

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Lesley Durbin
------------------------	---------------

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- (Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	<input type="text" value="SP14"/>	Policy:	<input type="text" value="Strategic Corridors"/>	Site:	<input type="text" value="Much Wenlock"/>	Policies Map:	<input type="text" value="S13"/>
------------	-----------------------------------	---------	--	-------	---	---------------	----------------------------------

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- A. Legally compliant Yes: No:
- B. Sound Yes: No:
- C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes: No:
- (Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Regulation 19 is not legally compliant because it ignores the Much Wenlock Neighbourhood Plan which is the statutory legal document for all planning decisions until 2026. Regulation 19 is unsound because SC have failed to offer any meaningful direct consult the community on an important topic such as the designation of the main route through Much Wenlock (including the conservation area) The A458 and the A4169 into a commercial strategic corridor to support economic growth within the county. This route runs through residential areas which have no pavements to dwellings, it is unsafe in several places. It includes the already congested Gaskell junction corner, the Sheinton Road bend, two schools and a nursing home. There is also an industrial site with lorries turning and a bus terminal all within a space of two hundred yards and no pavement. The route has been designated solely because there is a requirement for SC to designate the Ironbridge Powers Station development as strategic, therefore requiring it to be connected to a strategic corridor, the route to Telford being unviable because it is Telford and Wrekin not Shropshire Council. There has been no attempt to assess the problems, and dangers of this stretch of road by SC. There is no understanding

by SC of the extent of rat running through the town which will result if the 'corridor' is designated.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Draft Local Plan would become legally compliant if SC carried out its own traffic assessments and consulted with those within the town whose concern would not be just the increase in traffic along the route but the massive congestion caused at the Gaskell corner, which is a five way junction, with dangerous pedestrian crossing points. SC are relying on an inadequate assessment by the developers of Ironbridge Power Station. There has been absolutely no consultation on this point. Economic growth for the town will become untenable with the increase in congestion. The policy S14 is loose and inadequately described it allows for future development along the whole corridor from Much Wenlock to Bridgnorth. Much Wenlock will lose its unique value to the county as a tourist destination and become a dormitory town for the West Midlands,

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: *The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.*

Signature:

Date: 11/01/2021

11/01/2021

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference: