

### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation							
Name and Organisation: Nicola so							
Q1.	Q1. To which document does this representation relate?						
$\checkmark$	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan						
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan						
	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)						
Q2.	To which part of the	document do	es this rep	resentatio	n relate?		
Para	graph:	SP13, DP25, DP27, DP28 & S3	Site:	BRD030	Policies Map:		
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:							
	Legally compliant	•	Yes:	П	No:		
	Sound		Yes:		No: 🔽		
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).			Yes:		No:		

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states at DP 25. Infrastructure Provision:

"1. New development should only take place where there is sufficient existing infrastructure capacity available. Where a new development would lead to a shortfall in infrastructure provision, the development will be required to fund necessary improvements through a suitable developer contribution,"

#### **Broadband and Mobile Communications Infrastructure:**

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states at DP 27. Broadband and Mobile Communications Infrastructure:

- 1. Shropshire businesses and communities require quality broadband provision and mobile network connectivity to support economic growth, social inclusion and community safety.
- 2. Development proposals will be expected to provide the infrastructure for broadband and mobile communications as essential utilities.

The Pre-Submission Draft then goes on to say at paragraph 4.244:

"All new developments will be expected to conform to the Government's evolving ambition for 'gigabit-capable' broadband infrastructure to be available to all premises in the UK by 2025. As of March 2020, 6.5% of properties in Shropshire had access to full-fibre connections (12.4% in the UK)."

#### **Communications and Transport:**

At DP 28 the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- 1. Shropshire will continue to be an attractive place to live and work by improving its communications and transport networks and supporting the infrastructure and services to widen travel and transport choices and to improve connectivity and accessibility whilst moving towards reduced car dependency and manage the impacts of transport movements on communities and our environment.
- 2. Responding positively to changes in our climate will require access to better communications infrastructure and more sustainable travel options offering choices about the need to travel and the best transport modes.

It goes on to explain:

- 4.247. Shropshire will continue to promote and support improvements to the communications and transport infrastructure serving the County. This is central to the delivery of sustainable economic growth and the creation of sustainable patterns of development in the settlements, 'strategic corridors' and 'strategic sites' of the County.
- 4.250. This process of managing change starts with the decision whether we need to travel at all. The most sustainable travel option is to access work, goods, services, leisure and social interaction remotely using electronic communication media or to achieve key elements of these activities in this way, as our first choice, irrespective of age or technological ability. The response to the Coronavirus restrictions shows how we might respond positively to the effects of global challenges on our lives and realise tangible benefits for ourselves and our local, national and global communities.
- 4.251. This will require the delivery of infrastructure for broadband, mobile and fixed wireless networks to remove the need to travel.
- 4.253. Local travel options play an essential role in influencing travel behaviour including footways, cycleways .....
- 4.254. Passenger transport services reduce the cumulative effects of individual travel choices by helping to lower congestion, improve air quality and mitigate other impacts. The Local Transport Plan identifies public transport networks and service improvements and seeks to supports less accessible communities. The extension of community transport networks, the maintenance of Park & Ride and local rail improvements are also encouraged."

However it should be noted that Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 states at Section 2.2:

"The provision of a comprehensive bus service in Shropshire is challenging.

Most local bus services rely on financial support from the Council with only a small number of services run on a commercial basis;"

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

# Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

#### Communications:

- 1. What 'developer contribution' has TW agreed to make to improve the infrastructure which is clearly insufficient? Is the 'developer contribution' sufficient to cover the required improvements to the infrastructure? If there is a shortfall will Shropshire Council meet the difference?
- 2. What are the plans for ensuring that broadband throughout the County, and in Bridgnorth in particular, will be comparable with the rest of the UK?
- 3. New businesses are unlikely to want to move into Bridgnorth if the broadband and mobile communications are inadequate? What guarantees do any potential employers have that the required broadband and mobile communications will be available by the time they move into their new premises?

#### **Transport Networks:**

- 4. Where will these 'parking facilities' and 'dedicated park and ride service' be located as it is not mentioned in the TW proposal? How will these facilities be integrated with the needs of the development north of the A458?
- 5. Shropshire Council acknowledges that 'most local bus services rely on financial support from the Council'. How will a usable, regular bus service from the TGV to the town centre be funded? Will the Council guarantee that they will continue to support the local bus services?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

V	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)				
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)				
	(Please tick one box)				
_	Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:				

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Signature:	Nicola squire	Date:	07/02/2021
------------	---------------	-------	------------

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation					
Name and Organisation	: Nicola Squire				
Q1. To which docume	nt does this repre	sentation relate?	?		
Regulation 19: Pre-S	Submission Draft of th	ne Shropshire Local I	Plan		
Sustainability Apprai	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
Habitats Regulations Shropshire Local Pla (Please tick one box	n	Regulation 19: Pre-S	ubmission Draft of the		
Q2. To which part of t	he document doe	s this representa	tion relate?		
Paragraph:	Policy: SP12 & S3	Site: BRD030	Policies Map:		
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan		Pre-Submission	Draft of the		
A. Legally compliant		Yes:	No:		
B. Sound		Yes:	No: 🗹		
C. Compliant with the De (Please tick as appropria	,	Yes:	No:		
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.  If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.					
Employment Land: The Local Plan confirms that, as agreed in the SAMDev Plan 2015, <b>6.6 ha</b> (the equivalent of just over 12 full sized football pitches) of land south of the A458 has been approved for the re-location of the Livestock Market together with its existing or alternative ancillary uses. In addition, a further <b>6.7 ha</b> adjoining the area reserved for the Livestock Market has been approved for a business park for Class B uses (ie for offices, research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution).					
In addition, the TGV site will in	clude a further 16 ha of em	aployment land also for Cl	lass B uses.		
In total <b>29 ha of employment land</b> (the equivalent of just over 54 full sized football pitches) has been allocated to the Tasley area south of the A458, all of which is outside the Bridgnorth Development Boundary and is significantly larger than the current Stanmore Business Park which covers just over 17 ha in area.					
As well as setting out the above	for employment land the I	Local Plan has identified	a further 11 ha of Green Belt land		

to allow for the extension of the current Stanmore Business Park size.

Altogether the Local Plan has earmarked a total of 40 ha for employment land in the Bridgnorth area.

Telford is approximately 15 minutes from Bridgnorth, has land available, is close to the M54, has a mainline railway station and is already an industrial hub. Bridgnorth by comparison has poor logistics with no infrastructure and no evidence of future funding being made available for improvements.

There is evidence of a lack of demand for employment land as only 10% of available land in Bridgnorth has been developed since the year 2000. On what grounds does Shropshire Council believe that there is a requirement for 40 ha for offices, research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution?

Are all the units currently available for occupation by businesses in Bridgnorth and surrounding areas occupied? What is the vacancy rate?

How has Covid19 affected or will affect current businesses?

On what grounds does Shropshire Council believe that the predicted levels of employment growth are achievable?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

# Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1.	Shropshire Council should provide the following for discussion:
	What areas / units are already available for occupation but vacant and how long they have been vacant?
	Evidence that the predicted levels of employment growth are achievable particularly in the light of Covid 19.
	Evidence that there is a requirement for a further 27 ha of employment land in addition to that agreed in the
	SAMDev Plan 2015.
2.	If the predicted levels of employment growth are not achieved, how will the land and/or empty buildings be used?
	(D)

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

#### Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

$\checkmark$	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
	(Please tick one box)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:				
	(Please continue	e on a separate	sheet if necessary)	
<b>Please note:</b> The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.				
Signature:	Nicola squire	Date:	07/02/2021	

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

making effective representations.				
Part B: Representation				
Name and Organisation:	Nicola squire			
Q1. To which document	does this representation relate?			
Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)				
Q2. To which part of the	document does this representation relate?			
Paragraph:	Policy: S3 Site: BRD030 Policies Map:			
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the :			
A. Legally compliant	Yes: No:			
B. Sound	Yes: No: 🗸			
C. Compliant with the Duty (Please tick as appropriate)				
<b>Draft of the Shropshire I</b>	of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.			
If you wish to support the legal	I compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to			
Schedule S3.1(1) states that Tasley Development will comply with the	Garden Village will be a "comprehensive mixed-use sustainable urban extension. principles of a 'garden village'.			

#### **Principles of Garden Village Developments:**

The Town and Country Planning Association (TCPA) has produced a number of principles for the development of Garden Villages based on the work of Sir Ebenezer Howard who set out guidelines and principles for the development of garden cities and whose work led to the modern planning profession and planning system. His three main principles, adopted by the TCPA, are:

- 1. Land value capture for the benefit of the community.
- 2. Strong vision, leadership and community engagement.
- 3. Community ownership of land and long-term stewardship of assets.

A report from Transport for New Homes entitled 'Garden Villages and Garden Towns: Vision and Realty', having reviewed more than 30 developments designated as 'Garden Villages' or 'Garden Towns', found that nearly all the developments produced car based housing and increased traffic generation. They also found that the majority of the

	Office Use Only	Part A Reference:		
you consider this to be nece				
(Please tick one box)  O7. If you wish to participat	e in the hearing so	ession(s), please outline why		
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)				
	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)			
-	vide an initial indicatio	n of your wish to participate in hearing		
	opshire Local Plan	do you consider it necessary to		
O6. If your representation is	s seekina a modifid	cation to the Regulation 19: Pre-		
After this stage, further submis based on the matters and issue		made if invited by the Inspector, es for examination.		
supporting information necessary modification(s). You should not as submissions.	ssume that you will ha	ve a further opportunity to make		
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and				
	(Please co	ntinue on a separate sheet if necessary)		
principles.				
detail how they intend to meet them?  2. Shropshire Council should set out how principles?	w they will monitor the dev	elopment and ensure that TW adhere to the three		
intend to meet them. Shropshire Cour		ples but do not say how they and the landowners ormally sign up to these principles and set out in		
Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan le forward your suggested revised wor	hy each modification wi gally compliant or soun ding of any policy or tex	ll make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission d. It will be helpful if you are able to put kt. Please be as precise as possible.		
you have identified at Q4 ab	ove.			
<b>Regulation 19: Pre-Submiss</b>	ion Draft of the Sh			
O5 Please set out the modif	•	ntinue on a separate sheet if necessary) sider necessary to make the		
town rather than the "sustainable urban ex	ttension" stated in the Local	Plan.		
everything". The size and location of the proposed TG	V is more akin to a large su	aburban development on the outskirts of a market		
		nodes of transport. The report concludes that "We ight way. Housing numbers and targets are not		

those who l	<b>e:</b> The Inspector will determine the most appropulate indicated that they wish to participate in head our wish to participate when the Inspector has it	riate procedure aring session(s).	You may be asked
Signature:	Nicola squire	Date:	07/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

making effective representations.					
Part B: Represer	itation				
Name and Organisation:	Nicola Squire				
Q1. To which document	does this repre	sentatio	n relate?		
Regulation 19: Pre-Sul	omission Draft of th	ne Shropsh	ire Local Plar	1	
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	l of the Regulation	19: Pre-Sı	ubmission Dra	aft of t	the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the F	Regulation :	19: Pre-Subn	nission	Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the	document doe	s this rep	resentatio	n rela	ate?
Paragraph:	Policy: SP14, DP25 & S3	Site:	BRD030	Po	olicies Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	_	Pre-Sub	mission Dr	aft of	f the
A. Legally compliant		Yes:		No:	
B. Sound		Yes:		No:	$\checkmark$
C. Compliant with the Duty	•	Yes:		No:	
(Please tick as appropriate	•				
Q4. Please give details on Draft of the Shropshire fails to comply with the	Local Plan is no	t legally	compliant	or is	unsound or
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.					
Infrastructure:					

#### Roads and Highways:

The only transport plan available at the moment is Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026. Although the Council have now commissioned a new review this should have been carried out prior to any decision was made about the Local Plan. Roads and highways are always going to be fundamental to the decision making process and any decision should be underpinned by a strong evidence base. As a consequence it has to be assumed that the Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 was used as the basis for any decisions.

As acknowledged by Shropshire Council there are topographical and landscape restraints within Bridgnorth and its surrounding areas which severely restrict development and road improvements: the town is bisected by the River Severn, there are only two bridges across the river in the Bridgnorth area which are accessible to vehicles thus creating natural pinch points, High Town sits on an escarpment as does the A442 to Telford. In addition the A roads to Telford, Wolverhampton, Stourbridge, Kidderminster and Shrewsbury are mainly single, winding carriageways and therefore slow.

A steering group consisting of members of Bridgnorth Town Council and surrounding Parish Councils was asked in June 2019 to consider the issues facing Bridgnorth and to develop a plan for the settlement. Their draft report which was published in May 2020, states that:

"Existing local infrastructure, transport links, public facilities and services are not capable of supporting much growth in population and business activity without significant investment."

The Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 confirms this view:

#### "Section 2.2:

Shropshire has a few key trunk and principal roads which provide links between the major settlements, predominantly in the form of single carriageway roads.

#### Section 4.6.3:

..... slow moving vehicles can be a cause of journey delay.

Historic road networks not designed to take today's traffic levels can become congested with relatively low traffic levels and as traffic levels grow congestion may spread to more parts of the road network."

Approximately 60% of people in Bridgnorth travel to work outside Bridgnorth mainly to Telford, Wolverhampton and Kidderminster and a similar percentage of jobs in Bridgnorth are filled by travelling in to the town. This is unlikely to change.

The proposed sites at Tasley are taking residential development away from the main employment areas of the town and the region (ie Stanmore, Wolverhampton and Telford). Whilst some employment is to be provided on site the majority of the residents in Bridgnorth and surrounding areas will continue to travel to work at Stanmore, Wolverhampton and Telford. Some of those who choose to live in the proposed new residential areas will take up employment in the new settlements but many will choose to travel to the larger, better paid jobs on offer in the West Midlands. Many of those who choose to work in the proposed new settlements will be travelling into the area from where they already live.

Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011- 2026 acknowledges this trend:

#### "Section 2.3:

A significant proportion of high skilled, and better paid, workers commute out of Shropshire to work. Indicating ....... that Shropshire is an attractive place for highly paid workers in Telford and other neighbouring areas to live.

#### Section 2.4:

Shropshire is also likely to be increasingly influenced by Telford which is expected to grow its population, housing and employment at even more rapid rates than Shropshire."

The proposed developments at Tasley are for a total of 2,250 dwellings which, at a conservative estimate, will increase the population of Bridgnorth by 4,500 people. Assuming a minimum of one car per dwelling, (again a conservative estimate), these developments will put at least **2,250 additional vehicles** onto roads which the Council admits are inadequate. In addition, the proposed employment land in Tasley will increase the number of vehicles coming in and out of Bridgnorth, many of which will be large vehicles supplying or working from the B class units proposed for these areas (ie research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution).

TW's own transport review states that 75% of peak hour traffic from their site (which therefore does not include the development north of the A458) will travel east on the A458 bypass to Telford, Wolverhampton, Stourbridge and Kidderminster.

Good road communications are vital for any community to prosper yet there has been no significant improvement to the road networks around Bridgnorth for more than 20 years and public transport is in decline. The roads to neighbouring towns all have pinch points that limit the maximum traffic flow. Currently Shropshire Council has no plans to make any strategic investments in the road network around Bridgnorth yet they are proposing to increase the amount of traffic on these roads significantly.

The additional traffic and 35% increase in population will adversely affect those wishing to move into the Bridgnorth area as it would be no longer "an attractive place for highly paid workers in Telford and other neighbouring areas to live."

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Offiy	Part B Reference:

The Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 was aware of this danger as it states:

#### "Section 2.7:

While connectivity is important to economic success, so is a high quality of life.

The remoteness of Shropshire is one of the factors which contributes to its attraction as an unspoilt and tranquil place to live, work and visit. This is a particularly important factor in attracting higher skilled workers and businesses. It is important therefore to ensure that the environmental assets of the county are not damaged when seeking to improve connectivity and accessibility."

Why would SMEs choose to move or set up in Bridgnorth when the road links to and from Bridgnorth are poor and access to the motorways is slow?

How will the proposals encourage 'highly paid workers' to move to Bridgnorth and what evidence is there that this will happen?

#### A458 and Access for Pedestrians and Cyclists:

- The **B4364 Ludlow Road** is the **only direct access** to the small area of land the promoter has under option at Roundthorn Farm. They propose making this the main access for 1,050 homes in their first phase which would direct all traffic from the lane onto the A458 roundabout whilst also trying to accommodate cyclists and pedestrians.
- The only alternative is to run all traffic for about a mile through land designated for possible, though unlikely, industrial use which is not only **unsuitable but commercially unviable**.
- Ludlow Road is very narrow and not suitable for the scale of development proposed. In order to
  provide a central refuge for turning vehicles and a pavement, widening would be required
  which would involve removing established mature hedgerows, which Taylor Wimpey has
  acknowledged are important.
- Access to Bridgnorth for pedestrians and cyclists requires the crossing of the busy A458 Bridgnorth bypass (9,500 vehicles per day). Taylor Wimpey does not own or control the land to facilitate a footbridge as was presented in the officers' assessment of the site which is therefore highly misleading. No design has been produced at all despite the promoter being specifically advised that a bridge would be needed and having more than six months to demonstrate a solution.
- As a result, pedestrian and cycling access to the town, schools and community facilities will
  require crossing a very busy main road at street level, which Shropshire Council's Highways
  Officers have indicated is unacceptable, given the road's strategic function.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

	Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It r suggested revised wording of any policy or text. P.		
As there remain so definite p     Clarificat footbridg	the plans for improving the road infrastructure around Bridgn are no plans to improve the roads, the likelihood of meeting the until improvements are made. Any such improvements need lans and investments should be in place if SME's are to be ention is required as to whether or not TW will own, or have to be built and if so how they intend to do this? It possible to purchase the necessary land how will TW ensure	te stated employmer to have been carried couraged to move ir options to purchase	at targets is poor and will out, or, at the very least, ato the Bridgnorth area. The the land required for a
	(Please contin	ue on a separat	e sheet if necessary)
supporting	<b>e:</b> In your representation you should provide sucinformation necessary to support your representan(s). You should not assume that you will have a	ation and your s	uggested
	stage, further submissions may only be mad the matters and issues he or she identifies fo		
Submissi	or representation is seeking a modification Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, dote in examination hearing session(s)?		
-	that while this will provide an initial indication of	your wish to pa	articipate in hearing
session(s),	you may be asked at a later point to confirm you	r request to par	ticipate.
<b>√</b> No,	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
Yes	, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
(Ple	ase tick one box)		
	u wish to participate in the hearing sessi der this to be necessary:	on(s), please	e outline why
those who	t <b>e:</b> The Inspector will determine the most approper have indicated that they wish to participate in head your wish to participate when the Inspector has in	riate procedure aring session(s).	. You may be asked
Signature:	Nicola squire	Date:	07/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Par	t B: R	epreser	ıtati	on					
Na	ime and Oi	rganisation:	Nicola	squire					
Q1. 1	To which	document	does	this represe	entatio	n relate?			
$\checkmark$	Regulatio	n 19: Pre-Sul	omissio	n Draft of the	Shropsh	ire Local Plar	1		
	Sustainat Local Plar		l of the	Regulation 1	9: Pre-Sı	ubmission Dra	aft of t	he Shro	opshire
	Shropshir	Regulations A e Local Plan ick one box)	ssessm	ent of the Reg	gulation	19: Pre-Subn	nission	Draft o	of the
<b>Q2.</b> 1	Γο which	part of the	docu	ment does	this rep	oresentatio	n rela	ate?	
Parag	ıraph:	į	Policy:	SP2 & S3	Site:	BRD030	Po	olicies Map:	
_	=	onsider the ocal Plan is		lation 19: F	re-Sub	mission Dr	aft of	f the	
Α.	Legally cor	mpliant			Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound				Yes:		No:	$\checkmark$	
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes: No: (Please tick as appropriate).									
Draft	t of the S	Shropshire	Local	you conside Plan is not to co-opera	legally	compliant	or is	unsou	nd or
of the		Local Plan or		ance or sound pliance with th					
The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states the following at Section S3.1:  "S3.1 Development Strategy: Bridgnorth Principal Centre  1. Bridgnorth will fulfil its role as the second largest Principal Centre and contribute towards strategic growth objectives in the east of the County, delivering around 1,800 dwellings and making available around 49ha of employment land to create choice and competition in the market. New housing and employment will make provision for the needs of the town and surrounding hinterland, including attracting inward investment and allowing existing businesses to expand.									
	<ol> <li>New residential development will also be delivered through the saved SAM Dev mixed use and residential allocations;"</li> </ol>								
The L		s identified an aring the period 20		of the A458 for 3. This has been o					ıilt by Taylor

The Local Plan has also earmarked an additional area west of the proposed TGV, towards Morville, for future development after 2038. TW state in their 'Development Statement, that up to **700 dwellings** could be built on this land.

In addition, as part of the Shropshire Council's Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan, which was adopted in 2015, it was agreed that **500 dwellings** would be built in Tasley north of the A 458 in the area of the Livestock Market and northwards. This development has not yet started.

Therefore, in total, the Local Plan is recommending that 2,250 new dwellings be built in the Tasley area.

As of 2020, the population of Bridgnorth is approximately 13,000. If it is assumed that there will be only two residents per new dwelling, (which is probably a very conservative figure), it will increase the population of Bridgnorth by **4,500 people** which represents an increase of **35%**.

On what basis has Shropshire Council arrived at such a vast figure?

Whilst it is accepted that Bridgnorth and surrounding areas require more dwellings to cater for the likely future growth of the town, on what basis has Shropshire Council decided that such numbers '.. will make provision for **the needs of the town** and surrounding hinterland...'?

As a **Local Housing Needs Assessment** has not been carried out to establish what the needs of Bridgnorth are, where is the evidence that 2,250 dwellings and an increase in population of a minimum of 35% meet the needs of the town?

What evidence is there that the town can support an increase of 35% to its population?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- 1. Commission a Local Housing Needs Assessment for Bridgnorth to establish the actual needs of the town and surrounding areas. This assessment should be reviewed every five years.
- 2. Provide evidence that Bridgnorth can sustain an increase in its population of at least 35%.
- 3. Revisit the population increase figure.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

	note that while this will pro 's), you may be asked at a			
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No, I do not wish to partic		•	
$\overline{\Box}$	Yes, I wish to participate i			
	(Please tick one box)	(2)		
_	you wish to participat nsider this to be nece		ssion(s), please	e outline why
		(Please cont	inue on a separate	sheet if necessary)
those w	<b>note:</b> The Inspector will do ho have indicated that the rm your wish to participate ation.	etermine the most appi y wish to participate in	ropriate procedure hearing session(s).	to adopt to hear You may be asked
Signatu	re: Nicola squire		Date:	07/02/2021
			Part A Reference	ce:

Office Use Only



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represer	_		
_			
Name and Organisation:	Nicola Squire		
Q1. To which document	does this represe	ntation relate	?
Regulation 19: Pre-Su	omission Draft of the	Shropshire Local	Plan
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	l of the Regulation 19	: Pre-Submission	Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Reg	ulation 19: Pre-S	ubmission Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the	document does t	his represent	ation relate?
Paragraph:	Policy: S3	Site: BRD030	Policies Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	_	re-Submissior	Draft of the
A. Legally compliant		Yes:	No:
B. Sound		Yes:	No: 🗹
C. Compliant with the Dut	y to Co-operate	Yes:	No:
(Please tick as appropriate	·).		
Q4. Please give details of Draft of the Shropshire fails to comply with the	Local Plan is not l	egally complia	nnt or is unsound or
If you wish to support the lega of the Shropshire Local Plan or set out your comments.	l compliance or soundr	ness of the Regula	tion 19: Pre-Submission Draft
taken place and it should be noted and Stanmore, has taken place throwas limited to online consultation of in person or discuss them at exhibit A substantial number of objections 18 consultation including objection surrounding Parish Councils, incluappear that no account has been taken expressed have been ignored.  A steering group consisting of mer June 2019 to consider the issues for the standard place.	that consultation on the twigh different mechanisms. In only due to the Covid 19 parties and meetings. To the Bridgnorth Place Plants from the elected Shrops ding Tasley whose parish the different from these views and not only the Bridgnorth Town acing Bridgnorth and to different from the second from	o main development The late emergence of indemic with no option were made to Shrops hire Councillors for the Tasley garden Vi public explanation h Council and surrounce evelop a plan for the	Community Involvement (SCI), has proposals for Bridgnorth, at Tasley the Tasley Garden Village proposal for the public to examine the plans hire Council through the Regulation he area, the Town Council and the lage is sited. Despite this, it would has been given as to why the views thing Parish Councils was formed in esettlement. Their draft report was sal came to light. However this plan

does not seem to have been considered by Shropshire Council at all.

# Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

	e an explanation as to why the		•	·	
and the	surrounding Parish Councils	have been ignored.			
Soundn	any decision on the Legal Conness have been resolved and all	alternative options, in partic	cular options put forward		
ing Gro	oup and Tasley Parish council,	have been considered in de	tail.		
		(Please co	ntinue on a senarat	re sheet if necessary)	
Please no	<b>te:</b> In your representation	•	•	• •	
	information necessary t				
	n(s). You should not as	sume that you will hav	ve a further opportu	inity to make	
submission					
	stage, further submis the matters and issue				
Daseu on	ine matters and issue	s ne or sne identine	S for examination	1.	
	ur representation is	<del>-</del>	_		
	on Draft of the Shro	-		r it necessary to	
-	te in examination h			auticia ata in la acciona	
	e that while this will prov you may be asked at a				
	ession(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.				
Yes	s, I wish to participate in	hearing session(s)			
(Ple	ease tick one box)				
Q7. If you	u wish to participat	e in the hearing se	ession(s), please	e outline why	
you cons	ider this to be neces	ssary:			
D/		· ·	•	sheet if necessary)	
	<b>te:</b> The Inspector will de have indicated that they				
	your wish to participate				
examinatio	n.				
<b>.</b>	Air I			07/02/2024	
Signature:	Nicola squire		Date:	07/02/2021	
			Part A Reference	ce:	
		Office Use Only			



