review. It is also considered to be available for employment development where there has been a recent Planning Application for employment or mixed-use development on the site (whether successful or not); or where officers have particular knowledge about a sites availability.

- The site is less than a specified site size (unless there is potential for allocation as part of a wider site). These site sizes are:
  - 0.2ha for Community Hubs (generally, sites of less than 0.2ha are unlikely to achieve 5 or more dwellings).
  - 0.2ha for Strategic/Principal/Key Centres within/partly within the Green Belt or Shropshire Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) (generally, sites of less than 0.2ha are unlikely to achieve 5 or more dwellings).
  - o 0.5ha for other Strategic/Principal/Key Centres.
- The strategic assessment of the site has identified a significant physical\*, heritage\*\* and/or environmental\*\* constraint identified within the strategic assessment of sites undertaken within the SLAA.

#### \*Significant physical constraints:

- 1. Where all or the majority of a site is located within Flood Zone 2 and/or 3 such that the site is considered undeliverable, it will not be 'screened out'. This is consistent with NPPF. Where a site can only be accessed through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 this will be subject to detailed consideration within Stage 3 of the site assessment process. The preference would be to avoid (sequential approach) such site, however in circumstances where other constraints mean that a site with access through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 is preferred for allocation, detailed assessment of the implications for an access through Flood Zone will be considered within Level 2 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. This distinction recognises the different approach taken within the NPPF and NPPG with regard to site suitability when located within Flood Zone 2 and/or 3 and establishing safe access through Flood Zone 2 and/or 3.
- 2. The majority of the site contains an identified open space.
- 3. The site can only be accessed through an identified open space.
- 4. The topography of the site is such that development could not occur (this has been very cautiously applied).
- 5. The site is separated from the built form of the settlement (unless the land separating the site from the built form is also promoted and will progress through this screening).
- 6. The site is landlocked/does not have a road frontage (unless another promoted site will progress through this screening and could provide the site a road frontage for this site).
- 7. The site is more closely associated with the built form of an alternative settlement

1. The majority of the site has been identified as a heritage asset. Historic environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise were: Conservation Areas, Registered Battlefields; World Heritage Sites and their buffers; Scheduled Monuments; Registered Parks and Gardens; and Listed Buildings. We acknowledge that there is no distinction

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significant natural environment/heritage constraints:

between direct impact on a heritage asset and impact on the setting of a heritage asset. However, this is an issue along with archaeological potential which requires specialist advice; this forms part of Stage 3 of the site assessment process.

2. The majority of the site has been identified as a natural environment asset. Natural environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise were: Trees subject to TPO Protection; Veteran Trees; Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites; Local Nature Reserves; Local Wildlife Sites; National Nature Reserves; Sites of Special Scientific Interest; Ancient Woodland; Special Areas of Conservation; Special Protection Areas; and Ramsar Sites.

#### Please Note:

Within the assessment, commentary is provided about the sites strategic suitability where a site was rejected within the SLAA.

Where a site met one or more of these criteria, the relevant criteria is highlighted within the assessment.

#### 5. Detailed Site Review

- 5.1. Stage 3 of the Site Assessment process considered those sites which were not 'screened out' of the assessment at Stage 2b. It involved a detailed review of sites and selection of proposed site allocations. This stage was informed by:
  - The results of Stage 1 of the Site Assessment process (which informs the assessment of sites).
  - The results of Stage 2a of the Site Assessment process (which informs the assessment of sites).
  - The results of Stage 2b of the Site Assessment process (which informs the site assessed).
  - Assessments undertaken by Highways\*; Heritage; Ecology; Tree; and Public Protection Officers. In undertaking detailed reviews of sites within stage 3 of the Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessment process, officers considered best available evidence\*\*, where necessary undertook site visits and applied professional judgement in order to provide commentary on each site.

\*The Highways Assessment included access to services for the Strategic, Principal and Key Centres, reflecting that these settlements are generally much larger than Community Hubs.

\*\*It should be noted that whilst the service area reviews were informed by the assessment of assets on and within proximity of the site undertaken within the SLAA process, they were not limited to consideration of these assets. The review was holistic in nature and in many instances identified additional assets which had not previously been identified. The commentary provided by the relevant service areas included a proportionate summary of:

- o The value/significance of any identified assets.
- o The relationship between the site and any identified assets.
- Potential impact on any identified assets resulting from development / redevelopment of the site
- If relevant, potential mechanisms for mitigating impact and/or recommendations on further assessment(s) required if the site is identified for allocation to inform the future development of the site.
  - Commissioned evidence base studies, including a Landscape and Visual Sensitivity Study; Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment; and Green Belt Review.
  - A Habitats Regulations Assessment.
  - Consideration of infrastructure requirements and opportunities.
  - Other strategic considerations\* and professional judgement.

\*Access through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 was given due consideration within Stage 3 of the site assessment. In circumstances where consideration of other constraints resulted in the identification of a preferred site which relies on access through Flood Zone 2 and/or 3, the ability to achieve safe access and egress was considered through a Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Only where the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment indicated that safe access and egress could be established has such a site been identified as a proposed site allocation.

- 5.2. This stage of assessment was an iterative process.
- 5.3. Once initial conclusions are reached within Stage 3 of the Site Assessment process, these were evaluated through Stage 2a of the site assessment process before proposals were finalised.

# Ellesmere Place Plan Area Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessments

Key Centre: Ellesmere

Criteria	Criteria Description	Scoring Guide	Site Ref: ELL001	Site Ref: ELL002	Site Ref: ELL003	Site Ref: ELL005	Site Ref: ELL006	Site Ref: ELL007	Site Ref: ELL008	Site Ref: ELL009	Site Ref: ELL010	Site Ref: ELL013	Site Ref: ELL015	Site Ref: ELL019
	Site wholly or partly within one or more of the following (record all that	apply):												
	Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ramsar Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	National Nature Reserve	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site of Special Scientific Interest	score ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ancient Woodland	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wildlife Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply)				_	_			_					
	1km of a Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1km of a Ramsar Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	500m of a National Nature Reserve	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500m of a Site of Special Scientific Interest	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500m of Ancient woodland	, ,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	250m of a Wildlife Site		-	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
	100m of a Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Tree Preservation Order (single or group) within or on site boundary	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	0	_	_
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No = zero score (0)	_						_					
	Site contains one or more (or part) of the following 2 (record all that app	ly):												
	Children's playground		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Outdoor sports facility	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Amenity green space	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of one or more of the following (record all t	hat apply):												
	Primary School		+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
	GP surgery		+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
_	Library(permanent or mobile library stop)	V /	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
5	Leisure centre	Yes = plus score (+)	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	Children's playground	No = minus score (-)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	Outdoor sports facility		+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Amenity green space		+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Site boundary within 480m³ of a public transport node with a regular	Yes = plus score (+)	+		+	+	+			+	+	_	+	_
U	service offered during peak travel times <sup>4</sup> :	No = minus score (-)	·		i i	·	i i						·	
7	Site wholly or partly on grade 1 or 2 or 3 agricultural land (best & most	Yes = minus score (-)												
/	versatile)	No = zero score (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0		Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ŏ	All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone (groundwater)	No = zero score (0)	0	0	"	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	U	0
^	All an and of the orito is within Florid Zones O and	Yes = minus score (-)		0	0		0			0	0	0	0	0
9	All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3	No = zero score (0)	-	U	"	-	U	-	-	U		0	"	
10	Site whell wheth within an Air Quality Management Area	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area	No = zero score (0)	U	0	"	0	U	0	0	0		0	0	0
44	Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an	Yes = plus score (+)			0	0	0	0			0		0	
11	area with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use	No = zero score (0)	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	U	+
40		Yes = minus score (-)	٥	_	_	_	•	_	_		_	_	_	
12	Site would displace an existing waste management operation	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site wholly/partly within/contains any of the following (record all that ap													
	a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Scheduled Monument	Vac - devible v	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	a Registered Battlefield	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Registered Park or Garden	score ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Conservation Area	No = zero score (0)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	
	a Listed Building		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
	Site boundary within buffer zone 5 of one or more (record all that apply)	:												
	300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Scheduled Monument		0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
14	300m of a Registered Battlefield	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Registered Park or Garden	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Conservation Area		-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
	300m of a Listed Building		-	-	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
	Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for	Devikle				-		-						
	residential	Double minus score ()												1
		1.0												
	Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for <b>residential</b>	Minus score (-)												1
15	Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high	_		_	_	_	_	_	_		_	1	_	_
	landscape sensitivity for <b>residential</b>	Zero score (0)		0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0
	Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or													1
	site is inside the development boundary	Plus score (+)	+							+		+		1
	Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity ca	tegory is recorded												1
		Overall Score	2	2	-4	-3	-8	-2	-4	2	-5	1	-6	-13
	Range is 2 to -13 Good is 2 to -3 Fair is -4 to -8 Poor is -9 to -13 Ovel	all Sustainability Conclusion	Good	Good	Fair	Poor								
	1\ango 18 2 (0 - 13 G000 18 2 (0 - 3 Fall 18 - 4 (0 - 6 F00) 18 - 9 (0 - 13 UVE)	an Sustamability Conclusion	Good	Judu	Fair	Juu	Fail	Juu	Fair	Juu	Fail	Judu	Fail	FUUI

Criteria	Criteria Description	Scoring Guide	Site Ref: ELL020	Site Ref: ELL023X	Site Ref: ELL024	Site Ref: ELL024a	Site Ref: ELL024b	Site Ref: ELL025	Site Ref: ELL026	Site Ref: ELL027	Site Ref: ELL028	Site Ref: ELL029	Site Ref: ELL032	Site Ref: ELL033
	Site wholly or partly within one or more of the following (record all that	apply):	•	_									•	
	Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ramsar Site	Van daubta minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	National Nature Reserve	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site of Special Scientific Interest Ancient Woodland	score () No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wildlife Site	NO - 2010 Score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply)	•	U	0	0	U	U	0	0	U	0	U	U	- 0
	1km of a Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1km of a Ramsar Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500m of a National Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	500m of a Site of Special Scientific Interest	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500m of Ancient woodland	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	250m of a Wildlife Site		-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	0	-	0
	100m of a Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Tree Preservation Order (single or group) within or on site boundary	Yes = minus score (-)		0						0				0
3		No = zero score (0)	-	U	-	•	-	-	-	U	-	-	-	U
	Site contains one or more (or part) of the following 2 (record all that app	oly):												
	Children's playground		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Outdoor sports facility	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
	Amenity green space	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of one or more of the following (record all	that apply):												
	Primary School		+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
	GP surgery		-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-
_	Library(permanent or mobile library stop)		-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-
5	Leisure centre	Yes = plus score (+)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
	Children's playground	No = minus score (-)	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
	Outdoor sports facility		+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Amenity green space		+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of a public transport node with a regular	Yes = plus score (+)	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_
	service offered during peak travel times <sup>4</sup> :	No = minus score (-)												
7	Site wholly or partly on grade 1 or 2 or 3 agricultural land (best & most	Yes = minus score (-)	_		_	_	_			_				_
,	versatile)	No = zero score (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone (groundwater)	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	All of part of the site within a source i folection zone (groundwater)	No = zero score (0)	Ů	Ů	Ů	· ·	· ·	Ů	Ů	-	Ů	Ů	Ů	U
9	All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	0	_	0	_	_
	This of part of the older of within thood Zones Zones	No = zero score (0)	Ů	Ů	Ŭ	ŭ	ŭ			•		ŭ		
10	Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
, ,		No = zero score (0)	Ů	Ů	Ů	ŭ	ŭ	ŭ	Ů	ŭ	ŭ	Ů	ŭ	ı
11	Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an	Yes = plus score (+)	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0
	area with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use	No = zero score (0)			_						-	_		
12	Site would displace an existing waste management operation	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
·-		No = zero score (0)		-		-				-	-	-	-	
	Site wholly/partly within/contains any of the following (record all that a	opiy):	_	_		_		_			_		_	
	a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	a Scheduled Monument	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	a Registered Battlefield	score ()	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Registered Park or Garden a Conservation Area	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Conservation Area a Listed Building		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	 0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone 5 of one or more (record all that apply)	•	U	"	U U	U	U	U U	"	U	U	U	U	"
	300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Scheduled Monument		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	300m of a Registered Battlefield	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	300m of a Registered Park or Garden	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Conservation Area	140 - 2610 30016 (0)	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	0
	300m of a Listed Building		-	0		-	-	-	0		0		-	0
	Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for			U		_		-	U	_	U	_	-	-
	residential	Double minus score ()												
														<del>                                     </del>
	Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential	Minus score (-)												
15	Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_		
.*	landscape sensitivity for <b>residential</b>	Zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0
	Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or	<b>5</b> ,		1										
	site is inside the development boundary	Plus score (+)						+					+	<b>/</b>
	Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity ca	tegory is recorded												
	<u>,                                      </u>	Overall Score	-5	-9	-4	-4	-6	-1	-3	2	-4	-4	-1	-9
	Range is 2 to -13 Good is 2 to -3 Fair is -4 to -8 Poor is -9 to -13 Ove	rall Sustainability Conclusion		Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Good	Poor
	The state of the s						1	, 500a	, <b>3</b> 000	3004				

Criteria	Criteria Description	Scoring Guide	Site Ref: ELL005 & ELL008 &ELL033
	Site wholly or partly within one or more of the following (record all that	арріу):	
	Special Area of Conservation Ramsar Site		0
	National Nature Reserve	Yes = double minus	0
1	Site of Special Scientific Interest	score ()	0
	Ancient Woodland	No = zero score (0)	0
	Wildlife Site	(1)	0
	Local Nature Reserve		0
	Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply)	<i>:</i>	
	1km of a Special Area of Conservation		0
	1km of a Ramsar Site		0
2	500m of a National Nature Reserve	Yes = minus score (-)	0
	500m of a Site of Special Scientific Interest	No = zero score (0)	0
	500m of Ancient woodland 250m of a Wildlife Site		0
	100m of a Local Nature Reserve		0
		Yes = minus score (-)	Ü
3	Tree Preservation Order (single or group) within or on site boundary	No = zero score (0)	-
	Site contains one or more (or part) of the following <sup>2</sup> (record all that app Children's playground	ly):	0
4	Outdoor sports facility	Yes = minus score (-)	0
	Amenity green space	No = zero score (0)	0
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		0
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of one or more of the following (record all t	hat apply):	
	Primary School		-
	GP surgery		-
_	Library(permanent or mobile library stop)		-
5	Leisure centre	Yes = plus score (+)	+
	Children's playground	No = minus score (-)	+
	Outdoor sports facility		+
	Amenity green space Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		-
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of a public transport node with a regular	Voc = plus score (+)	
6	service offered during peak travel times <sup>4</sup> :	Yes = plus score (+) No = minus score (-)	+
	Site wholly or partly on grade 1 or 2 or 3 agricultural land (best & most	Yes = minus score (-)	
7	versatile)	No = zero score (0)	-
	· ·	Yes = minus score (-)	,
8	All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone (groundwater)	No = zero score (0)	1
9	All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3	Yes = minus score (-)	_
	This of part of the one is within 1 lood 20100 2 of 0	No = zero score (0)	
10	Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area	Yes = minus score (-) No = zero score (0)	0
	Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an	Yes = plus score (+)	
11	area with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use	No = zero score (0)	+
		Yes = minus score (-)	
12	Site would displace an existing waste management operation	No = zero score (0)	0
	Site wholly/partly within/contains any of the following (record all that ap	oply):	
	a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0
	a Scheduled Monument	Yes = double minus	0
13	a Registered Battlefield	score ()	0
	a Registered Park or Garden	No = zero score (0)	0
	a Conservation Area	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0
	a Listed Building		0
	Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply) 300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone	•	0
	300m of a Scheduled Monument		0
14	300m of a Registered Battlefield	Yes = minus score (-)	0
	300m of a Registered Park or Garden	No = zero score (0)	0
	300m of a Conservation Area	- (-)	-
	300m of a Listed Building		0
	Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential	Double minus score ()	
	Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential	Minus score (-)	
15	Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high	• ,	
- <del>-</del>	landscape sensitivity for residential	Zero score (0)	0
	Site is wholly classified as low <b>landscape sensitivity</b> for <b>residential</b> or site is inside the development boundary	Plus score (+)	
	Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity ca	tegory is recorded	
		Overall Score	-2
		rall Sustainability Conclusion	

Community Hub: Dudleston Heath

Criteria	Criteria Description	Scoring Guide	Site Ref: DHG001	Site Ref: DHG002X	Site Ref: DHG003	Site Ref: DHG004	Site Ref: DHG005X	Site Ref: DHG006	Site Ref: DHG007	Site Ref: DHG008	Site Ref: DHG009	Site Ref: DHG010	Site Ref: DHG011	Site Ref: DHG012
	Site wholly or partly within one or more of the following (record all that	apply):												
	Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ramsar Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	National Nature Reserve	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site of Special Scientific Interest	score ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ancient Woodland	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wildlife Site Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply)	\ <u></u>	U	U	0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
	1km of a Special Area of Conservation	). 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1km of a Ramsar Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	500m of a National Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	500m of a Site of Special Scientific Interest	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500m of Ancient woodland	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	250m of a Wildlife Site		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100m of a Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Tree Preservation Order (single or group) within or on site boundary	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		No = zero score (0)	U	0	0	0	U U	· ·	U	U	0	0	0	0
	Site contains one or more (or part) of the following 2 (record all that app	ply):	_				_					_		
	Children's playground		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Outdoor sports facility	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Amenity green space	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)  Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of one or more of the following (record all	that applied:	U	U	0	0	0	0	U	U	U	0	U	U
	Primary School	tnat арріу):	+	+	_	-	-	_	+	+	+	_	-	
	GP surgery		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Library(permanent or mobile library stop)		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	Leisure centre	Yes = plus score (+)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	Children's playground	No = minus score (-)	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Outdoor sports facility		-	_	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
	Amenity green space		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of a public transport node with a regular	Yes = plus score (+)												
6	service offered during peak travel times 4:	No = minus score (-)	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
_	Site wholly or partly on grade 1 or 2 or 3 agricultural land (best & most	Yes = minus score (-)							_	_	_			
7	versatile)	No = zero score (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	-	-
	, i	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone (groundwater)	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	U	0
9	All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	All of part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 of 3	No = zero score (0)	U	0	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
10	Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70		No = zero score (0)	Ů	Ŭ	Ů	Ŭ	Ů	Ů	Ü	Ů	Ŭ	Ŭ		
11	Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an	Yes = plus score (+)	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
	area with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use	No = zero score (0)	-	-		_			-	-		_	-	-
12	Site would displace an existing waste management operation	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		No = zero score (0)												
	Site wholly/partly within/contains any of the following (record all that a a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone	υ <b>ρι</b> γ):	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Scheduled Monument		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	a Registered Battlefield	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
'	a Registered Park or Garden	score ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a Conservation Area	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Listed Building		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone 5 of one or more (record all that apply)	):												
	300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Scheduled Monument		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	300m of a Registered Battlefield	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Registered Park or Garden	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Conservation Area		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Listed Building		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential	Double minus score ()												
	Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential	Minus score (-)		mak !				mak	mat e *					
15	Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for <b>residential</b>	Zero score (0)	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed
	Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or	Plus score (+)												
	site is inside the development boundary  Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity ca	, ,												
	1	Overall Score	-8	-8	-5	-4	-9	-3	-5	-7	-7	-10	-6	-6
	Range is -3 to -10 Good is -3 to -5 Fair is -6 to -8 Poor is -9 to -10 <b>Ove</b>			Fair	Good	Good	Poor	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair
									J004					

Criteria	Criteria Description	Scoring Guide	Site Ref: DHG013	Site Ref: DHG014	Site Ref: DHG015	Site Ref: DHG016	Site Ref: EME001
	Site wholly or partly within one or more of the following (record all that	apply):					
	Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	0
	Ramsar Site National Nature Reserve	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0
1	Site of Special Scientific Interest	score ()	0	0	0	0	0
	Ancient Woodland	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Wildlife Site	140 = 2010 30010 (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply)	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0
	1km of a Special Area of Conservation		0	0	0	0	0
	1km of a Ramsar Site		0	0	0	0	0
	500m of a National Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0
2	500m of a Site of Special Scientific Interest	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0
	500m of Ancient woodland	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	250m of a Wildlife Site		0	0	0	0	0
	100m of a Local Nature Reserve		0	0	0	0	0
		Yes = minus score (-)	•	_	_	•	•
3	Tree Preservation Order (single or group) within or on site boundary	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Site contains one or more (or part) of the following <sup>2</sup> (record all that app Children's playground	ny): 	0	0	0	0	0
4	Outdoor sports facility	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	U	0	0
7	Amenity green space	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)	140 - 2610 30016 (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of one or more of the following (record all t	that annly):	"		· ·	U	J
	Primary School	ιιαι αμμιγ).	_	_	_	_	
	GP surgery		-	-	-	-	-
	Library(permanent or mobile library stop)		-	-	-	-	-
5	Leisure centre	Yes = plus score (+)		-			-
Ū	Children's playground	No = minus score (-)	-	-	_	_	-
	Outdoor sports facility	TVO TIMILOS SOSTO ( )	-	-	+	+	
	Amenity green space			-	-	+	
	Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)			-		-	
	Site boundary within 480m <sup>3</sup> of a public transport node with a regular	Vac = plus seers (1)					
6	service offered during peak travel times <sup>4</sup> :	Yes = plus score (+) No = minus score (-)	-	-	+	+	-
7	Site wholly or partly on grade 1 or 2 or 3 agricultural land (best & most	Yes = minus score (-)					
/	versatile)	No = zero score (0)	-	-	-	-	-
8	All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone (groundwater)	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0
	An or part of the site within a course Protection Zone (groundwater)	No = zero score (0)	<u> </u>	Ů	Ů	0	0
9	All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0
	,	No = zero score (0) Yes = minus score (-)					
10	Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an	Yes = plus score (+)					
11	area with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use	No = zero score (0)	0	+	0	0	0
		Yes = minus score (-)					
12	Site would displace an existing waste management operation	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	Site wholly/partly within/contains any of the following (record all that ap						
	a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone	/	0	0	0	0	0
	a Scheduled Monument	V 1 11 .	0	0	0	0	0
13	a Registered Battlefield	Yes = double minus	0	0	0	0	0
	a Registered Park or Garden	score ()	0	0	0	0	0
	a Conservation Area	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Listed Building		0	0	0	0	0
	Site boundary within buffer zone 5 of one or more (record all that apply)	:					
	300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Scheduled Monument		0	0	0	0	0
14	300m of a Registered Battlefield	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Registered Park or Garden	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Conservation Area	. ,	0	0	0	0	0
	300m of a Listed Building		0	0	0	0	0
	Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential	Double minus score ()					
	Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential	Minus score (-)	1				
15	Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high	Zero score (0)	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assessed	not assesse
	landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or		_				
	site is inside the development boundary	Plus score (+)					
	Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity ca		40		7		40
		Overall Score rall Sustainability Conclusion	<u>.                                      </u>	-9 Poor	-7 Fair	-4 Good	-10 Poor
	Range is -3 to -10 Good is -3 to -5 Fair is -6 to -8 Poor is -9 to -10 Ove						

## Ellesmere Place Plan Area Stage 2b Screening of Sites: Site Assessments

Key Centre: Ellesmere

Site Assessment - Stage 2b							
Site Reference:	ELL001						
Site Address:	Land off Scotland Street, Ellesmere						
Settlement:	Ellesmere						
Site Size (Ha):	0.35						
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	11						
Type of Site:	Mixed						
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approx 50%						
General Description:	The site consists of a grassed area fronting Scotland Street and a larger grassed area to the rear of the buildings fronting Scotland Street containing a number of buildings in various conditions and states of disrepair. A tool hire company operates from one of the buildings on the site.						
Surrounding Character:	Adjacent uses include residential and retail to the north; east; west; and south east. Land to the south has permission for a medical centre, beyond this is a large supermarket and further residential development.						
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable						
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Availability Unknown						
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.						
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	As the sites availability for residential development is unknown the site will not proceed to the next stage of the site assessment process.						
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.5ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. However, the site is adjacent to other promoted sites with a combined site area of greater than 0.5ha (and the other site is considered available and the strategic assessment has not identified a significant constraint).						
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	Approximately 2/3 of the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3. The remaining area is relatively small and separated from other promoted sites.						
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL002
Site Address:	Grange Road employment allocation, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	3.02
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	91
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	An employment allocation to the north of an existing employment site.
Surrounding Character:	To the south is an existing employment site occupied by Fullwood and Bland.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local
Injormation.	Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b							
Site Reference:	ELL003						
Site Address:	Land near Blackwater Meadow Marina, Ellesmere						
Settlement:	Ellesmere						
Site Size (Ha):	1.16						
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	35						
Type of Site:	Greenfield						
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A						
General Description:	The western part of an undulating field, currently used for cattle grazing. There are mature trees located within and forming much of the northern and southern boundaries tof the site.						
Surrounding Character:	Surrounding uses comprise of low density residential to the north, a canal marina to the south and cricket ground to the west.						
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential						
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available						
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.						
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	Trair Neview.						
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :							
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :							
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.						

<sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b							
Site Reference:	ELL005						
Site Address:	Land South of A495, Ellesmere						
Settlement:	Ellesmere						
Site Size (Ha):	7.00						
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	210						
Type of Site:	Greenfield						
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A						
General Description:	Large undulating site in agricultural use to the south of Ellesmere.						
Surrounding Character:	To the north east are the grounds of Lakelands School. To the east, south east and south is an existing allocated site, which has recently received Planning Permission for a mixed use development. To the west (South of Scotland Street) is a single dwelling and its grounds, beyond which is open countryside in agricultural use. To the west (North of Scotland Street) is an industrial estate. To the north west the indistrial estate continues and there is also residential development.						
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential						
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available						
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.						
Availability <sup>1</sup> :							
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :							
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :							
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b							
Site Reference:	ELL006						
Site Address:	Land at Grange Road, Ellesmere						
Settlement:	Ellesmere						
Site Size (Ha):	2.80						
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	84						
Type of Site:	Greenfield						
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A						
General Description:	Two fields adjacent to the northern settlement boundary of Ellesmere.						
Surrounding Character:	To the north, north west and north east is open countryside, in agricultural use. To the south, south east and south west is residential development.						
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential						
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available						
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.						
Availability <sup>1</sup> :							
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :							
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :							
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.						

<sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL007
Site Address:	Land off Cherry Drive, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	3.13
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	94
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	Fallow land on the western edge of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	To the north is agricultural land with Planning Permission for residential development (130 dwellings). To the east is residential development. To the southis agricultural land with Planning Permission for employment (extension to Ellesmere Business Park) and west are agricultual fields.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	Approximately 2/3 of the site is located in flood zones 2 and/or 3.  The site can only be accessed through flood zones 2 and/or 3 which would require consideration in the next stage of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL008
Site Address:	Land at the Nursery, Oswestry Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	1.33
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	40
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	10%
General Description:	The site consists of three small agricultural fields and a dwelling.
Surrounding Character:	To the north of the site is an employment site. To the east of the site are agricultural fields. To the south of the site are agricultural fields, allocated for development (Planning Permission now secured) for a mixed use development. To the west of the site are agricultural fields (Planning Permission secured for a mixed use development).
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL009
Site Address:	Station Building, Brownlow Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	0.32
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	10
Type of Site:	Brownfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The former station building (listed) and its immediate grounds. The wider site has been granted planning permission for a residential development (14/01744/OUT granted on the 13/11/2015).
Surrounding Character:	To the south is residential development. To the north, east and west is the former station yard, which now benefits from permission for residential development.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.5ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. Due to the size and location of the site it is not considered to have potential for allocation as part of a wider site (it is either not adjacent to another promoted site, or the other promoted site is not considered available and/or the strategic assessment has identified a significant constraint).
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	A small portion of the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3.  The site can only be accessed through flood zones 2 and/or 3 which would require consideration in the next stage of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELLO10
Site Address:	Land off Church Street, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	0.69
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	21
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site comprises an open green field which slopes down to the edge of The Mere. The site is located behind the row of properties that front Church / Talbot Street.
Surrounding Character:	Surrounding uses are predominately residential and leisure (tennis courts, putting green etc) related to The Mere. A number of small business uses also front Talbot and Church Streets.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL013
Site Address:	Fullwood and Bland, Grange Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	3.36
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	101
Type of Site:	Brownfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is currently occupied by Fullwood Ltd which manufactures dairy equipment. It is characterised by large industrial buildings, areas of open storage, and areas of hard standing for vehicular parking/movements.
Surrounding Character:	The site adjoins residential development to the south and east; agricultural land (allocated for employment development) to the north and north west; and previously developed land with Planning Permission for residential development to the south west
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Not Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
	As the site is not considered to be availabile for residential development the site will
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	not proceed to the next stage of the site assessment process.
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	,
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL015
Site Address:	Land adj. cemetery, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	1.00
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	30
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	An overgrown field to the east of Swan Hill Road and north of the cemetery. It slopes down towards the Mere.
Surrounding Character:	To the south is the cemetery; to the east and north east is a wooded belt and the Mere.  To the north west and west is housing.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	The site forms part of a local wildlife site.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELLO19
Site Address:	Land south of Canal, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	4.26
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	128
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	20%
General Description:	An irregularly shaped, linear agricultural field located between the Shropshire Union Canal and Birch Road.
Surrounding Character:	To the south, east and west (beyond the canal) are agricultural fields. The field to the west (beyond the canal) was allocated for development within the SAMDev Plan and has recently achieved outline planning permission for a mixed use development. To the north is a developed area containing a number of buildings in use as dwelling/businesses. To the north east is the marina.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL020
Site Address:	Land west of Grange Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	4.69
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	141
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	Irregularly shaped greenfield site in agricultural use to the north of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	Some scattered mature residential uses on Grange Road to the east. An agricultural fields (pasture) (allocated for employment use within the Local Plan) to the south. Further agricultural fields to the west and north.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL023X
Site Address:	Land at Grange Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	0.04
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	<5
Type of Site:	
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	
Surrounding Character:	
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local
	Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.5ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. Due to the size and location of the site it is not considered to have potential for allocation as part of a wider site (it is either not adjacent to another promoted site, or the other promoted site is not considered available and/or the strategic assessment has identified a significant constraint).
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.2ha it has been excluded from the SLAA.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL024
Site Address:	Land East of Teal Drive, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	3.39
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	102
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of two adjoining agricultural fields located to the north of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	Agricultural uses to the north and east. Residential to the west. Wooded area to the south.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL024a
Site Address:	Land East of Teal Drive (Parcel A), Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	1.86
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	56
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of an agricultural field located to the north of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	Agricultural uses to the north and east. Residential to the west. Wooded area to the south.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL024b
Site Address:	Land East of Teal Drive (Parcel A), Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	1.51
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	45
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of an agricultural field located to the north of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	Agricultural uses to the north and east. Residential to the west. Wooded area to the south.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	This site in isolation has no road frontage or potential point of access. The area of the site is already included within a separate site (ELL024) with the adjacent site that could provide a road frontage.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL025
Site Address:	Land at Scott's Victoria Garage, Scotland Street, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	0.25
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	7
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approx 60%
General Description:	Garage site consisting of a garage building and forecourt with rear parking and ancillary vacant land.
Surrounding Character:	To the north are residential dwellings. To the south is an area of vacant scrubland with Outline Planning Permission for a medical centre. To the east are residential dwellings and shops on the road frontage and underused buildings and grassland to the rear of the frontage.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.5ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. However, the site is adjacent to other promoted sites with a combined site area of greater than 0.5ha (and the other site is considered available and the strategic assessment has not identified a significant constraint).
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	The majority of the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELLO26
Site Address:	Land off Elson Rd, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	12.12
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	364
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	A series of agricultural fields to the west of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	Land to the east consists of existing residential development and land with Planning Permission for a residential development. To the north is a ribbon of residential development along Elson Road. To the south and west are agricultural fields.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELLO27
Site Address:	Land off Grange Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	1.54
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	46
Type of Site:	
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	A small wedge of agricultural land located to the north of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	To the north east is an employment allocation; to the south west is a previously developed site with Planning Permission for residential development; to the south east is existing residential development; and to the north west is agricultural land.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	This site in isolation has no road frontage or potential point of access. However, there are other promoted sites which could provide this site a road frontage (and the other site is considered available, of an appropriate site and the strategic assessment has not identified a significant constraint).
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL028
Site Address:	Ellesmere Business Park Phase 2, Oswestry Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	6.15
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	185
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	An employment allocation to the north of an existing employment site.
Surrounding Character:	To the south is an existing employment site. To the west and north are agricultural fields. To the east is an area of open space and housing.
Suitability Information:	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL029
Site Address:	Land to the rear of Berwyn View, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	0.82
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	25
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is a public open space within the settlement of Ellesmere.
Surrounding Character:	Surrounding character is predominantly residential to the east; educational (and residential beyond) to the north; agricultural to the west; and agricultural (land allocated for mixed use development) to the south.
Suitability Information:	Not Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	The site is a public open space within the settlement of Ellesmere.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL032
Site Address:	Land north of New Wharf Road, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	0.50
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	15
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approx 50%
General Description:	The site consists of areas of scrubland and hardstanding, used for car parking.
Surrounding Character:	Car park, supermarket, residential properties and Shropshire Union Canal.
Suitability Information:	Suitable
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are
Achievability/Viability	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.
Achievability/Viability Information:	
	site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Information:	site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :  Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :  Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.  The site was promoted following the conclusion of the SLAA.  Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	ELL033
Site Address:	Land south of the A495, Ellesmere
Settlement:	Ellesmere
Site Size (Ha):	1.17
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	35.1
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of the majority of a small agricultural field located to the south-west of Ellesmere. Site boundaries are defined by the road to the north, residential curtilage to the east and agricultural field boundaries to north and west.
Surrounding Character:	Character to east, west and south is predominantly agricultural (although land to the south was allocated and has Planning Permission for mixed use development). Character to the north is a mix of agricultural and employment.
Suitability Information:	N/A
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	The site was promoted following the conclusion of the SLAA.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Community Hub: Dudleston Heath

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG001
Site Address:	Land at Greenhill Bank
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.20
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	6
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is located within Greenhill Bank near Dudleston Heath. A roughly rectangular area of scrub and woodland. Site boundaries are formed by the road to the west and property curtilages to north, south and east.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily residential and agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG002X
Site Address:	Land to the Rear of the Oaklands
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.17
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	>5
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approx 50%
General Description:	The site consists of a dwelling and its curtilage, which is heavily wooded.
Surrounding Character:	Residential and agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Availability Unknown
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	As the sites availability for residential development is unknown the site will not proceed to the next stage of the site assessment process.
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.2ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. Due to the size and location of the site it is not considered to have potential for allocation as part of a wider site (it is either not adjacent to another promoted site, or the adjacent site is not considered available and/or the strategic assessment has identified a significant constraint).
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG003
Site Address:	Land east of Church Lane
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	1.82
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	55
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of an agricultural field containing a pond. Site boundaries are defined by the road to the north, and agricultural field boundaries to the south, west and east. The north eastern corner of the sites boundary is defined by a property curtilage.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural, open space and some residential.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	Site Assessment - Stage 2b		
Site Reference:	DHG004		
Site Address:	Land north of Chestnut Avenue		
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath		
Site Size (Ha):	1.58		
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	47		
Type of Site:	Greenfield		
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A		
General Description:	The site consists of an agricultural field. Site boundaries are defined by property curtilages to east and south and agricultural field boundaries to north and west.		
Surrounding Character:	Primarily residential and agricultural.		
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.		
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available		
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.		
Availability <sup>1</sup> :			
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :			
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.		
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1,\,2\,and\,3}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG005X
Site Address:	Land at Chapel Lane
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.17
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	<5
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approximately 25%
General Description:	Site consists of a residential dwelling and its curtilage which included a wooded area. Site boundaries are defined by roads/access lands to north and west, property curtilage to the south and north-east and an agricultural field boundary to east.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily residential and agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Availability Unknown
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	As the sites availability for residential development is unknown the site will not proceed to the next stage of the site assessment process.
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	As the site is less than 0.2ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. Due to the size and location of the site it is not considered to have potential for allocation as part of a wider site (it is either not adjacent to another promoted site, or the adjacent site is not considered available and/or the strategic assessment has identified a significant constraint).
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG006
Site Address:	Land at Pumping Station & Telephone Exchange, Dudleston Grange
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	3.05
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	91
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approximately <5%
General Description:	The site is located within Dudleston Grange near Dudleston Heath. A large site consisting of a series of agricultural fields and a small building with an access track. Site boundaries are primarily defined by agricultural field boundaries and the road (to the south).
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural, with some rural/linear dwellings.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup>	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup>	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1,\,2\,and\,3}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG007
Site Address:	Land at Gravel Hole Farm, Gravels Hole
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.79
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	24
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is located within Gravels Hole some distance from Dudleston Heath. An irregularly shaped site consisting of agricultural fields and wooded areas. Site boundaries are defined by the road and property curtilages to the south and agricultural field boundaries to the north, east and west.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG008
Site Address:	Gravel Hole Site
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.46
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	14
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is located within Gravels Hole some distance from Dudleston Heath. An irregularly shaped site consisting of agricultural fields and wooded areas. The site consists of a linear portion of a larger agricultural field. Site boundaries are defined by the road to the east and south, an agricultural field boundary to the north and are undefined to the west.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG009
Site Address:	Land Adjacent to the Haulage Yard, Dudleston Heath
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.49
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	15
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of part of an agricultural field (much of which is already allocated for residential development).
Surrounding Character:	Residential, agricultural and commercial (allocated for residential development).
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1,\,2\,and\,3}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG010
Site Address:	Land Opposite Dudleston Grange
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	1.94
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	58
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is located within Dudleston Grange near Dudleston Heath. A site consisting of parts of two large agricultural fields and a small building with an access track. Site boundaries are primarily defined by the road to the north, a wooded area to the east, an agricultural field boundary to the west and are undefined to the south.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG011
Site Address:	Land at Pentreheyliw Cottage
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.71
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	21
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of a roughly triangular area of land consisting of two fields.  The sit includes the access to Pentreheylw Cottage. Site boundaries are defined by property curtilages to east and south and the road to the north and west.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural and a limited number of rural dwellings.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1,\,2\,and\,3}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG012
Site Address:	Land at Pentreheylin
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.29
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	9
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of part of a wider agricultural field. Site boundaries are defined by the road and an access track to south and west, in part an agricultural field boundary to the east and are undefined to the north and the remainder of the east.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily residential and agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1, 2 and 3</sup> Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG013
Site Address:	Land at Dudleston Heath
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.37
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	11
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is associated with housing to the east of the core of Dudleston Heath. It consists of a field with large residential dwellings and their curtilages forming its south and western boundaries. Its northern boundary is defined by the road and its eastern boundary by an agricultural field boundary.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily residential and agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG014
Site Address:	Land at Gladstone House
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.45
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	14
Type of Site:	Mixed
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	Approximately <5%
General Description:	The site is associated with housing to the east of the core of Dudleston Heath. The site consists of a small field containing a series of agricultural buildings. Site boundaries are defined by the road to the north, woodland/agricultural field boundaries to the south and east and property curtilages to the west.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily residential and agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG015
Site Address:	Land South East of Village Hall
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.73
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	22
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of two fields and part of the Village Hall carpark. Site boundaries are defined by agricultural field boundaries to the east and south, the extent of the open space and parts of the Village Hall carpark to the west and the curtilages of the Village Hall/road to the north.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural, community buildings, open space and some residential.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	DHG016
Site Address:	Land fronting Church Cottage
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.73
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	22
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of part of the curtilage gardens of Church Cottage, fronting the cottage onto the road. Site boundaries are defined by the road to the north, the access track to the Cottage to the east, property curtilages to the west and are undefined to the south.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural and a limited number of rural dwellings.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1,\,2\,and\,3}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	EME001
Site Address:	Land on Eastwick Lane, near Dudleston Heath
Settlement:	Dudleston Heath
Site Size (Ha):	0.74
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	22
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site is located on Eastwick Lane to the north of Dudleston Heath. The site consists of part of two agricultural fields located opposite some rural/linear dwellings. Site boundaries are defined by the road to the west and are undefined to north, south and east.
Surrounding Character:	Primarily agricultural and a limited number of rural dwellings.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Availability Information <sup>1</sup> :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident.  To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability <sup>1</sup> :	
Conclusion: Size <sup>2</sup> :	
Strategic Suitability <sup>3</sup> :	During the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) this settlement was identified as Countryside. Where relevant the assessment of this sites suitability will be updated through the more detailed site assessment carried out within Stage 3 of the site assessment process.
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{1, 2 and 3}}$  Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

## Ellesmere Place Plan Area Stage 3 Detailed Site Review: Site Assessments

Key Centre: Ellesmere

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL002
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone:	3%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	5%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	10%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	0//
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone: Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Commonts If Evicting	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not	Y Outside 30mph limit but this can be extended but will need traffic calming / gateway feature. Depending upon land usage
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	consider joint access with ELL020.
So?	consider joint decess with EEE020.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
(Pased on Primary School GP Surgery	20
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery, Convenience Store and Public	20
Transport Service):	
Transport Scrattej.	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants, reptiles. Part of site
Other Constraints:	north of track lies in a restoration area of the Environmental Network.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries
Management of Constraints:	and track. Retain mature trees in field. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network under CS17 Environmental Networks
	and MD12. Track with its mature trees could be an old feature and should be retained in the design.
Ecology Comments	Northern part of site indicated as a restoration area for the Env. Network. Track and wide buffer along its length should be
Opportunities:	retained in open space provision to link woodland and core area to east with corridor to west of site. Semi-natural habitat
оррогинисэ.	should be maintained along trackway with public access.
	Davis CA

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	No known archaeological interest but site is of medium size, so may have some archaeological potential
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Significant trees present on or adjacent to the site.
Other Constraints: Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to strengthen existing mature treescape around periphery of the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Potential noise from adjacent land uses to the south. If site to the south remains noisy may be a significant hurdle to overcome should residential be proposed.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Build structure including glazing and stand off distance to existing noise sources (which could "waste space" on the development.
Public Protection Comments	If land to the south removed from employment land and changed to residential this site would become a good site for
Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	residential use.
Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The land is currently allocated for employment development. Whilst it has been determined that there is sufficient employment land currently available in Ellesmere to achieve balanced growth, this is subject to the existing supply (including this site) being delivered.  The site itself is well located for employment development, with good access onto the A528 and proximity to other employment uses (located on the adjacent site to the south). Being located in the north-east of Ellesmere, it also balances the employment land opportunities to the south-west.  Whilst a Planning Application has not yet been submitted on the site, it has only been allocated for a relatively short period of time and due to the advantages of its location it is considered a valuable site for employment provision.  In order to create sustainable settlements, there is a need to provide both housing and employment opportunities. As such it is considered that the land should be retained for employment development.  The existing highway is considered achievable those the traffic associated with the development at its point of access, but necessary improvements are considered achievable through extension of the 30mph zone and traffic calming measures/gateway features.  Potential noise associated with the adjacent employment site.  3% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 5% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 10% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Significant trees on/around the site.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. The northern element of the site should contribute towards the restoration/enhancement of an environmental network. Semi-natural habitat should be maintained and strong tree planting is encouraged.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No No
Totelluarior Allocation:	No

Recommendation:	Retain allocation for employment land.
Reasoning:	In order to create sustainable settlements, there is a need to provide both housing and employment opportunities.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:  If proposed for Allocation  Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL003
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	Yes
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	00/
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0% 0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	
surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	20/
surface flood risk zone:	3%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	8%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map: Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	Me
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)	cardin
Visual Impact Considerations:	Medium-High
(from the LVSS) Highway Comments - Direct Access to	<u>-</u>
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	'
·	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	Cumulative impact of ELL019 & 003 (177 houses) on the narrow section of Birch Lane south of junction with Watergate
Off-Site Works Achievable?	Street needs to be examined and the opportunity to create a strategic highway link between this site and the A495 via the
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	business park investigated.
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	20
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible. Lies in 'rural' setting.
	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m, GCN record within 200m to east), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds,
Ecology Comments	vascular plants (marshy areas present and likely semi-improved grassland), reptiles. Adjacent to Env. Network and north
Other Constraints:	east corner abuts Shropshire Wildlife Trust nature reserve.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	This site is very close to Cole Mere and easy access via the canal - sites further away should be preferred. Protected species
Ecology Comments  Management of Constraints:	mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries. Open space should be located
management of constraints.	adjacent to any semi-natural habitat and green link should be made between Env. Network to north and south, along
	eastern boundary to maximise ecological connectivity.
Foology Comments	Had (Cream Hay Changing) had being a shoot worst of for each of sound on the state of the state
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Use 'Green Hay Strewing' technique as best practice for creation of grassland areas, avoid topsoil in wildflower areas. Link open space to surrounding green corridors to enhance Env. Network.
Ορροιταπιτές.	open space to surrounding green corridors to emidfice Env. Network.
opportunities.	Open space to surrounding green cornuors to emilance city. Network.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Possible effects on setting of Ellesmere Castle scheduled monument (NHLE ref. 1019303) and Ellesmere Conservation Area
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (setting assessment).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	Significant trees and woodland present on land adjacent to the north of the site.
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and provide links to other adjacent habitat.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Landfill site within 250m.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Gas protection may be necessary to properties due to nearby landfill site but evidence suggests not much gassing.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is poorly related to the built form of the settlement. Residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-high visual impact. The impact of development on the narrow section of Birch Lane, south of the junction with Watergate Street will need to be examined and if necessary managed. It is understood that there is concern locally about the capacity/constraints in this location. The site is adjacent to the plantation local wildlife site and in proximity of Cole Mere (with easy pedestrian access via the canal). There are significant trees located on the site. The site is also within proximity of a landfill. Development of the site could impact on the setting of Ellesmere Castle Scheduled Monument and Ellesmere Conservation Area. 1% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 3% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 8% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc. The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues and should link to surrounding environmental networks. High quality tree planting is also required.  Use 'Green Hay Strewing' technique for creation of grassland areas and avoid topsoil in wildflower areas.  Gas proofing associated with landfill may be necessary.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No No
Potential for Allocation?	No No

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	The sites proximity to sensitive ecological assets, relationship to the built form of the settlement and highway concerns amongst other factors mean that there are more preferable sites available within the Town. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL005
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	1%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	1%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	99%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone: Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS) Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS) Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Medium-High
Highway Network?	Y
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?	Y
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	Assumes development could support a new junction on the A495. Including suitable pedestrian crossing facility to north side of road.
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	Υ
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24) (Based on Primary School, GP Surgery, Convenience Store and Public Transport Service):	16
Ecology Comments Significant Constraints:	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. Also, at least 10m buffer required to western boundary due to watercourse and Env. Network.
Ecology Comments Other Constraints:	EclA required. Surveys for GCN (pond on site and ponds within 500m, GCN records within 1km), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich semi-improved grassland), reptiles. Adjacent to Env. Network.
Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).  This site is relatively close to Cole Mere. Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries. Main open space should be located around footpath linking to open space of development in the south and trees on site to north. Cross link to buffer along brook to west, maintaining and buffering southern hedgerow.
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Opportunity to link open space (via pinch-point and footpath) to green space of development to the south.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Large site which Tithe map evidence suggests may contain the site of a former windmill. Also and may therefore have some archaeological potential.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	Some reasonably significant trees present around boundary of the site.
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to strengthen existing mature treescape around periphery of the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Road to north of site will generate noise.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Noise can be mitigated through layout and orientation of buildings and glazing and boundary treatment if necessary.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The site is well related to the built form of the settlement, with the existing development boundary wrapping around it on three sides.  Land to the north consists of a business park, residential dwellings and open space associated with the Lakeland School. Land to the south has been allocated for mixed use development. Land to the east consists of the Lakeland School site, its curtilage and associated open space.  The site benefits from access onto a main road into Ellesmere.  Residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-high visual impact.  The site offers the potential to create an attractive 'gateway' into Ellesmere from the west.  It also creates an opportunity to enhance links between the existing built form of the settlement and land allocated for development to the south.  Part of the site is located within an environmental network (along western boundary) and there may be some archaeological potential.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  Opportunity to link into wider open space provision in order to create a circular walk, which could relieve recreational pressure on Cole Mere.  1% of the site is located within flood zones 2 and flood zone 3.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	The site would need to deliver an appropriate junction and pedestrian crossing facility on the A495.  Noise associated with the adjacent road will need to be mitigated.  Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. They should also link to green spaces within the development to the south.  High quality tree planting is also required.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Opportunity to provide land for a GP Surgery/Community Facility. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	Yes

Recommendation:	Allocate for development in combination with ELL008 and ELL033.
Reasoning:	The site is well related to the built form of the settlement and existing allocated sites.  It presents an opportunity in combination with ELL008 and EL033 to create an attractive gateway into the town from the west.  Development could be excluded from the element of the site on flood zones 2 and 3, which would present opportunities for open space provision.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	170 dwellings, in combination with ELL008 and ELL033
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	Comprehensive masterplan required for the site.  Access into each component of the site and the existing allocation to the south should be complementary. An appropriate pedestrian crossing facility over the A495 is required.  Any necessary improvements to the local and strategic road network will be undertaken, informed by consultation with Highways England and an appropriate Transport Assessment (including consideration of cumulative impact).  Mitigation measures required to remove any adverse effects from development of the site on the integrity of internationally designated sites.  The site will incorporate appropriate sustainable drainage, informed by a sustainable drainage strategy. Any residual surface water flood risk will be managed by excluding development from the affected areas of the site, which will form part of the Green Infrastructure network. Development will also be excluded from the portions of the site located in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3. Flood and water management measures must not displace water elsewhere.  Open space provision should link to green spaces within the development to the south and the surrounding area, with the intention of creating a circular route for pedestrians, dog-walkers and cyclists. This should link to existing public rights of way on and around the site, which will be retained and enhanced. It should also link to public rights of way, including the nearby canal towpath. The potential to de-culvert Newnes Brook should be investigated and if possible implemented. An appropriate buffer (minimum 10m) to Newnes Brook should be provided to create an environmental corridor.  Existing tree cover should be retained and enhanced.  Design and layout should minimise noise impact from adjacent road.

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL006
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	Yes
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	100%
surface flood risk zone:	2%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	2%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	20/
surface flood risk zone:	3%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	***
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	· ·
·	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	Existing highway frontage (east of site) is a narrow lane unsuitable for HGVs and would need to be improved.
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	N. Unlikely to be able to deliver / justify the level of improvement that would be needed from the site frontage to Swan Hill.
Off-Site Works Achievable?	Could be reconsidered if access through plot ELL024 could be achieved onto the A528.
	could be reconsidered in access through plot ELEDZ4 could be achieved onto the ADZ6.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
(December 2)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	17
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (pond within 100m, GCN records within 1km), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants
Other Constraints:	(possible species-rich semi-improved grassland), reptiles. Partly within Env. Network.
	(possess species risk seem improved grassiana), repaires, raitly within the morn.
	Cool DD LIDA for without on weath and for wearest and it was a large of the large o
Ecology Comments	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments  Management of Constraints:	This site is relatively close to Cole Mere. Protected species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries. Main open space should be located along the south-eastern boundary as a
wanagement of constraints.	continuation of the disused railway corridor in the Env. Network.
	Continuation of the disused failway corridor in the Env. Network.
Ecology Comments	Enhancement and restoration of the Env. Network along route of disused railway line to maintain potential public access
Opportunities:	route and connect green corridor east-west over the northern limit of the settlement boundary. See ELL024 and ELL024a
	Davis 70

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Significant trees present on and adjacent to the site. TPOs on site
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments  Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to improve urban forest resource within he town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	Londfill an acuthous boundary of the site found to be possing in 1003
Other Constraints:	Landfill on southern boundary of the site found to be gassing in 1992.
Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:	Gas proofing layer to buildings.
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	Whilst the site has a highway frontage on its eastern boundary, this lane is narrow and rural in character. The existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access and the necessary improvements are not considered achievable through the development of this site.  The sites only point of access to services is down a narrow country lane.  There are significant trees (some of which are subject to TPO protection) located on the site.  As the only potential point of access is onto a country lane with a relatively convoluted route into the town, this does create a feeling of separation from the built from of the settlement.  The site is adjacent to an historic landfill.  A small portion of the site is located within an environmental network.  A very small proportion of the site is located within an area at higher risk of surface water flooding.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  2% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 2% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 3% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. High quality tree planting is also required.  Enhance and restore the environmental network along route of disused railway line. Maintain public access on this route.  Gas proofing associated with landfill may be necessary.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No No
rotendarior Allocation:	INU INU

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	The sites only potential point of access is onto a narrow rural lane.  This potential access point creates a feeling of separation from the built from of the settlement.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL007
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	CF0/
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	65% 70%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	30%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	
surface flood risk zone:	2%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	100/
surface flood risk zone:	19%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	50%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the EA Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	1%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	1%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	NU
Landscape Considerations:	Medium-Low
(from the LVSS)	
Visual Impact Considerations:	Medium-Low
(from the LVSS) Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	Assumes access onto Cherry Drive or Magnolia Drive has no third party restrictions
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	Additional impact of ELL007 on the Cherry Drive / Elson Road T junction needs to be checked. Cumulative impact of ELL026
Off-Site Works Achievable?	& 007 (456 houses) on the B5068 / A495 junction and narrow section of Trimpley Street needs to be examined.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	19
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the
Significant Constraints.	Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. Much of site in flood plain of Newnes Brook
	and in corridor of Env. Network
Ecology Comments	EclA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich
Ecology Comments Other Constraints:	semi-improved grassland needs botanical survey), reptiles, otters, water vole and white-clawed crayfish. Partly within Env.
Care Constraints.	Network with Newnes Brook on south border and disused railway on northern boundary.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	This site is relatively close to Cole Mere. Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Management of Constraints:	all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries. Green buffer required to north to maintain corridor of disused railway (for future
	public access). Minimum 10m buffer to watercourse in south. Main open space should be connected to this to improve area
	of semi-natural green space.
Ecology Comments	Maintaining current informal dog walking opportunities and enhancements to the Environmental Network in line with
Opportunities:	MD12 and CS17.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Historic map evidence suggests site originated as wetland/ wet meadowland. Probable prehistoric cropmark enclosure c. 220m to the west. May have high archaeological potential for palaeo-environmental and prehistoric deposits.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints: Tree Comments	
Other Constraints:	Significant trees present on and adjacent to the site. TPOs adjacent to site.
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to improve urban forest resource within he town. Can link with existing rural and urban trees.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	Good site.
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The existing built form of the settlement lies to the east of the site. Development is also occurring to its north and land to the south is allocated for employment development.  The point at which access can be established into the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3.  Development of the site could not occur without off-site highway works including consideration of the capacity of the Cherry Drive/Elson Road T junction and the B5068 / A495 junction. It is understood that there is concern locally about the capacity/constraints at this junction.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  65% of the site is located within flood zone 3 and 70% within flood zone 2. 2% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 19% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 50% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. 1% of the site are within 20m of an historic flood event and detailed river network. Access to the site would be through flood zones 2 and/or 3.  Much of site in flood plain of Newnes Brook and parts are in corridor of Env. Network.  Significant trees present on and adjacent to the site.  TPOs adjacent to site.  The site may have high archaeological potential for palaeo-environmental and prehistoric deposits.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. Maintaining opportunities for dog walking. High quality tree planting is also required. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	Much of the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3.  The point at which access can be established into the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3.  Development of the site could not occur without off-site highway works including consideration of the capacity of the Cherry Drive/Elson Road T junction and the B5068 / A495 junction. It is understood that there is concern locally about the capacity/constraints at this junction.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL008
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	Yes
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	200/
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	20% 34%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	66%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	00%
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	1%
surface flood risk zone:	1/0
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	23%
historic flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	23%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	A.A JD
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	Madium High
(from the LVSS)	Medium-High
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Υ
Highway Network?	'
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y. By adding a fourth arm to the existing 3 arm roundabout but the costs may not be supported by the quantum of
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	development. Y. If access could be achieved onto the new link road that serves the mixed use development to the west and south of the site.
So?	South of the site.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	15
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Ecology Comments	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the
Significant Constraints:	Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. Much of site in flood plain of Newnes Brook
	and in corridor of Env. Network
	ECIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich
Ecology Comments	semi-improved grassland needs botanical survey), reptiles, otters, water vole and white-clawed crayfish. Partly within Env.
Other Constraints:	Network with Newnes Brook on east border.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
	This site is relatively close to Cole Mere. Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Ecology Comments	all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries and within site. Green buffer required to east to maintain corridor of Newnes
Management of Constraints:	Brook. Minimum 10m buffer to watercourse, and de-culvert where possible. Main open space should be connected to this
	and the southern/wester boundary to improve area of semi-natural green space and links to adjacent landscaping
	(14/04047/OUT) westwards.
Ecology Comments	Potential to de-culvert section of Newnes Brook along eastern boundary and restore and enhance the Env. Network under
Opportunities:	CS17.
	Davis 70

Heritage Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Historic map evidence indicates that the site is crossed by a palaeochannel (old watercourse). May have high archaeological potential for palaeo-environmental deposits.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Other Constraints: Tree Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Tree Comments Opportunities:	
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Site abuts the A495 therefore noise concerns for this edge of the site.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Noise can be mitigated through layout and orientation of buildings and glazing and boundary treatment if necessary.
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability  Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is well related to the built form of the settlement, with the existing development boundary wrapping around it on three sides.  Land to the north consists of a business park. Land to the south has been allocated for mixed use development. Land to the east has also been submitted for consideration for residential allocation.  The site benefits from access onto a main road into Ellesmere.  Residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-high visual impact.  Elements of the site are in flood zones 2 (34%) and 3 (20%). 1% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone and 23% of the site are within 20m of an historic flood event and detailed river network. Development of this site would need to exclude the areas of the site at risk of flooding.  The site offers the potential to create an attractive 'gateway' into Ellesmere from the west.  It also creates an opportunity to enhance links between the existing built form of the settlement and land allocated for development to the south.  Approximately 50% of the site is located within an environmental network and there may be some archaeological potential.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and NOx pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  Opportunity to link into wider open space provision in order to create a circular walk, which could relieve recreational pressure on Cole Mere.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	The site would need to deliver an appropriate junction and pedestrian crossing facility on the A495.  Potential to de-culver Newnes Brook and restore/enhance the associated environmental network.  Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. They should also link to green spaces within the development to the south.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No Yor
Potential for Allocation?	Yes

Recommendation:	Allocate for development in combination with ELL005 and ELL033.
Reasoning:	The site is well related to the built form of the settlement and existing allocated sites.  Development could be excluded from the element of the site on flood zones 2 and 3, which would present opportunities for open space provision.  It presents an opportunity in combination with ELL005 and ELL033 to create an attractive gateway into the town from the west.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	170 dwellings, in combination with ELL005 and ELL033
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	Comprehensive masterplan required for the site.  Access into each component of the site and the existing allocation to the south should be complementary. An appropriate pedestrian crossing facility over the A495 is required.  Any necessary improvements to the local and strategic road network will be undertaken, informed by consultation with Highways England and an appropriate Transport Assessment (including consideration of cumulative impact).  Mitigation measures required to remove any adverse effects from development of the site on the integrity of internationally designated sites.  The site will incorporate appropriate sustainable drainage, informed by a sustainable drainage strategy. Any residual surface water flood risk will be managed by excluding development from the affected areas of the site, which will form part of the Green Infrastructure network. Development will also be excluded from the portions of the site located in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3. Flood and water management measures must not displace water elsewhere.  Open space provision should link to green spaces within the development to the south and the surrounding area, with the intention of creating a circular route for pedestrians, dog-walkers and cyclists. This should link to existing public rights of way on and around the site, which will be retained and enhanced. It should also link to public rights of way, including the nearby canal towpath.  The potential to de-culvert Newnes Brook should be investigated and if possible implemented. An appropriate buffer (minimum 10m) to Newnes Brook should be provided to create an environmental corridor.  Existing tree cover should be retained and enhanced.  Design and layout should minimise noise impact from adjacent road.

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL010
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	Yes
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	100%
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	40/
surface flood risk zone:	4%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	0/0
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	74%
historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	61%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	v v
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	Y? Assuming it is possible to move the existing controlled crossing on the A528.
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	21
Convenience Store and Public	21
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the
	Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. All of site in corridor of Env. Network and
	immediately adjacent to core area (Ellesmere). Under CS17 corridor should be maintained, enhanced and restored.
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich semi-improved grassland
Other Constraints:	needs botanical survey) and reptiles. Within corridor of Env. Network with Ellesmere as core area to the east.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	This site is relatively close to Cole Mere. Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Management of Constraints:	all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries and within site. Any development on site would have to maintain links to the south
-	and east. If housing permitted on site numbers would have to be significantly reduced and the quality of remaining green
	space improved in quality as wildlife corridor and accessible green space.
Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	Improved public access to higher quality natural green space.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	Site within Ellesmere Conservation Area and settings of several Listed Buildings. Also within medieval core of the town, so holds archaeological interest (HER PRNs 05293 & 05304). Planning appeal for this site dismissed in 2016 on basis of impact on character and appearance of the Conservation Area
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA + field evaluation (trail trenching) and impact on CA).  High quality design necessary to minimise any impacts on the setting of the CA. NB archaeological DBA completed in 2014 and geophysical survey in 2015
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities: Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Some reasonably significant trees present around boundary of the site.
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments  Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
	·
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to strengthen existing mature treescape around periphery of the town.
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints: Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	Good site.
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is within the Ellesmere Conservation Area and the settings of several Listed Buildings. Its central location means that it is also within the medieval core of the town, so holds archaeological interest. The site is adjacent to The Mere Local Wildlife site.  The site is also understood to have local amenity value.  The suitability of the existing highway to support the traffic associated with the development at the access point is dependent on the ability to relocate the controlled crossing on the A528.  4% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone, 74% of the site is located within 20m of an historic flood event and 61% within 20m of a detailed river network.  The site is located within an environmental network.  The site is located within an environmental network.  The site is in the setting of the conservation area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No No
Potential for Allocation?	No

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
	The site is within the Ellesmere Conservation area and the setting of several listed buildings. As such it is unsuitable for development.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL019
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	Yes
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	100%
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	00/
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	0/0
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	·
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium-High
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	v
Highway Network?	Y
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Narrow country lane along site frontage with no footway and national speed limits. Will need improvement including
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	widening and extension of speed limit.
So?	and the the state of the state
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	N? To secure improvements to lane may require third party land. Also cumulative impact of ELL019 & 003 (177 houses) on
Off-Site Works Achievable?	the narrow section of Birch Lane south of junction with Watergate Street needs to be examined.
	,
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	13
Convenience Store and Public	15
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere (very close with direct footpath connection to
Ecology Comments	Cole Mere) and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose
Significant Constraints:	Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings
	possible. Much of site in corridor of Env. Network (canal). Landscape issues.
	possible. With of site in corridor of Life. Network (callar), Lanuscape issues.
Ecology Comments	EclA required. Surveys for GCN (pond within 100m, others in 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible
Other Constraints:	species-rich semi-improved grassland needs botanical survey), reptiles, otters and water vole. Partly within Env. Network which follows and buffers the canal.
	will follows and buriers the carial.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	This site is relatively close to Cole Mere with a direct access route along the canal. Protected and priority species mitigation
Management of Constraints:	and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries and trees and hedges within site. Roadside
	hedge may be particularly species-rich. Green buffer required to canal, minimum 20 m. Open space should be connected to
	the buffer and the roadside hedge at least in two areas with semi-natural corridor (incorporating existing hedges?).
Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	Improved buffer of natural planting to canal side.
,,	

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	Site adjacent to, and with the settings of, the Grade II* and Grade II buildings within the Ellesmere Yard Canal Workshop, and adjacent to Ellesmere Canal (HER PRN 03414). Develop would be likely to have a detrimental impact the significance of these heritage assets.
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (setting assessment).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities: Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	Significant trees present adjacent to the site along south boundary
Tree Comments	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to
Management of Constraints:	inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to strengthen existing mature treescape around periphery of the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	Sewage treatment works to north west = odour
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:	.Don't use for residential, limited non-residential use may be acceptable.
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Poor
Strategic Considerations:	Whilst the site is adjacent to the development boundary, it is separated from the majority of the built form of the settlement by the Canal.  Residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-high visual impact.  The existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access and the necessary improvements would require third party land. The impact of development on the narrow section of Birch Lane, south of the junction with Watergate Street will need to be examined and if necessary managed. It is understood that there is concern locally about the capacity/constraints in this location  The site is adjacent to, and within the settings of, the Grade II* and Grade II buildings within the Ellesmere Yard Canal Workshop, and adjacent to Ellesmere Canal.  There is a sewage treatment works to the north west of the site, associated odour may be a significant constraint.  Much of the site is located within an environmental network.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site performs poorly within State 2a Sustainability Appraisal.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. High quality tree planting is also required, as are improvements to natural planting along the canal.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No No
Potential for Allocation?	No No

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	Whilst the site is adjacent to the development boundary, it is separated from the majority of the built form of the settlement by the Canal.  The site is also within the setting of Grade II and II* listed buildings.  Odour associated with the sewage treatment works.  Highway access is considered unsuitable and necessary improvements would require third party land.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL020
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	100%
surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	3%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	0/6
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	0,0
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	***
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)  Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments Existing Highway	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	IN .
Development at the Access Foliit:	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y Outside 30mph limit but this can be extended but will need traffic calming / gateway feature. Depending upon land usage
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	consider joint access with ELL002.
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	γ
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	16
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Ecology Comments	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the
Significant Constraints:	Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. If GCN are in pond mitigation land will be
	required. Landscape issues?
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (pond on site), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich semi-
Other Constraints:	improved grassland needs botanical survey) and reptiles. Surrounded by Env. Network on 3 sides.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	This site is relatively close to Cole Mere. Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Management of Constraints:	all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries. Retain trees within site where possible and include pond and habitat links in green
	open space to create corridor between arms of the Environmental network (to address CS17).
Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	Increased corridor for Env. Network.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Potential effects on setting of Grade II Listed The Grange (NHLE ref. 1175929), particularly as the site is detached from the existing built form of the town and therefore incongruous with the semi-rural surroundings. No known archaeological interest but site is of medium size, so may have some archaeological potential.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation + setting assessment).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Significant trees present on or adjacent to the site.
Other Constraints: Tree Comments	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to
Management of Constraints:	inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to strengthen existing mature treescape around periphery of the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	Noise from A528 would require attention and possibly assessment.
Other Constraints:	Noise from A528 would require attention and possibly assessment.
Public Protection Comments	If properties set well back from main A road no noise assessment would be required
Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	Good site.
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is separated from the built form of the settlement by land allocated for employment development.  The existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access, but necessary improvements are considered achievable through extension of the 30mph zone and traffic calming measures/gateway features.  1% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 1% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 3% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. The site also contains a pond.  Possible effects on the setting of Grade II listed building (The Grange).  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. High quality tree planting is also required.  Opportunity to enhance/increase the environmental network.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
	.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:  Potential for Windfall?  Potential for Allocation?	i i i

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	The site is separated from the built form of the settlement by land allocated for employment development.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Reference:  Coal Authority Reference Area?  Mineral Safeguarding Area?  Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3  Agricultural Land Quality:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	ELL024  No Yes  Yes  0% 0% 100% 6%
Mineral Safeguarding Area? Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3 Agricultural Land Quality: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	Yes Yes 0% 0% 100%
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3 Agricultural Land Quality: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	Yes 0% 0% 100%
Agricultural Land Quality:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	0% 0% 100%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	0% 0% 100%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	0% 100%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	
surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	6%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	
surface flood risk zone:	
	8%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	14%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	0//
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	1%
historic flood event:	170
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	1%
detailed river network:	·
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS) Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	Assumes access via ELL024a and onto Teal Drive has no third party restrictions.
Achieved? And How?	, ,
Highway Comments Existing Highway	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	I
Development at the Access Point!	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	V
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	Υ
WOTKS!	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	mulative impact of ELL024(a) & 006 (186 houses) on the Teal Drive / A528 junction needs to be examined.
Off-Site Works Achievable?	matable impact of 2222 (a) a doo (200 floades) of the feat of the first fload to be examined.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	20
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	pe required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
	d road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints: minimu	m 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the
	Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
	wheel Common for CCN (condensithin 500m). But the Second state of
IFCOIOGY COMMENTS	uired. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich
()ther (onstraints:	nproved grassland needs botanical survey), reptiles. Over half site within Env. Network linking route of disused
railway	and natural green space to south and east with priority habitat and grounds of The Grange to north and west.
See LDR H	RA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
	d and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries
	and hedges within site. Green Env. Network corridor to be created along south east and south west boundaries
and trees	to link habitats. Reduced area for building.
Ecology Comments	g groon consider across porthorn side of Ellerman for across and wildlife Construction of the Construction
	g green corridor across northern side of Ellesmere for people and wildlife. Semi-natural green space for informal
Opportunities:	recreation in line with HRA and visitor management at Cole Mere. See ELL024a and ELL006.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	No objections to this site raised on historic environment grounds but if it is taken forward we would suggest that good quality design is required.
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Significant trees present on and adjacent to the site. TPOs on site
Other Constraints: Tree Comments	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to
Management of Constraints:	inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to improve urban forest resource within the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	Landfill to northeast.
Other Constraints:	Lanum to northeast.
Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:	Gas proofing layer to buildings.
Public Protection Comments	Good site.
Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Fair
Appraisal:	
Strategic Considerations:	The site is located adjacent to the built form of the settlement. 6% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 8% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 14% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. 1% of the site is also within 20m of an historic flood event and a detailed river network. The impact of the development on the Teal Drive/A528 junction would need assessment.  Over half of the site is located within an environmental network - along western and southern boundaries.  Significant trees located on the site.  There is a landfill located to the north-east of the site.  Within the Shropshire Landscape and Visual Sensitivity Study, the site is within a parcel within which residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-low landscape and visual impact. However, a more detailed landscape assessment was undertaken for this site to inform the determination of a previous Planning Application, which indicated that development would have a high effect due to the significant change in landscape character.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues.  Significant trees and hedgerows will need to be retained on the site and high quality tree planting is required.  Need to create green environmental networks along the sites south-east and south-west boundaries to provide links between existing assets. This should also link into a wider green corridor to the north of Ellesmere.  Gas proofing associated with landfill may be necessary.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No No
	INU

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
	Development on the site would have a high landscape impact.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Reference:  Coal Authority Reference Area?  Mineral Safeguarding Area?  Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	ELL024a No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	00/
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	100%
surface flood risk zone:	8%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	10%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	14%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	<b>U</b> /0
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS) Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	Assumes access via ELL024a and onto Teal Drive has no third party restrictions.
Achieved? And How?	• ,
Highway Comments Existing Highway	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	T .
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	V
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	Υ
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	Cumulative impact of ELL024(a) & 006 (186 houses) on the Teal Drive / A528 junction needs to be examined.
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	20
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA and the
	Environmental Network, which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
	Full remained Common for COM/mands within 500 A D. L. D. L. D. L. D. L.
Ecology Comments	EclA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich
Other Constraints:	semi-improved grassland needs botanical survey), reptiles. All of site within Env. Network linking route of disused railway
	and natural green space to south and east with priority habitat and grounds of The Grange to north and west.
9	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries
	and trees and hedges within site. Green Env. Network corridor to be created along south east and south west boundaries
	to link habitats. Much reduced area for building.
Foology Commonts	Drouiding group coveries porthorn side of Elleganese for possile and wildlife Construction of the Construc
	Providing green corridor across northern side of Ellesmere for people and wildlife. Semi-natural green space for informal
Opportunities:	recreation in line with HRA and visitor management at Cole Mere. See ELL024 and ELL006.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	No objections to this site raised on historic environment grounds but if it is taken forward we would suggest that good quality design is required.
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Other Constraints:	Significant trees present on and adjacent to the site. TPOs on site
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to improve urban forest resource within the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Landfill to northeast.
Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:	Gas proofing layer to buildings.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	Good site.
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is located adjacent to the built form of the settlement.  8% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 10% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 14% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  The impact of the development on the Teal Drive/A528 junction would need assessment.  The site is located within an environmental network.  Significant trees located on the site.  There is a landfill located to the north-east of the site.  Within the Shropshire Landscape and Visual Sensitivity Study, the site is within a parcel within which residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-low landscape and visual impact. A more detailed landscape assessment was undertaken for ELL024 (which extends beyond this site) to inform the determination of a previous Planning Application, which indicated that development would have a high effect due to the significant change in landscape character. It is acknowledged that ELL024a may have a reduced impact to that of development within ELL024.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues.  Significant trees and hedgerows will need to be retained on the site and high quality tree planting is required.  Need to create green environmental networks along the sites south-east and south-west boundaries to provide links between existing assets. This should also link into a wider green corridor to the north of Ellesmere.  Gas proofing associated with landfill may be necessary.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No No

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:  If proposed for Allocation  Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL026
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	40/
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	1% 4%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	96%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	30%
surface flood risk zone:	3%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	6%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	13%
surface flood risk zone:	1370
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	Medium-Low
(from the LVSS)	ivieuiuii-Low
Visual Impact Considerations:	Medium-Low
(from the LVSS)	Mediani EOW
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Υ
Highway Network?	
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	"
	Cumulative impact of ELL026 & 007 (456 houses) on the B5068 / A495 junction and narrow section of Trimpley Street needs
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	to be examined and the opportunity to create a strategic highway link between this site and the A495 via the business park
Off-Site Works Achievable?	investigated.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	18
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA , which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (pond on site and within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, reptiles. Partly within Env.
Other Constraints:	Network following the two routes of disused railway lines.
	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries
Management of Constraints:	and within site. Routes of both disused railway lines should be incorporated into green space provision with public access
	and additional natural habitat planting conforming with CS17 and MD12. Pond to be retained and habitat links to open
	space.
Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	Creation of a circular dog-walking route using both sections of disused railway.
	<u> </u>

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Site previously crossed by Oswestry to Ellesmere (HER PRN 05982) and Ellesmere to Wrexham (HER PRN 08459) branches of the Cambrian Railway. Also a large site, so may hold wider archaeological interest.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	Some reasonably significant trees present around boundary of the site.
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to strengthen existing mature treescape around periphery of the town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Historic railways cross the site therefore potential contamination associated with these. Noise from road to the north is a potential.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Remediate contamination.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The site is located adjacent to a current development site.  Development of the site could not occur without off-site highway works including consideration of the capacity of the Cherry Drive/Elson Road T junction and the B5068 / A495 junction. It is understood that there is concern locally about the capacity/constraints at this junction. An alternative access to the south has also been suggested however this point of access into the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3 and would involve third party land.  Part of the site is within an environmental network, following the routes of two disused railway lines. These may also have archaeological value and potentially contamination. These would need to be retained as green space.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  Residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-low landscape and visual impact.  1% of the site is located within Flood Zone 3 and 4% within Flood Zone 2. 3% of the site is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 6% in the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 13% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  There are some reasonably significant trees around the site.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. High quality tree planting is also required.  Retention and enhancement of environmental networks. These networks should be linked to create a circular walk using both sections of the disused railway.  Any contamination on the site would need to be remediated.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No No
Potential for Allocation?	No No

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	Development of the site could not occur without off-site highway works including consideration of the capacity of the Cherry Drive/Elson Road T junction and the B5068 / A495 junction. It is understood that there is concern locally about the capacity/constraints at this junction. An alternative access to the south has also been suggested however this point of access into the site is located within flood zones 2 and/or 3 and would involve third party land.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL027
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3 Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	00/
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	2%
surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site identified on the	
EA Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	00/
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	070
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations: (from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	V
Highway Network?	Y
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	Promoter advises access has been secured through ELL002
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y Outside 30mph limit but this can be extended but will need traffic calming / gateway feature. Depending upon land usage
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	consider joint access with ELL020.
So? Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	'
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
(Based on Brimery, School, CB Surgery	20
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery, Convenience Store and Public	20
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA, which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
Ecology Comments	EclA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, vascular plants (possible species-rich
Other Constraints:	semi-improved grassland needs botanical survey), reptiles.
Saalama Camara anta	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries.
Management of Constraints:	Open space to lie adjacent to hedges and mature trees to south and west. to improve habitat linkages and reduce conflict between housing and trees.
	between nousing and trees.
Ecology Comments	
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Improve biodiversity value through habitat creation in open space.
opportunities.	

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	Significant trees present on and adjacent to the site. TPOs on site
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	BS 5837: 2012 Arboricultural impact assessment must be undertaken and the tree constraints and opportunities used to inform site layout design.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to improve urban forest resource within he town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Potential noise from existing industry to the southeast
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Noise insulation to reduce noise impacts may be required but likely to be possible.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The sites only point of access is through the adjacent employment allocation. The site is also in close proximity to the existing Fullwood and Bland Employment Site on Grange Road. As such the site is more closely associated with employment uses that residential uses.  The existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access, but necessary improvements are considered achievable through extension of the 30mph zone and traffic calming measures/gateway features.  Potential noise associated with adjacent employment site.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  There are significant trees around the boundary of the site.  2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. Open spaces should also involve habitat creation and tree planting.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	
Potential for Allocation?	

Recommendation:	Remains as countryside.
Reasoning:	The sites only point of access is through the adjacent employment allocation. The site is also in close proximity to the existing Fullwood and Bland Employment Site on Grange Road. As such the site is more closely associated with employment uses that residential uses.  It is considered that there is currently sufficient employment land allocated in Ellesmere.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL028
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	1%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	3%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	98%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site identified on the	00/
EA Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	17%
historic flood event:	1770
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	17%
detailed river network:	2776
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)  Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	Via existing Business Park
Achieved? And How?	, and the second
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	T T
Development at the Access Foint!	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	V
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	Y
VVOIKS!	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	18
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA, which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds.
Other Constraints:	LCIA required. Surveys for GCIV (portus Within South), Daugers, Dats, Hesting Dirus.
Ecology Comments	See LPR HRA for mitigation methods for recreational issues (e.g. larger open space or contributions to visitor management).
Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/tree lines on boundaries.
Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	Locate open space to lie adjacent to hedges or watercourse to east and north to improve habitat linkages.

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Known prehistoric archaeological interest on the site. Field evaluations carried out in 2008.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (suggest this takes the form of an agreed archaeological mitigation strategy, including a Written Scheme of Investigation, the implementation of which could then be conditioned)
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	Opportunity to preserve the known archaeology in situ could be built into the site design/ layout.
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Tree planting opportunities across the site to ensure that development can meet tree cover targets in planning policy framework and help to improve urban forest resource within he town.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Existing commercial use to the south.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	May be able to mitigate pollution concerns from existing commercial use however may result in loss of development land.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The land is currently allocated for employment development. Whilst it has been determined that there is sufficient employment land currently available in Ellesmere to achieve balanced growth, this is subject to the existing supply (including this site) being delivered.  The site itself is well located for employment development, with strong links to the existing Business Park adjacent to the sites southern boundary, and through this site onto the good access onto the A495. Being located in the south-west of Ellesmere, it also balances the employment land opportunities to the north-east.  Whilst a Planning Application has not yet been submitted on the site, due to the advantages of its location it is considered a valuable site for employment provision.  In order to create sustainable settlements, there is a need to provide both housing and employment opportunities. As such it is considered that the land should be retained for employment development.  The site can only be accessed through the adjacent business park and there could be noise issues associated with this site.  1% of the site is located within Flood Zone 3 and 3% within flood zone 2. 1% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. 17% of the site is within 20m of an historic flood event and detailed river network.  There is known prehistoric interest on the site.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. Open spaces should be located adjacent to hedges/watercourse to improve habitat links. High quality tree planting is also required. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No No
Totelluarior Allocation:	No

Recommendation:	Retain allocation for employment land.
Reasoning	In order to create sustainable settlements, there is a need to provide both housing and employment opportunities. There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential	
capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL032
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	24%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	42% 58%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	58%
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	4%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	410/
surface flood risk zone:	14%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	·
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	V
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	New Wharf Road
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	19
Convenience Store and Public	15
Transport Service):	
,	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from
	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Ecology Comments	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could
Significant Constraints:	reduce numbers of dwellings possible.
	If priority habitats are present then the site should not be developed. If priority habitats not present, boundary vegetation
	should be retained, enhanced and buffered, reducing developable area.
Ecology Comments	Much of the site lies within the Env. Network. There is a TPO in the western boundary. The site may contain priority habitat
Other Constraints:	botanical survey required. If priority habitats are present then the site should not be developed.  Requires botanical survey, Ecla and surveys for bats, GCNs (ponds within 250m/500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.
	neganes sociation survey, and and surveys for bacs, delve (points within 25011/50011), baugers, reptiles and flesting birds.
Ecology Comments	If priority habitat, site should not be developed. If not priority habitat: protected and priority species and habitats
Management of Constraints:	mitigation and enhancement, retain and enhance mature trees/hedgerows/tree lines and protect adjacent priority habitats.  Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Trotect, emiliance and restore Link. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
Ecology Comments	Site could potentially be restored/enhanced as priority habitat.
Opportunities:	See accompanying document
	<u> </u>

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Site located wholly within Ellesmere Conservation Area. N end of site located within the medieval historic core of Ellesmere (HER PRN 05304) and a group of tenement plots (HER PRN 05288) that are thought to have medieval origins. Site also includes part of a former timber yard (HER PRN 05231) associated with the Ellesmere Canal.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (impact on character and appearance of CA; archaeological Desk Based Assessment + ?evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Opportunities:	
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	Possible noise from supermarket. Contaminated land concerns from past land use and land uses near by.
Public Protection Comments	Con land remediation likely to be available. Consideration of noise necessary - noise assessment required and mitigation as
Management of Constraints:	required.
Public Protection Comments	·
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Cood
Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The site was promoted following the base date of the 31st March 2018 for the SLAA exercise.  The site is located within the built form of the settlement.  The site has previously been granted planning permission for a GP surgery.  Part of the site is currently in use for parking.  24% of the site is located within Flood Zone 3 and 42% within Flood Zone 2. 4% of the site is located within the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 14% in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  The majority of the site is located within a conservation area and forms part of a former timber yard associated with Ellesmere Canal, may therefore have archaeological potential.  The site contains several mature trees.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  The site is located within an environmental network, may contain priority habitat and is within proximity of a tree subject to TPO protection.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms: Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. High quality tree planting is also required.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Determination for a NAME OF THE	
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	Yes No

Recommendation:	The site is located within the built form of the settlement and the existing development boundary. As such the elements of the site not located within flood zones 2 and/or 3 may be suitable as windfall development, subject to material considerations. The elements of the site within flood zones 2 and/or 3 would be subject to sequential/exception tests. Due to the size and location of the site, it is not considered necessary for the site to be allocated for development.
Reasoning:	The site is located within the built form of the settlement and subject to material considerations may be appropriate for windfall development.  Site design will need to reflect the sites setting within a conservation area and the elements of the site in flood zones 2 and/or 3.  There are preferable sites available within the settlement. These sites are considered to have a better relationship to the built form of the settlement; could potentially contribute towards the achievement of a circular walk which could relieve recreational pressure on the Cole Mere SAC; and offer an opportunity to create an attractive gateway into the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	ELL033
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	Yes
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	27%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	37%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 year	63%
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	40/
surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site identified on the	0%
EA Historic Flood Map:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	6%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone: Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Medium-High
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	A 495
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	IV.
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y. Outside 30mph limit but this can be extended but will need traffic calming / gateway feature.
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	"
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	Y. Assumes new footway at site frontage and crossing facility/link with existing footway on north side of A 495 at nearby
Off-Site Works Achievable?	roundabout.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of	
24)	
(Based on Primary School, GP Surgery,	13
Convenience Store and Public	
Transport Service):	
5 / 6	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses, and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss (possibly others). More than the
Significant Constraints:	minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) would be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could
	reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
Ecology Comments	Requires EcIA and surveys for bats, GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.
Other Constraints:	Hedgerows and trees will need to be buffered.
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines.
Management of Constraints:	Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	

Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Historic map evidence indicates that the site is crossed by a palaeochannel (old watercourse). May have high archaeological potential for palaeo-environmental deposits.
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Hedgerows and hedgerow trees, belt of trees to the south east.
Other Constraints: Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arboricultural
Management of Constraints:	Method Statement.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	Opportunities for tree planting across the site
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Road noise will require assessment to meet internal noise standards with windows open.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Poor
Strategic Considerations:	The site is crossed by the access to the existing SAMDev Plan residential and mixed use allocations to the south. The site offers the potential to create an attractive 'gateway' into Ellesmere from the west. It also creates an opportunity to enhance links between the existing built form of the settlement and land allocated for development to the south. The site benefits from access onto a main road into Ellesmere. However the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access, but necessary improvements are considered achievable through extension of the 30mph zone and traffic calming measures/gateway features. Off-site highway works will also be required including provision of a new footway at site frontage and crossing facility/link with existing footway on north side of A495 at nearby roundabout.  Opportunity to link into wider open space provision in order to create a circular walk, which could relieve recreational pressure on Cole Mere.  Residential development on the site is considered to be of a medium-high visual impact.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Fenns etc. Mosses and N0x pollution from increased road traffic on White Mere, Sweatmere and Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss etc.  There are hedgerows and mature trees on the site.  27% of the site is located within Flood Zone 3 and 37% within Flood Zone 2. 1% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. 6% of the site is within 20m of a detailed river network.  The site may be crossed by a palaeochannel (old watercourse) and therefore may have high archaeological potential for palaeo-environmental deposits.  The road to the north is a source of noise.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	The site would need to deliver an appropriate junction, traffic calming and pedestrian crossing facility on the A495.  Noise associated with the adjacent road will need to be mitigated.  Open space requirements will need to exceed default standards in order to address recreation issues. Hedgerows/trees should be buffered and high quality tree planting is also required.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No Yes

Recommendation:	Allocate for development in combination with ELL005 and ELL008.
Reasoning:	The site is well related to the built form of the settlement and will be crossed to provide access to the current SAMDev Plan allocation, as such its allocation will strongly link to the current SAMDev Plan allocation and allow for a more effective integration of development on the current SAMDev Plan allocation and that on ELL005 and ELL008. It presents an opportunity in combination with ELL005 and ELL008 to create an attractive gateway into the town from the west.  Development could be excluded from the element of the site on flood zones 2 and 3, which would present opportunities for open space provision.  Whilst the site performs poorly within Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal, this is primarily due to access to services and facilities, development of the site in combination with ELL005 and ELL008 could provide on-site open space and improved links to services and facilities in the town.
If proposed for Allocation, potential capacity:	170 dwellings, in combination with ELL005 and ELL008
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	Comprehensive masterplan required for the site.  Access into each component of the site and the existing allocation to the south should be complementary. An appropriate pedestrian crossing facility over the A495 is required.  Any necessary improvements to the local and strategic road network will be undertaken, informed by consultation with Highways England and an appropriate Transport Assessment (including consideration of cumulative impact).  Mitigation measures required to remove any adverse effects from development of the site on the integrity of internationally designated sites.  The site will incorporate appropriate sustainable drainage, informed by a sustainable drainage strategy. Any residual surface water flood risk will be managed by excluding development from the affected areas of the site, which will form part of the Green Infrastructure network. Development will also be excluded from the portions of the site located in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3. Flood and water management measures must not displace water elsewhere.  Open space provision should link to green spaces within the development to the south and the surrounding area, with the intention of creating a circular route for pedestrians, dog-walkers and cyclists. This should link to existing public rights of way on and around the site, which will be retained and enhanced. It should also link to public rights of way, including the nearby canal towpath.  The potential to de-culvert Newnes Brook should be investigated and if possible implemented. An appropriate buffer (minimum 10m) to Newnes Brook should be provided to create an environmental corridor.  Existing tree cover should be retained and enhanced.  Design and layout should minimise noise impact from adjacent road.

Community Hub: Dudleston Heath

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG001
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	
Agricultural Land Quality:	No
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	5/2
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	00/
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	NO
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Network?	Y (Chapel Lane)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	T T
Development at the Access rount:	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	On the basis that it is a samll scale development. Although no footways, lane is quiet and the scale of
Reasonably be Made So?	development wouldn't impact significantly on local traffic flows, safety etc.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	'
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	
Site Works Achieveable?	On the basis that it is a small scale development t is possible to walk along lanes to access village.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
Significant constraints.	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	and that which could reduce humbers of aweilings possible. See LFA MAA.
Fanlage Commission	Determined survivors has required. Described Fold and survivors for CCN / 1 1 2 250 1 2 2
Ecology Comments	Botanical survey may be required. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 250m), badgers,
Other Constraints:	reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Ecology Comments	hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17
Management of Constraints:	Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Page 113

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	.,,,,
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Other Constraints:	Trees and hedgerows on boundary of site.
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Fian & Arbonicalitata Method Statement.
	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	
Public Protection Comments	none
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	none
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	n/a
Management of Constraints:	.,, -
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Enix
Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is associated with Greenhill Bank and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by the built form of Greenhill Bank and agricultural fields.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains woodled areas and there are has boundary trees and hedgerows.  1% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:  Potential for Windfall?	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Allocation?	No No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
necommendation	netalli as coulitiyside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG003
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Voc
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	0,70
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	1%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	0%
Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	N. A
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y (B5068)
Highway Network?	1 (63008)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	Υ
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Subject to review and extension of exisitng speed limit. Existing footway at site frontage.
Reasonably be Made So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	Exisitng footway extending into village.
Site Works Achieveable?	
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of pond on the site will reduce the no. of houses possible.
	Protection of pond on the site will reduce the no. of nodses possible.
	There is a pond on the site. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce
	the no. of houses possible.
Ecology Comments	Field adjacent to western boundary contains semi-improved grassland. Botanical survey may be
Other Constraints:	required. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, bat survey (trees),
	reptiles and nesting birds.
	Hedgerows, pond and adjacent grassland will need to be buffered.
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement, retain and enhance mature
Management of Constraints:	trees/hedgerows/tree lines and protect adjacent priority habitats. Protect, enhance and restore Env.
management of constraints.	Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Page 116

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Potential impact on setting of St Matthew's Church (HER PRN 32569) - a non-designated heritage asset.
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (Setting of non-designated heritage assets).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgerows on boundary of site. Few trees on site
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	<u> </u>
Public Protection Comments	none
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	road noise
Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	noise assessment
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The site adjoins the built form of Dudleston Heath on its eastern boundary.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  There is a pond on the site and it has boundary trees and hedgerows.  The adjacent field contains semi-improved grassland.  1% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Potential impact on setting of St Matthew's Church, a non-designated heritage asset.  Noise associated with nearby roads.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:  Potential for Windfall?	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Allocation?	No No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

No Markers Selegouring Aces No No More Markers No No More Markers No	Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Allower Safeguarding Areas 2  Agricultural Lond Quality:  Free rentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Free rentage of site in Flood Zone 1:  Free rentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Free rentage of the site in the 30 year  Africa Safeguarding of the site in the 30 year  Africa Flood Zone 1:  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Zone 2:  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Zone 3:  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Zone 3:  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Zone 4:  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Xis Zone  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Xis Zone  Free rentage of the site in the 100 year  Africa Flood Xis Zone  Free rentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood xis Zone  Free rentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood wester  Free rentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event:  Free rentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event:  Free rentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event:  From the USS)  From th	Site Reference:	DHG004
yes gripedured Land Quality:  recreating of site in Flood Zone 3:  recreating of site in Flood Zone 2:  recreating of site in Flood Zone 2:  recreating of site in Flood Zone 2:  recreating of the site in the 30 year  urfoxe flood risk zone:  recreating of the site in the 30 year  urfoxe flood risk zone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year  urfoxe flood risk zone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year  urfoxe flood risk zone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year  urfoxe flood risk zone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year  urfoxe flood risk zone:  recreating of the site with zone:  recreating of the site with zone:  recreating of the site within 2000 of an interest control of the site within 2000 of an interest control of the site within 2000 of a testion flood event.  It or part of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction and the state of the site within a Source voluction zone:  No the state of the site within a Source voluction and the state of the site	Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Agricultural Land Quality:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 2:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 2:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 2:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 3:  **recentage of the Stein Rional Zone 4:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 3:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 4:  **recentage of Stein Rional Zone 5:  **No Consideration Stein Rional Zone 5:  **No Consideration Stein Rional Zone 5:  **No Lassessed 4:  **Y (Hill Park estate road) Highway Comments - Direct Access to fighway Ketwork 7:  **Sighway Comments - Fieldsting Highway Richard Soni Rional Soni Zone 1:  **Recentage 5:  **Recentage 5:  **Recentage 6:  **Recentage 6:  **Research 2:  **R	Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
particularly Land Cludity:  **Tercentage of Site in Flood Zone 2:  **Tercentage of Site in Site In Site 30 year uplace flood site Xone:  **Tercentage of Site in Sit	Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Voc
Percentage of site in flood Zone 2:  recreating of the site in the 30 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site in the 30 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site in the 100 year uptice flood its Xone:  recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood Mage:  recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood ween:  recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood event:  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Y (Hill Park estate road)  rightwoy comments - Ji No Direct Access to display yet yet yet yet yet yet yet yet yet ye	Agricultural Land Quality:	fes
recenting of the site in the 30 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site in the 30 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site in the 30 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site in the 100 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recenting of the site within 20m of an istance flood event:  10%  10%  10%  10%  10%  10%  10%  10	Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
recentage of the site in the 30 year uptoe flood risk zone:  recentage of the site in the 100 year uptoe flood risk zone:  recentage of the site in the 100 year uptoe flood risk zone:  recentage of the site in the 1,000 year uptoe flood risk zone:  recentage of the site within 20m of an instruct flood was zone:  recentage of the site within 20m of an instruct flood went:  recentage of the site within 20m of an instruct flood went:  verticentage of the site within 20m of an instruct flood went:  verticentage of the site within 20m of an instruct flood went:  volume the within 20m of a detailed flow network:  No another provided within a Source value of the site within 20m of a detailed flow network:  No another provided with source value flood went:  No another provided with source value flood with a session of the USS)  Not Assessed  Not Assess	Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
urface flood risk zone: recentage of the sist in the 100 year urface flood risk zone: recentage of the sist in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recentage of the sist in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recentage of the sist within 20m of an istoric Flood Most zone: recentage of the sist within 20m of an istoric Flood white zone of an istoric Flood white zone of an istoric Flood white zone recentage of the sist within 20m of an istoric Flood white zone recentage of the sist within 20m of a resident larve metavors: White op and of the sist within 20m of a recentage of the sist within 2	Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
uriface flood risk zone: recreating of the site in the 100 year urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site within 20m of an istoric flood went: recreating of the site within 20m of an istoric flood went: recreating of the site within 20m of an istoric flood went: recreating of the site within 20m of a recreating of the site within 20m of a recreating of the site within 30m of a recreating of the site within a Source rotation flood went:  No recreating of the site within a Source rotation flood within a Source rotation flood went:  No recreating of the site within a Source rotation flood	Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood drisk zone: recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood vewen: recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood event: recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood event: recreating of the site within 20m of an istance flood event: recreating of the site within 20m of a retealled river network: viii or part of the site within a Source rotection 20ne: not anascape Considerations: Not Assessed flood flo	surface flood risk zone:	0,70
urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site in the 1,000 year urface flood risk zone: recreating of the site within 20m of an istanic flood what: recreating of the site within 20m of an istanic flood what: recreating of the site within 20m of an istanic flood what: recreating of the site within 20m of a recreating site within 20m of a recreation site of a recreation site of a recreation 3 manual recreation and protection of the pand (with aspropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pand (with aspropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires Stand and surveys for GCNs Jondons within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.		0%
urface flood risk zone:  vercentage of the site identified on the EA  sistoric Flood Map:  vercentage of the site within 20m of on  sistoric flood event:  vercentage of the site within 20m of on  sistoric flood event:  vercentage of the site within 20m of on  sistoric flood event:  vercentage of the site within 20m of on  sistoric flood event:  vercentage of the site within 20m of on  teletalidar fiver network:  No on  the clusted river network:  No on  the clusted river network:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 20ne:  No thassessed  five part of the site within a Source  riveraction 30ne fees that part of the south and source  riveraction 30ne fees that site of the south and source  riveraction 30ne fees that site of the south and source  riveraction 30ne fees that site of the south and source  riveraction 30ne fees that site of the south and source  riveraction 30ne fees that site of the south and source  riveraction 30ne fees that site of the south and and enhancement. Retain and enhance  hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance  hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network		0,70
Protected and profits a site identified on the EA (0% instance place) of the site within 20m of an istoric flood went:    Ow		0%
Issaine Flood Map:  verentage of the site within 20m of an issaine flood event:  verentage of the site within 20m of an issaine flood event:  verentage of the site within 20m of an issaine flood event:  verentage of the site within 20m of an issaine flood event:  verentage of the site within 20m of an issaine flood event:  verentage Considerations:  not considerations:  not Assessed  Not Assessed  floor part Considerations:  not Assessed  floor part Access to lighway Comments - Direct Access, an One Reasonably Be Achieved? And dow?  floor part Considerations:  not Reasonably Be Achieved? And dow?  floor part Considerations:  floor part Considerations:  y (Hill Park estate road)  floor park estate road  floor park estate road)  floor park estate road)  floor park estate road)  floor park estate road)  floor park estate road  floor		
Protected and profit site within 20m of an istoric flood event:  Secretage of the site within 20m of a letailed river network:  With or part of the site within 30m of a letailed river network:  No part of the site within a Source rotection Zone:  No andscape Considerations:  Not Assessed  Not As		0%
isstoric flood event:    Provided in Constraints:   Discourage	·	
Protection of the site within 20m of a tetalian diver network:  Ill or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No nandscape Considerations:  from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  Y (Hill Park estate road)  Inghway Comments - If No Direct Access to dighway Network?  Inghway Comments - If No Direct Access, an One Reasonably Be Achieved? And tow?  Inghway Comments - Fixisting Highway witable for Traffic Associated with the evelopment at the Access Point?  Inghway Comments - If Existing Highway witable for Traffic Associated with the evelopment at the Access Point?  Inghway Comments - Fixisting Highway witable for Traffic Associated with the evelopment of the Access Point?  Inghway Comments - Fixisting Highway witable for Traffic Associated with the evelopment of the Access Point?  Inghway Comments - Fixisting Highway with Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Inghway Comments - Fixisting Highway with Access Point?  Inghway Comments - Fixisting Highway with Access Point?  Inghway Comments - Are Envisaged Office works?  Inghway Comments - Are Envisaged Office works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent to the south-western boundary, Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible. Requires Echa and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.		0%
Individual contents of the site within a Source not ection Zone:  Not Assessed  Y (Hill Park estate road)  Indiphacy Comments - Direct Access to lighway Network?  Indiphacy Comments - If No Direct Access, from One Reasonably Be Achieved? And low?  Indiphacy Comments - Existing Highway witable for Troffic Associated with the everlapment at the Access Point?  Indiphacy Comments - If Sociated with the everlapment at the Access Point?  Indiphacy Comments - If Sociated with the everlapment at the Access Point?  Indiphacy Comments - Fexisting Highway witable for Troffic Associated with the everlapment of the Access Point?  Indiphacy Comments - Fexisting Highway  Indiphacy Comments - Fexisting Highway  Indiphacy Comments - Fexisting Highway  No Social Soc		
No analogope Considerations: from the LVSS) Sixual impact Considerations: from the LVSS) Not Assessed  Y (Hill Park estate road) Injuhava Network? Injuhava Network? Injuhava Network? Injuhava Network Network Injuhava Network Inju		0%
And a sees of the control of the con		
andscape Considerations: from the LVSS  Sixual Impact Considerations: from the LVSS  In the		No
from the LVSS)   Not Assessed		
Install Impact Considerations: From the LVSS  Iighway Comments - Direct Access to tighway Network? Iighway Comments - If No Direct Access, and One Reasonably Be Achieved? And dow? Iighway Comments - Existing Highway turbule for Traffic Associated with the evelopment at the Access Point? Iidighway Comments - If Existing Highway to the Access Point? Iidighway Comments - If Existing Highway to the Access Point? Iidighway Comments - If Existing Highway to the Access Point? Iidighway Comments - Oud the You will be the Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So? Iidighway Comments - Are Envisaged Official Works? Iidighway Comments - Are Envisaged Official Works? Iidighway Comments - Are Envisaged Official Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EclA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/free lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		Not Assessed
from the LVSS)  Iighway Comments - Direct Access to Iighway New Reasonably Be Achieved? And Isolate for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Iighway Comments - If Isolating Highway Iighway Comments - If Existing Highway Iighway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Iighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Iite Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from Increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
ilighway Comments - Direct Access to ilighway Network? ilighway network? ilighway Comments - If No Direct Access, ion One Reasonably Be Achieved? And low? Ilighway Comments - Existing Highway will be for Traffic Associated with the pevelopment at the Access Point? Ilighway Comments - If Existing Highway the Access Point? Ilighway Comments - If Existing Highway the Access Point? Ilighway Comments - Oculd the Pevelopment Occur Without Off-Site Works? Ilighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-lite Works Achieveable? Sensible extension of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NDx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.	•	Not Assessed
### Institute of the project of the		
inghway Comments - If No Direct Access, ian One Reasonably Be Achieved? And low?  lighway Comments - Existing Highway witable for Traffic Associated with the Pevelopment at the Access Point?  lighway Comments - If Existing Highway witable for Traffic Associated with the Pevelopment at the Access Point?  lighway Comments - If Existing Highway wita Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  lighway Comments - Could the Pevelopment Occur Without Off-Site Works?  lighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-lite Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Cross Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EclA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.	Highway Network?	Y (Hill Park estate road)
dighway Comments - Existing Highway curtable for Traffic Associated with the everlapment at the Access Point?  Idighway Comments - If Existing Highway the Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Idighway Comments - Could the everlapment Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-lite Works?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-lite Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17	Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
ilighway Comments - Existing Highway uitable for Troffic Associated with the bevelopment at the Access Point?  dighway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  dighway Comments - Could the Vevelopment Occur Without Off-Site Norks?  dighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- ite Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EclA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within SOOm), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17	Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Idighway Comments - If Existing Highway It Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Idighway Comments - Could the bevelopment Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Idighway Comments - Ar	How?	
Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Idighway Comments - If Existing Highway It Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Idighway Comments - Could the bevelopment Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable - Are Envisaged Off-Site Work	Highway Comments - Evisting Highway	
Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It teasonably be Made So?  Idighway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Idighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Sensible extension of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		V
Via stub off Hill Park.  Via stub off All Park.  Yell Park.  Via stub off Hill Park.  Yell Park.  Via stub off All Park.  Yell Park.		'
Via stub off Hill Park.  Via the stub of the stub off Hill Park.  Via the stub off Hill Park.  Via the stub off Hill Park.  Via the stub of the stub of the stub of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road Facility of the stub of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road Facility of the stub of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road Facility of the stub of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollu	beverapment at the Access Forme.	
Accology Comments  Cicology Comm	Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
Angegement of Coostraints:    Sensible extension of existing residential area.	at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Via stub off Hill Park.
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  ### Sensible extension of existing residential area.  ### HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  ###################################	Reasonably be Made So?	
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  ### Sensible extension of existing residential area.  ### HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  ###################################	Highway Commonts Could the	
Morks?  dighway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- lite Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		v
Sensible extension of existing residential area.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		'
HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA. Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		Sensible extension of existing residential area.
increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17	one from stime reasie.	
increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.  There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17	Significant Constraints:	
There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		- '
appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
Requires EclA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		There is a pond adjacent to the south-western boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with
Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17	Ecology Comments	
Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17	Other Constraints:	
hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.
hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17		
Aggagement of Constraints:	Ecology Comments	
Environmental Networks and MD12.	Management of Constraints:	
		Environmental Networks and MD12.
Page 119		Dame 440

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	'
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	- 11 1 6 7
Other Constraints:	Trees and hedgrows on boundary of site
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	
Opportunities:	Tree planting across site
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints:	none
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	road noise
Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	noise assessment
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Good
<i>Арргиізиі.</i>	
Strategic Considerations:	The site is well related to the built form of Dudleston Heath which bounds the site to the east and south.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site has boundary trees and hedgerows.  There is a pond adjacent to the site.  Noise associated with nearby roads.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.
	Page 120

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG006
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	· ·
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	<b>0</b> ,,
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	2%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	18%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y (B5068)
Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	<u></u>
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Y. Subject to review and extension of the 40 mph limit and provision of footway along site frontage.
Reasonably be Made So?	
·	
Highway Comments - Could the	N
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	N
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	
Site Works Achieveable?	N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away.
Site Works Acineveuble:	
	If priority habitats are present then those areas of the site should not be developed.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.
	There is a pond adjacent to the south-eastern boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with
	appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.
Ecology Comments	The site may contain priority habitats.
Other Constraints:	Requires botanical survey, EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), bats, badgers, reptiles and
	nesting birds.
	Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.
	If priority habitat, those areas of the site should not be developed. If not priority habitat: protected
Ecology Comments	and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree
Management of Constraints:	lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks
	and MD12.2
	Page 122

banks (HER PRNS 08.263 and 08.311), present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kind east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters (HER PRN 08.313). Site therefore has archaeological interest.  Heritage Comments  Management of Constraints:  Heritage Comments  Opportunities:  Tree Comments  Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments  Other Constraints:  Tree and hedgrows on boundary and within site. Small area of woodland adjacent to the south east boundary.  Tree Comments  Other Constraints:  Tree Comments  Standard BSS837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plans Arboricultural Method Statement.  Tree Comments  Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.  Public Protection Comments  Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Other Constraints:  None  Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:  None  Opportunities:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered at the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable it is not considered and the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on White Mere, Crose Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats.  There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is works and these are not considered achievable is to not considered achievable.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundar	Ecology Comments	
Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow (HER PRNS 08263, 08309, 08310), and former field boundary bonks (HER PRNS 08263 and 08311), present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kind east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of weaters (HER PRN 08313). Site therefore has chaeological interest.   Heritage Comments	Opportunities:	
Earthwork remains of lidge and furrow IHER PRNs 08253 and 3030, and former field boundary banks (IHER PRNs 08253 and 38311), present across such of size. Presence of possible pottery kin east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters (IHER PRN 08313). Sixe therefore has archaeological Interest.   Heritage Comments	Heritage Comments	
Earthwork remains of lidge and furrow IHER PRNs 08253 and 3030, and former field boundary banks (IHER PRNs 08253 and 38311), present across such of size. Presence of possible pottery kin east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters (IHER PRN 08313). Sixe therefore has archaeological Interest.   Heritage Comments	_	
Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA + field evaluation [Level 2 Management of Constraints:  Beritage Comments Opportunities.  Tree Comments Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments Other Constraints:  Tree Comments Standard BSSB37 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Repairs of Constraints:  Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Health by agricultural land. Whits the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsatiable to serve the treffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable in the core of Dudleston Health by agricultural land.  Whits the site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Health by agricultural land.  Whi	Heritage Comments	Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow (HER PRNs 08263, 08309, 08310), and former field boundary banks (HER PRNs 08263 and 08311), present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters (HER PRN 08313). Site therefore has archaeological interest.
Internation   Constraints   Comments   Constraints   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Standard BSS837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural impact Assessment, Tree Protection   Management of Constraints   Constraints   Management of Constraints   Tree Comments   Tree Protection Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Protection Commen	Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:         Tree Comments           Tree Comments         Spenificant Constraints:           Tree Comments         Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within site. Small area of woodland adjacent to the south east Other Constraints:           Tree Comments         Standard BSS837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Comments of Constraints:           Pablic Protection Comments         Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.           Pablic Protection Comments         none           Supplice Protection Comments         none           Pablic Protection Comments         none           Pablic Protection Comments         none           Pablic Protection Comments         none           Opportunities:         none           Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability         Good           Applic Protection Comments         none           Opportunities:         Good           Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability         Good           Applic Protection Comments         none           Opportunities:         Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability           Applicative International Comments of Constraints:         none of Departments of Constraints           Applicative International Comments of Constraints:         none of Constraints           International Comments of	Management of Constraints:	earthwork survey, geophysical survey and trial trenching).
Tree Comments Sponficent Constraints: Tree Comments Tree Comments Tree Comments Tree Comments Standard BSS837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural impact Assessment, Tree Protection Management of Constraints: Tree Comments Tree Comments Tree Comments Tree Comments Tree Inhibit Protection Comments Tree Inhibit Protection Comments Spanificant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Spanificant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Public Protection Comments Tree Inhibit Protection Comments Opportunities: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Conclusion - Stope 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable. HAM will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is worthin 20m of a detailed river work. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is worthin 20m of a detailed river work. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is worthin 20m of a detailed river worthing in detailed by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological intere	Heritage Comments	
Tree Comments	Opportunities:	
Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within site. Small area of woodland adjacent to the south east boundary.  Tree Comments  Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.  Tree Comments  Opportunities:  Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.  Public Protection Comments  Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability  Appralsal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from Increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moxos.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site present contains grade \$1/73 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning.  Resum Infrastructure Opportunities:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from rele	Tree Comments	
District Constraints:   Standard B55837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection   Management of Constraints:   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Comments   Tree Planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Public Protection Comments   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking across site, linking and extending woodland area.   Tree Planting Across site, linking across site, li	Significant Constraints:	
Standard B55837 tree survey Constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Management of Constraints:   Tree Comments   Tree Planning across site, linking and extending woodland area.	Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within site. Small area of woodland adjacent to the south east
Management of Constraints:   Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.	Other Constraints:	boundary.
Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.  Opportunities:  None  Public Protection Comments  Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability  Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable its not considered ather that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats.  There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site.  Presence of possible pottery klin east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service ar	Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area.	Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Deportunities:   Depo	Tree Comments	Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodland area
Significant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsutable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without of Fisite works and these are not considered achievable. HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is within 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kin east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest. The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considere best and most versatile agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No Pretential for Windfall	Opportunities:	Tree planting across site, linking and extending woodiding died.
Significant Constraints:   Public Protection Comments   Public Protection Comments   N/a	Public Protection Comments	nana
Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable. HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest. The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No  Recommendation  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Significant Constraints:	none
## Office Constraints:  ## Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  ## Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development coccur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  ## HIRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NDx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crosse Mera di Clareppol Moss.  ## Strategic Considerations:  ## Strategic Considerations:  ## Strategic Considerations:  ## The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats.  ## There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  ## 18% of the site is wolthin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  ## Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site.  ## Presence of possible pottery kin east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  ## The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  ## Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  ## See comments from relevant service areas.  ## Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  ## See comments from relevant service areas.  ## Potential for Windfall?  ## No  ## Potential for Windfall?  ## Potential for Windfall?  ## Potential for Windfall?  ## Pre are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing	Public Protection Comments	nana
Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable. HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery klin east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest. The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considere best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No Recommendation  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Other Constraints:	none
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable. HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  Strategic Considerations:  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest. The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considere best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Public Protection Comments	n/2
Opportunities: Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable. HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site. 18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest. The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Management of Constraints:	II/d
The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is worthin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Sultable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Public Protection Comments	
Appraisal:  The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No  Recammendation  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Opportunities:	
The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considere best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No  Recommendation  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Cond
agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats. There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site. Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considere best and most versatile agricultural land.  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  No  Potential for Allocation?  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Appraisal:	Good
Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  Potential for Allocation?  Recommendation  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through		agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows and may contain priority habitats.  There is a pond and small area of woodland adjacent to the site.  18% of the site is woithin 20m of a detailed river network. 2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Earthwork remains of ridge and furrow and former field boundary banks present across much of site.  Presence of possible pottery kiln east of the telephone exchange indicated by a dump of wasters. Site therefore has archaeological interest.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered
See comments from relevant service areas.  Potential for Windfall?  Potential for Allocation?  Recommendation  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	make Development Suitable in Planning	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Allocation?  Recommendation  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	
Recommendation  Retain as countryside  There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through		
There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through		
Reasoning proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through	Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Down 192	Reasoning	proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG007
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	•
Agricultural Land Quality:	No
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	00/
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	NO
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	1007/330304
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y (local lane)
Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	N.
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?	N
Development at the Access Foint:	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Y. If the frontage could be improved to a more suitable standard of lane.
Reasonably be Made So?	μ το το το συστού το
•	
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	IN .
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	N. The lane is very narrow and can not be improved beyond the frontage without third party land.
Site Works Achieveable?	Close to local primary school but some distance on foot to D Heath village.
one works hemevease.	close to local primary sellour successive distance on local to a recall village.
	If priority habitats are present then those areas of the site should not be developed.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	The site may contain priority habitats.
Ecology Comments	Requires botanical survey, EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), bats, badgers, water voles,
Other Constraints:	reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
	If priority habitat, those areas of the site should not be developed. If not priority habitat: protected
Ecology Comments	and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree
Management of Constraints:	lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks
	and MD12.
	Page 125

, · ·	Ecology Comments	
Significant Constraints:  Heritage Comments Other Constraints:  Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:  Heritage Comments Opportunities: Tree Comments Significant Constraints:  Significant Constraints:  Significant Constraints:  Standard BSS837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments Opportunities: Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.  Tree planting across site Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site.  Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered that the development considered achievable it is not considered that the development considered that the develop	Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:  Heritage Comments Management of Constraints: Heritage Comments Opportunities: Tree Comments Other Constraints: Significant Constraints: Free Comments Other Constraints: Significant Constraints: Significant Constraints: Tree Comments Other Constraints: Tree Comments Other Constraints: Tree Comments Management of Constraints: Tree Comments Opportunities: Tree planting across site Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints: No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site. Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Con land assessment  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not considered that the development counsidered achievable it is not co	Heritage Comments	N/A
Other Constraints:  Heritage Comments  Management of Constraints: Heritage Comments Opportunities: Tree Comments Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments Other Constraints: Significant Constraints: Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect Management of Constraints: Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement. Tree Omments Opportunities: Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Con land assessment  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou	Significant Constraints:	IV/A
Management of Constraints: Heritage Comments Opportunities: Tree Comments Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments Other Constraints:  Tree Comments  Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protes Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments  Tree Comments  Tree Comments  Tree Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.  Tree Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.  Tree Planting across site  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Opportunities:  Con land assessment  Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou	Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments Opportunities: Tree Comments Significant Constraints: Tree Comments Significant Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within the site. Other Constraints:  Tree Comments Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments Opportunities:  Tree planting across site  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilist the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou	_	
Opportunities: Tree Comments Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments Other Constraints:  Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments Opportunities: Tree planting across site  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Con land assessment  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments Other Constraints:  Standard BSS837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments Opportunities: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site. Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site.  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Significant Constraints:  Tree Comments Other Constraints:  Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect Management of Constraints:  Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.  Tree Comments Opportunities:  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Con land assessment  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Tree Comments Other Constraints:  Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments Opportunities:  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site.  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Constraints:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Other Constraints:  Tree Comments  Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability  Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints:   Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protect		Significant trees and hedgrows on boundary and within the site.
Management of Constraints:  Tree Comments Opportunities:  Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Con land assessment  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Tree Comments Opportunities: Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Opportunities: Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints: Public Protection Comments Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints: Public Protection Comments Opportunities: Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		Tree planting across site
Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		<u> </u>
Significant Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		none
Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Other Constraints:  Public Protection Comments  Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site.
Management of Constraints:  Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:  Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		con land assessment
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:  The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou		
The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou	_	Good
agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development cou	Appraisal:	****
HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site may contain priority habitats. There are significant trees and hedgerows on the site and it boundaries.  There may be contaminated land on/within proximity of the site.  1% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.		agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site may contain priority habitats. There are significant trees and hedgerows on the site and its boundaries.  There may be contaminated land on/within proximity of the site.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.	make Development Suitable in Planning	See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.		See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? No		
Potential for Allocation? No		
Recommendation Retain as countryside	Recommendation	Retain as countryside
There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered throug infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.		There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allegations are

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG008
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	
Agricultural Land Quality:	No
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	4%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	·
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	00/
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	IVU
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	1007/330304
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y (local lane)
Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	N.
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Though lane is very narrow.
Reasonably be Made So?	
•	
Highway Comments - Could the	N
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	N
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	N. The lane is very narrow and can not be improved beyond the frontage without third party land.
Site Works Achieveable?	Close to local primary school but some distance on foot to D Heath village.
sice works remeved ble.	close to local primary school but some distance on look to b freath vinage.
	If priority habitats are present then those areas of the site should not be developed.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	The site may contain priority habitats. Northern part of site is Env. Network core area.
Ecology Comments	Requires botanical survey, EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), bats, badgers, water voles,
Other Constraints:	reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
	If priority habitat, those areas of the site should not be developed. If not priority habitat: protected
Ecology Comments	and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement, retain and enhance mature
Management of Constraints:	trees/hedgerows/tree lines and protect adjacent priority habitats. Protect, enhance and restore Env.
	Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Page 128

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trace and hadgrous on houndary and within cita cita
Other Constraints:	Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within site site.
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	riee planting across site
Public Protection Comments	nono
Significant Constraints:	none
Public Protection Comments	No Con' Land use on-site. Within 250m of historic landfill site.
Other Constraints:	140 COTT Latte dise off-site. Within 250H of Historic Idiluliii Site.
Public Protection Comments	con land assessment
Management of Constraints:	Con land assessment
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Fair
Appraisal:	I QII
Strategic Considerations:	The site is associated with Gravels Hole and some distance from Dudleston Heath, seperated by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  There are significant trees and hedgerows on the site and its boundaries. The site may contain priority habitats.  There may be contaminated land on/within proximity of the site.  4% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
5	
Potential for Windfall?	No No
Potential for Allocation?	No Petain as pountry side
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.
	Page 129

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG009
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	
Agricultural Land Quality:	No
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	<b>5</b> //
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	8%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	7%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	70/
detailed river network:	7%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	INU
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	11007/13503504
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	N
Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	Access could be provided through the adjacent site allocation, subject to design and layout.
How?	recess could be provided through the disjudent site disoution, subject to design and layout.
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	N
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Access could be provided through the adjacent site allocation, subject to design and layout.
Reasonably be Made So?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	IN .
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	Access could be provided through the adjacent site allocation, subject to design and layout. The site is
Site Works Achieveable?	close to village amenities.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts on Cole Mere Ramsar Site and Fenn's, Whixall,
Ecology Comments	Bettisfield, Wem & Cadney Mosses SAC and Ramsar, may require more than minimum open space
Significant Constraints:	provision and or a developer contribution to visitor management on international sites.
	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (pond on site and several ponds within 500m, GCN records within
Ecology Comments	300m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, reptile, otters, water vole (record along ditch to west). Partly
Other Constraints:	within and adjacent to Env. Network which follows ditch.
	Protected species mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines on
Ecology Comments	boundaries. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network to southern boundary in accordance with
Management of Constraints:	CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12. Protection of ponds and provision of mitigation land for
	GCN if found to be present. Buffer to water course of native vegetation.
	Page 131

Ecology Comments	Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and
Opportunities:	MD12.
Heritage Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints: Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary
Other Constraints:	rices and nedgrows on boundary
Tree Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Tree Comments	<b>▼</b>
Opportunities:	Tree planting across site
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is adjacent to the current SAMDev Plan residential allocation in Dudleston Heath, however this allocation, which is yet to be implemented, also separates the site from the road and much of the built form of the settlement.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  An Environmental Network lies immediately adjacent to and includes the southern boundary (water course) of the site.  The site has boundary trees and hedgerows.  8% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone. 7% of the site is located within 20m of an historic flood event and 7% is located within 20m of a detailed river network.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Cool Authority Reference Area?  Mineral Supreguating Area?  Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3 Agricultural Lond Quality:  Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3 Agricultural Lond Quality:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk name:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk name:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk name:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk name:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk name:  Percentage of the site within 20m of on historic flood Mag:  Percentage of the site within 20m of on historic flood view:  Percentage of the site within 20m of on on historic flood view:  Percentage of the site within 20m of on on historic flood view:  Percentage of the site within 20m of on	Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Mineral Signaparding Area?  No Wholly or Party Grads 1.2 and/or 3 Agricultural Land Quolity. Percentage of site in Flood 2 one 3: Percentage of site in Flood 2 one 2: Percentage of site site in Site one 3 one 2: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk sto ene: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk sto ene: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk sto ene: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk sto ene: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk sto ene: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk sto ene: Percentage of the site within 20m of on historic flood deue on: All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone: No Percentage of the site within a Source Protection Zone: No Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancements. Retain and enhance the group Zonements Collegy Comments Hawhich Could reduce and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement Zone and Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement English Sone: No Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement English Controlinits: Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation	Site Reference:	DHG010
Whelly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3 Agricultural Land Quality.  Percentage of site in 1600d aone 3:  Percentage of site in 1600d aone 3:  Percentage of site in 1600d aone 3:  Percentage of site in 1600d aone 1:  Percentage of site in 1600d aone 2:  27%  Percentage of site in 1600d aone 2:  Policy Grade site site in 1600d aone 3:  Policy Grade site site in 1600d aone 3:  Policy Grade site site in 1600d aone 4:  Percentage of the site within 200m of an initiation of a detailed site within 200m of a site within 200m of a detailed site within 200m of a detailed site within 200m of a detailed site of a site within 200m of a site within 200m of a detailed site of a site within 200m of a site within 20	Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Agricultural Land Quality.  Percentage of site in Flood 2 are 2:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year  Surface Flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year  Surface Flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year  Surface Flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year  Surface Flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year  Surface Flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year  Surface Flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an  Instant Flood Map:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 20m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 20m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 20m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 20m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instant Flood Wall of the site within 30m of an  Instance Flood Wall of the Site Wall	Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Agricultural and Guolity:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk to see:  Percentage of the site destination 20 not on Institute to see the seed of the site within 20 not on Institute to see the seed of the site within 20 not on Institute to State of the site within 20 not of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  Percentage of the site within 20 not of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No Assessed  No Detailed of the recomments of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No Assessed  No Assessed  (Non The LYS)  Not Assessed  (Non The LYS)  (Non	Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Voc
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1: Percentage of the site in Hold Zone 1: Percentage of the site in the 30 years surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood Mags: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of an instance flood event: Probleman and the within an instance flood event: Probleman and the Access Politic Instance flood event: Probleman and the Access Politic Instance and Politic Plantage. Percentage of the site within 20m of the other and provision of footway along site frontage. Percentage of the site within 20m of the other and provision of footway along site frontage. Percentage of the site within 20m of the other and provision of footway along site frontage. Percentage of the site within 20m of the other and provision of footway along site frontage. Probleman and the Access Politic Instance and Politic Plantage and Politic Plantage and Politic Plantage and Politi	Agricultural Land Quality:	res
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:  Percentage of the site in the 30 year surface Bood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface Bood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface Bood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface Bood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface Bood risk zone:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood even risk within 20m of an historic flood even risk role for the site within 20m of a detailed river returnor:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No Control of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No Assessed  (Inform the LVS)  (Inform t	Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 30 years surface Rood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface Rood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface Rood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface Rood risk zone: Percentage of the site within 20m of an intervention of the State Recentage of the site within 20m of an intervention of the site within 20m of an intervention of the site within 20m of an intervention of the site within 20m of a detailed river network: All or part of the site within 20m of a detailed river network: All or part of the site within 20m of a detailed river network: All or part of the site within 20m of a detailed river network: All or part of the site within 20m of a detailed river network: All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone: Individual protect Considerations: (from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  (from the LV	Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
surface flood risk some:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk some:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk some:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk some:  Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk some:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an	Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
surface (bood risk zone:  Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site within 2000 year surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the site within 20m of a histonic flood Map:  Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source  Protection 2 one:  Protection 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of of the site within a Source  Protection 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of of the site within a Source  Protection 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of of the site within a Source  Protection 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of or siderations:  India raper of the site within a Source  Protection 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of the site within 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of the site within 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of raper of the site within 2 one:  No Assessed  India raper of		2%
Surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the stile into 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the stile into 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:  Percentage of the stile into 20m of an instance flood disk within 20m of an instance flood disk within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  Indiscape Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  Visual Impact Considerations: (from the LVSS)  Visual Impact Considerations: (from the LVSS)  Visual Impact Considerations: (from the LVSS)  Visual Impact Accessed  Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Comments - If No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And How?  Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point is Not Suitable, Con It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off Site Works Achieveable?  No No Institute of the Achieveable		277
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood fix zone:  Percentage of the site identified on the EA (1850n: Nood Mop:)  Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood went:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood event:  Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or port of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or port of the site within 50urce Protection Zone:  Individual proof of the site within 50urce Protection Zone:  Individual proof of the site within 50urce Protection Zone:  Individual Comments - Direct Access to Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Network?  Highway Comments - Fixing Highway Studies for Triffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - Fixing Highway Studies for Triffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - Studies for It Reasonably be Mode So?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works?  No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Stew Works?  No boother white lines along the whole frontage.  Recology Comments  Highway Comments  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works?  No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Stew Works Achieveoble?  No bouble white lines along the whole frontage.  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveoble?  No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Stew Works Achieveoble?  No bouble white lines along the whole frontage.  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveoble?  Requires Ecila and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows/free lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		2%
Surface Rood risk zone:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an Mistoric Fload Mop:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an O%  Recentage of an O%  Recentage of the site within 20m of an O%  Recentage of an O%  Recentag		
Percentage of the site identified on the EA  Institution Flood Map:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood the site within 20m of a detailed triver network:  All or part of the site within 20m of a detailed triver network:  No Considerations:  If or any of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No Considerations:  If or any of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  Not Assessed  Institute Flood Access to Not Assessed  Institute Flood May Comments - Direct Access to Not Assessed  Institute Flood May Comments - Direct Access to Not Assessed  Institute Flood May Comments - Direct Access to Not Assessed  Institute Flood May Comments - Published May Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development of the Access Point?  Institute Flood May Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development of the Access Point?  Institute Flood May Comments - Flood Without Off-Site Works?  No Not Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  No No Flootway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  Hand will be required for recreational impacts in combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Carepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows will need to be buffered.		5%
Historic Fload Map:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an lostonic fload event:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an lostonic fload event:  Percentage of the site within 20m of an lost detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  Iondscape Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  Visual impact Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  (visual impact Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  (visual impact Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  (visual impact Considerations:  (visual impact Considerations:  (visual impact Considerations:  (visual impact Considerations:  (visual impact Access to Highway Network?  Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Ascociated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway Access Point?  Highway Comments - Lould the Access Point?  Highway Comments - Could the Access Point?  Highway Comments - Could the Access Point?  Highway Comments - Could the Reasonably be Made So?  No No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Offson Source than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Ecology Comments  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerow-free lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Percentage of the site within 20m of an historic flood event:  Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  No Industry Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  (from the LVS)  Not Assessed  (from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  (fro		0%
historic flood event:  Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within Source Protection Zone:  Izandscape Considerations:  (from the USS)  Visual Impact Considerations:  Visual Impact Considerations:  Visual Impact Considerations:  Not Assessed  Visionements - Direct Access to Highway Network?  Highway Comments - Existing Highway  Visual Existing Highway Comments - If Existing Highway  Visual Existing Highway Comments - If Existing Highway  Visual Existing Highway Comments - If Existing Highway  Visual Existing Highway Comments - Visual Impacts in South Suitable, Con It Reasonably be Made So?  Visual Existing Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Development Occur Without Off-Site Works Achieveable?  Note The Impact South Institute of Tootway along site frontage.  Note The Impact South Institute and Provision of Tootway along site frontage.  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisaged Offsource Access Point?  Note Toology Comments - Are Envisag	·	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:  All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  Industry Considerations:  (Ifrom the LVSS)  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  (Ifrom the LVSS)  Not Assessed  Not Assessed  Y (B5068)  Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Comments - I No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And How?  Highway Comments - Esisting Highway And How?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway And How?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway At Access Point? Not Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable?  Not No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Site Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than Significant Constraints:  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows vill need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement degreeows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 environmental Networks and MD12.	-	0%
detailed river network:  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N		
All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:  Landscape Considerations:  (from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  (from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  (from the LVSS)  Not Assessed  Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable?  No. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Colleger Comments  Colleger Comments  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		0%
Protection Zone:  Landscape Considerations: (from the LVSS) (from the LVS) (from th		
Landscape Considerations:    fifrom the LVSS    Not Assessed	Protection Zone:	No
Not Assessed		Alak A arang d
We will be required for recreational impacts in combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.    We will need to be buffered.	(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Network? Highway Network? Highway Network? Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And How? Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point? Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So? Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Site Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Recology Comments Cology Comments Cology Comments Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/free lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And How? Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can it Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  No No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Site Works Achieveable?  No No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	(from the LVSS)	NOT Assessed
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access, Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And How?  Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Site Works Achieveable?  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Highway Comments - Direct Access to	y (R5068)
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And How?  Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Highway Network?	1 (53000)
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Troffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	·	
Suitable for Troffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?  Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	How?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Requires EclA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achieveable?  No. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments  Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Development at the Access Point?	
Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Reasonably be Made So?  Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  No No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		V Cobinetts and output of the 40 and limit and an initial office to the front of
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	· ·	Y. Subject to review and extension of the 40 mph limit and provision of footway along site frontage.
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?  Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EclA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Reasonably be Made So?	
### Works?  ### Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?    N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road. Double white lines along the whole frontage.    HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.    Ecology Comments	Highway Comments - Could the	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off- Site Works Achieveable?  N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road.  Double white lines along the whole frontage.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Ecology Comments Significant Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and mD12.	Works?	
HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Site Works Achieveable?	Double white lines along the whole frontage.
increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Ecology Comments Other Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.  Other Constraints:  Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:  Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
Other Constraints:  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
Other Constraints:  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Other Constraints:  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Other Constraints:  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Other Constraints:  Hedgerows will need to be buffered.  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Ecology Comments	Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.
Ecology Comments  Management of Constraints:  Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.	Other Constraints:	
hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17  Environmental Networks and MD12.		
hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17  Environmental Networks and MD12.		
hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17  Environmental Networks and MD12.		
Management of Constraints:  Environmental Networks and MD12.	Fcology Comments	
Environmental Networks and MID12.		
	management of constraints.	Environmental Networks and MD12.

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	IV/A
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Matura trace and hadgrous an houndary of site
Other Constraints:	Mature trees and hedgrows on boundary of site
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	
Opportunities:	Tree planting across site
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints:	none
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	road noise assessment
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Poor
Strategic Considerations:	The site is associated with Dudleston Grange seperated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site has boundary trees and hedgerows.  2% of the site is located within the 30 year and 100 year surface flood risk zones. 5% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  Noise from nearby roads.  The site performs poorly within stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:  Potential for Windfall?	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Allocation?	No No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG011
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	163
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	
surface flood risk zone:	2%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	00/
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations: (from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Y (B 5068 and/or side lane)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Y. Subject to review and extension of the 40 mph limit and provision of footway along site frontage.
Reasonably be Made So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	N. No footway into village which is a considerable distance away. Visibility issues due to bends in road,
Site Works Achieveable?	double white lines etc
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
Ecology Comments	Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.
Other Constraints:	Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
	Destanted and oriente analysis and habits to a 20 of the control o
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Management of Constraints:	hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
	LITALIONNIENTALINETWOLKS AND INDIE.
	Page 137

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	N/A
Other Constraints:	NyA
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Mature trees and hedgrows on boundary and within the site.
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	F O
Public Protection Comments	No Con' Land use on site. Potential Con' Land site off southern boundary
Significant Constraints:	,
Public Protection Comments	No Con' Land use on site. Potential Con' Land site off southern boundary
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	con land assessment, working farm on southern boundary - looks like slurry bed
Management of Constraints:	,
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Fair
Appraisal:	. •
Strategic Considerations:	The site is separated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site contains and has boundary trees and hedgerows.  2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  There may be contaminated land on/within proximity of the site.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall? Potential for Allocation?	No No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
necommendadon	
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.
	Page 138

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG012
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	18%
surface flood risk zone:	10/0
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	21%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	42%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	00/
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	NO
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Network?	Y (B5068)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
High and Comments Frieding High and	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	N
Development at the Access rount:	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Y. Subject to a review of the 30 mph speed limit and its extension and provision of appropriate traffic
Reasonably be Made So?	calming. Also provision of a footway of sufficient width along the site frontage.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	"
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	
Site Works Achieveable?	Y. If new section of footway provided to Chestnut Avenue.
	HDA will be required for recreational impacts in combination on Cole Mars and NOv pollution from
Feelegy Comments	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and Nox pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
Ecology Comments	Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.
Other Constraints:	Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Ecology Comments	hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17
Management of Constraints:	Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Page 140

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary of site
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	Thee planting across site
Public Protection Comments	none
Significant Constraints:	HOTE
Public Protection Comments	road noise
Other Constraints:	Toda Holse
Public Protection Comments	road noise assessment
Management of Constraints:	Toda Holse assessificit
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Fair
Appraisal:	1 (1)
Strategic Considerations:	The site adjoins the existing built form on Dudleston Heath which lies to the east of the site.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. However necessary improvements are considered achievable, including those which would involve off-site works.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site has boundary trees and hedgerows.  18% of the size is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 21% within the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 42% within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Noise from nearby roads.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.
	Page 141

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG013
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	No
Agricultural Land Quality:	No
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	070
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	0%
Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Y (B5068)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	IV.
Development at the Access Folit:	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Y. Subject to a review of the 40 mph limit (potential introductin of 30mph) and extension of speed
Reasonably be Made So?	limit and provision of appropriate traffic calming. Also provision of a footway along the site frontage.
Highway Campanta Could the	
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	IV
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	N. No pavement into Duddleston Heath and could only be achieved through third party land and no
Site Works Achieveable?	nearby bus stops.
Site Works Hemeveable:	nearby bus stops.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	There is a GCN breeding pond to the south-east of the site. Buffer habitat may be required on the site,
Ecology Comments	which will reduce the no. of houses possible.
Other Constraints:	Requires botanical survey, EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers, bats, reptiles and
	nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Management of Constraints:	hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17
agement of constraints.	Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Page 143

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary of site
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	· -
Public Protection Comments	none
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	road noise
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	road noise assessment
Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Poor
Appraisal:	
Strategic Considerations:	The site is separated from the core of Dudleston Heath by agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site has boundary trees and hedgerows.  There is a GCN breeding pond close to the site.  Noise from nearby rods.  The site performs poorly within stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.
	Dags 144

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG014
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	
Agricultural Land Quality:	No
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	2%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	4%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone:	12%
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	
Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	00/
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	INU
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	1100713303304
Visual Impact Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y (B5068)
Highway Network? Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	N
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the Development at the Access Point?	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	Y. Subject to a review of the 40 mph limit (potential introductin of 30mph) and extension of speed
Reasonably be Made So?	limit and provision of appropriate traffic calming. Also provision of a footway along the site frontage.
Highway Comments - Could the Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	IN IN
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	N. No pavement into Duddleston Heath and could only be achieved through third party land and no
Site Works Achieveable?	nearby bus stops.
	If priority habitats are present then those areas of the site should not be developed.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	The site may contain priority habitats. Adjacent habitats are within an Env. Network corridor.
Ecology Comments	Requires botanical survey, EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), bats, badgers, water voles,
Other Constraints:	reptiles and nesting birds. Boundaries will need to be buffered.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	If priority habitat, those areas of the site should not be developed. If not priority habitat: protected
Ecology Comments	and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement, retain and enhance mature
Management of Constraints:	trees/hedgerows/tree lines and protect adjacent priority habitats. Protect, enhance and restore Env.
	Network in accordance with CS17 Environmental Networks and MD12.
	Page 146

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Gladstone House comprises a later 19th century dwelling which may comprise a non-designated heritage asset.
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (Level 2 historic building assessment).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Area oif woodland on the south boundary of site may limit development space.
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site, opportunity to link with and extend adjacent woodland.
Opportunities:	The planting deross site, opportunity to link with and extend adjacent woodiand.
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	small buildings use unknown, asbestos roof
Other Constraints:	anian bananga ase ananown, aspestos 1001
Public Protection Comments	road noise assessment
Management of Constraints:	Todu Hoise assessifietit
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Poor
Appraisal:	POOI
Strategic Considerations:	The site is separated from the core of Dudleston Heath by other dwellings beyond the core of Dudleston Heath and agricultural fields.  Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and NOx pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  The site may contain priority habitats.  Adjacent wooded areas form part of the environmental network.  2% of the size is located within the 30 year surface flood risk zone, 4% within the 100 year surface flood risk zone and 12% within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  Gladstone House comprises a later 19th century dwelling which may comprise a non-designated heritage asset.  Noise from nearby roads.  The site performs poorly within stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG015
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	163
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	<b>5</b> /-0
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	0%
Historic Flood Map: Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	V (25050)
Highway Network?	Y (B5068)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	γ
Development at the Access Point?	
·	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	May need to relocate existing pedestrian refuge island to achieve access.
Reasonably be Made So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	γ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	
Site Works Achieveable?	Close to village amenities, existing footways etc (Issue of community allotments?)
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
Significant Constraints.	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	Protection of an adjacent pond will reduce the no. of houses possible.
	There is a pond adjacent to the southern boundary. Retention and protection of the pond (with
Ecology Comments	appropriate buffer) will reduce the no. of houses possible.
Other Constraints:	Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), bats, badgers, reptiles and nesting birds.
	Hedgerows and pond will need to be buffered.
	Drotocted and priority species and habitate mitigation and exhaust series and sub-
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17
Management of Constraints:	Environmental Networks and MD12.
	LITVII OTITTICITAL INCLWOLKS ATIU IVIDIZ.
	L Page 149

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Potential impact on setting of Dudleston Heath Primitive Methodists Chapel (HER PRN 28946) - a non- designated heritage asset.
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (Setting of non-designated heritage assets).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within the site.
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	<u> </u>
Public Protection Comments	currently allotments. Adj. tennis courts and village hall car park.
Significant Constraints:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Public Protection Comments	none
Other Constraints: Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	none
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	loss of exisiting amentity is apparent
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	
Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	The site is well related to the built form of Dudleston Heath, which adjoins the sites northern boundary.  The sites consists of community allotments.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  There are trees and hedgerows on the site and some boundaries.  There is a pond adjacent to the site.  Potential impact on setting of Dudleston Heath Primitive Methodists Chapel, a non-designated heritage asset.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No No
Potential for Allocation? Recommendation	No Retain as countryside
necommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	DHG016
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	00/
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	0/0
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	0%
Historic Flood Map:	0,70
Percentage of the site within 20m of an	0%
historic flood event:	**
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Not Assessed
(from the LVSS)	
Visual Impact Considerations: (from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Y (B5068 and/or Church Lane)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
High and Comments Friedrich High and	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N.
Development at the Access Point?	N
Development at the Access Foint:	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	Y. Subject to review of the 40 mph speed limit and provision of appropriate traffic calming and
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	footway along site frontage. Visibility at junction of Church Lane and B5068 is poor and interaction
Reasonably be Made So?	with site access may present problems. Also close to a sharp bend on the B road, double white lines
	etc
Highway Comments - Could the	v.
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Y
Works? Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	Immediate linkage with existing footway and village is easily accessible on foot. Nearby bus stop.
Site Works Achieveable?	Immediate linkage with existing footway and village is easily accessible on foot. Nearby bus stop.  Modest sized plot/development.
SILE VIOLKS ACHIEVEUDIE:	iviouest sizeu piot/uevelopinietit.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
Ecology Comments	Botanical survey may be required. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 250m), badgers,
Other Constraints:	reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
Ecology Comments	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Management of Constraints:	hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17
management of constraints.	Environmental Networks and MD12.

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary of site
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	Tree planting across site
Public Protection Comments	none
Significant Constraints:	HOTIC
Public Protection Comments	road noise
Other Constraints:	Toda Holse
Public Protection Comments	road noise assessment
Management of Constraints:	road noise assessment
Public Protection Comments	n/a
Opportunities:	11/4
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Good
Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	The site is separated from the core of the built form of Dudleston Heath by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. However necessary improvements are considered achievable, including those which would involve off-site works.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  There are boundary trees and hedgerows.  Noise from nearby roads.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	No
Potential for Allocation?	No No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	EME001
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Voc
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	5,0
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	0%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 1,000 year	2%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the EA	0%
Historic Flood Map: Percentage of the site within 20m of an	
historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	0%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Visual Impact Considerations:	Net Assessed
(from the LVSS)	Not Assessed
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y (Eastwick Lane)
Highway Network?	f (Edstwick Edile)
Highway Comments - If No Direct Access,	
Can One Reasonably Be Achieved? And	
How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway	
Suitable for Traffic Associated with the	N
Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing Highway	Y. Subject to introduction of reduced speed limit and traffic calming and provision of footway along
at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It	site frontage.
Reasonably be Made So?	5.10 H 5.110g-1
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-	N. No existing footways towards D Heath and national speed limit and little prospects of address these
Site Works Achieveable?	over the distance involved.
	HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from
Ecology Comments	increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss. More than
Significant Constraints:	the minimum 30m per bedroom (SAMDev Policy MD2) may be required to address recreation issues in
. 3 ,	the HRA which could reduce numbers of dwellings possible. See LPR HRA.
	G. P. T. T. T.
Ecology Comments	Botanical survey may be required. Requires EcIA and surveys for GCNs (ponds within 500m), badgers,
Other Constraints:	bats, reptiles and nesting birds. Hedgerows will need to be buffered.
	and, replied and resumb and reager and this freed to be pullered.
Foology Constructs	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance
Ecology Comments	hedgerows/tree lines. Protect, enhance and restore Env. Network in accordance with CS17
Management of Constraints:	Environmental Networks and MD12.
·	Page 155

Ecology Comments	
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments	N/A
Significant Constraints:	IV/A
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	N/A
Heritage Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Trees and hedgrows on boundary and within site.
Other Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection
Management of Constraints:	Plan & Arboricultural Method Statement.
Tree Comments	Tree planting across site
Opportunities:	
Public Protection Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Poor
Strategic Considerations:	The site is some distance from the built form of Dudleston Heath, separated by agricultural land. Whilst the site has a direct access onto the highway network, the existing highway is considered unsuitable to serve the traffic associated with the development at its point of access. Whilst the necessary improvements are considered achievable it is not considered that the development could occur without off-site works and these are not considered achievable.  HRA will be required for recreational impacts in-combination on Cole Mere and N0x pollution from increased road traffic (in-combination) on White Mere, Crose Mere and Clarepool Moss.  There are trees and hedgerows on the site and some boundaries.  2% of the site is located within the 1,000 year surface flood risk zone.  The site contains grades 1/2/3 agricultural land. Applying the precautionary principle this is considered best and most versatile agricultural land.  The site performs poorly within stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:  Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.  See comments from relevant service areas.  Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Potential for Windfall?	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Allocation?	No No
Recommendation	Retain as countryside
Reasoning	There are already significant existing commitments in the settlement. As such no allocations are proposed and it is instead proposed that the remaining housing guideline will be delivered through infill development and appropriately located small-scale exception sites.

If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	