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represer	ntation				
Name and Organisation:	Name and Organisation: Nicola Squire				
Q1. To which document	does this representation relate?				
Regulation 19: Pre-Su	bmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	al of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire				
Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the				
Q2. To which part of the	e document does this representation relate?				
Paragraph:	Policy: DP18 & Site: BRD030 Policies Map:				
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the				
A. Legally compliant	Yes: No:				
B. Sound	Yes: No: 🗸				
C. Compliant with the Dut	y to Co-operate Yes: $\square$ No: $\square$				
(Please tick as appropriate	, <b>_</b>				
<b>Draft of the Shropshire</b>	of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.				
	al compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft rits compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to				
Livestock Market:  When the SAMDev Plan for 500 dwellings in Tasley was approved, Shropshire Council insisted that the Livestock Market be re-located as it was felt that the market was incompatible with housing. Now, under the Local Plan, the proposed TGV will surround the Livestock Market on three sides.					
<b>Building hundreds of house</b>	es next to a busy livestock market defies common sense. Claims that				
it can be screened do not take into account the time it takes for tree screening to mature and do not					
provide a barrier to the noise	, odours and other factors associated with a busy livestock market and				
to which residents would obje	ect. The town could lose this important economic and social facility.				
Poultry Units: Schedule S3.1(1) states that 'Befor	re occupation of the first dwelling on the site, any poultry units operating on the site				

or land within the wider site promotion ..... will cease operation.'

In a Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth stated at paragraph 34: "The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley contains the site of a current Planning Application for Poultry Units. It is understood that if the site is allocated then these Poultry Units ..... would not be implemented."

With the potential inclusion of TW's proposal at Tasley in Shropshire Council's long term housing plan, some local people are of the view that the housing would displace the proposed poultry farm which many people as well as Tasley Parish Council have raised considerable objection to.

In the Regulation 18 Council meeting, the officers referred to a possible legal agreement which would prevent houses being occupied if the poultry farm was operating. This could only be enforceable if there were a legal agreement in place involving the Promoter and all the landowners - and detailed investigations show nothing has been registered.

As a result, a situation could well emerge where there is a livestock market, poultry farm and new housing on the whole or part of the Tasley site. This is quite possible especially as one ownership includes land (where the poultry farm is planned to go) which would not even be considered for development until after 2038. It might therefore be more attractive and profitable for the landowner to keep operating the poultry farm until that date and conceivably beyond.

In DP18. Pollution and Public Amenity it is stated at 4.168 that 'Developments such as .... intensive livestock units may need a Habitat Regulation Assessment...'

In Appendix 2 of the Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, it is stated at paragraph 35:

'The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is also close to sources of current road and commercial noise and potential future noise and odour from the relocated livestock market .....other commercial uses on the existing employment allocation and potential commercial uses on the employment land proposed ... this can be appropriately managed through appropriate design and layout and use of green infrastructure buffering.'

No details are given as to how this can be achieved or how long it takes for the measures to be effective. No mention is made of the potential noise and odour from the poultry units. (How can odour from the poultry units and livestock market be mitigated?)

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Office Hee Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

incompat	nsisted that the Livestock market be moved from its current ible with housing, an explanation is required as to why the Cou				
2. Is there a units and	it? Is there a legal agreement in place between the landowner who has submitted the planning application for the poultry units and the Council? If so, why is it not available to the public? If not, how will the Council ensure that the poultry				
	not in use once any development on any part of the TGV site, re required as to how noise and odour can be mitigated in these		opment, has started?		
5/	•	•	re sheet if necessary)		
supporting	t <b>e:</b> In your representation you should provide suc information necessary to support your representa n(s). You should not assume that you will have a s.	ation and your s	uggested		
	stage, further submissions may only be mad the matters and issues he or she identifies fo				
	ur representation is seeking a modificati				
	on Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do te in examination hearing session(s)?	you conside	r it necessary to		
	that while this will provide an initial indication of you may be asked at a later point to confirm you				
	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)		ticipate.		
_	, , ,	,			
	s, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) ease tick one box)				
`	u wish to participate in the hearing sessi	ion(s), please	e outline why		
_	ider this to be necessary:	ion(o)/ picus	o outilities irrity		
Please no	Please continu) t <b>e:</b> The Inspector will determine the most approp	•	sheet if necessary)		
those who	have indicated that they wish to participate in hea	aring session(s)	. You may be asked		
	your wish to participate when the Inspector has it	dentified the ma	itters and issues for		
to confirm examinatio	//.	7			
	Nicola Squire	Date:	07/02/2021		
examinatio		Date:	07/02/2021		
examinatio		Date:	07/02/2021		
examinatio		Date:	07/02/2021		

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation					
Name and Organisation	: Nicola Squire				
Q1. To which docume	nt does this represe	entation relate	?		
Regulation 19: Pre-S	Submission Draft of the	Shropshire Local	Plan		
Sustainability Appra Local Plan	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
Shropshire Local Pla	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)				
Q2. To which part of t	he document does	this representa	ntion relate?		
Paragraph:	Policy: DP17, DP18 & S3	Site: BRD030	Policies Map:		
Q3. Do you consider t Shropshire Local Plan		re-Submission	Draft of the		
A. Legally compliant		Yes:	No:		
B. Sound		Yes:	No: 🗹		
C. Compliant with the D	uty to Co-operate	Yes:	No:		
(Please tick as approprie	ate).				
<b>Draft of the Shropshir</b>	e Local Plan is not	legally complia			
fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.					
Landscape and Visual Amenity: DP 17 of the Pre-Submission Draft states:					
Development proposals should respect, safeguard, and wherever possible, restore or enhance landscape character and visual amenity in Shropshire.					
2. All major development proposals and developments which are likely to generate significant effects as a result of the presence of highly sensitive landscape and visual receptors and/or high magnitude of effect, must be accompanied by an appropriate and proportionate assessment of landscape and visual impacts, unless it is agreed by the Council that this is not necessary. The assessment of landscape and visual impacts must follow industry good practice, be carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced landscape professional and be sufficient to determine the significance of predicted effects on landscape character, landscape elements and visual amenity.					

In a Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth it was stated at paragraph 19 that:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is primarily located within a landscape parcel assessed as having medium landscape and visual sensitivity to housing and medium-high landscape and visual sensitivity to employment."

#### **Pollution and Public Amenity:**

DP 18 of the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- "4. Development should avoid Shropshire's best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 1, 2 and 3a) wherever possible.
- 7. When development may create additional noise, during construction or operation, or when new development would be sensitive to the existing noise environment (including any anticipated changes to that environment from activities that are permitted but not yet commenced) proposals should include a noise assessment
- 8. The noise assessment should be prepared by an experienced specialist and follow industry good practice ..."

In Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth it was stated at paragraph 35:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is also close to sources of current road and commercial noise and potential future noise and odour from the relocated livestock market ...., other commercial uses on the existing employment allocation and potential commercial uses on the employment land proposed within the site promotion itself. These issues would require careful and sensitive consideration; however, it is considered that this can be appropriately managed ..."

The Pre-Submission Draft also states:

- 4.167. There are two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Shropshire, in Shrewsbury and Bridgnorth. Both are within the urban area where air pollution results mainly from traffic. Air quality will be considered when assessing development proposals, particularly in or near the AQMAs and where significant doubt arises as to the air quality impact then a cautious approach will be applied.
- 4.171. Background levels of ammonia in the air and nitrogen loads deposited on natural habitats are generally well above the levels and loads recognised as causing damage throughout Shropshire.

#### Ecology:

Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth states that:

- "22. The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is located within the Impact Risk Zone for four SSSIs .... risks can be managed through appropriate design, layout and construction of the development.
- 23. It also includes areas within environmental networks and could contain protected species and priority habitats...."

A review undertaken by specialist Environmental Consultants concluded that the Tasley site has the potential to impact on suitable habitats for European Protected Species. In particular the site falls within the impact zone for Thatcher's Wood and Westwood Covert Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), with the SSSI itself located 1km south of the proposed site.

It is understood that the site presents an abundance of suitable habitats for European Protected Species, principally bats and great crested newt, given the protection afforded to these species, development should not be contemplated without species survey being undertaken.

The Tasley proposals also have the potential to impact on the non-designated habitat close to the site, which is managed by Shropshire Wildlife Trust which has been established under a planning permission granted for Bridgwalton Quarry. The area restored for a mix of uses comprising

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

agricultural use, woodland, species rich conservation grassland and associated landscaping works			
incorporating new woodland planting would be impacted.			
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)			
Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the			
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally			
compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.			
Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at			
examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission			
Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put			
forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.  1. Has an assessment of landscape and visual impacts been carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced landscape			
professional? If so, why has it not been published? If not, why?			
2. The land on which the proposed TGV is to be built is classified as Grade 3 agricultural land. At a time when local food production in the UK is under threat, why is Grade 3 agricultural land being taken for residential and employ-			
ment facilities?			
3. With the introduction of more vehicles on the A458 has a noise assessment been prepared by an experienced special-			
ist? If so, why has it not been published? If not, why?  4. Tasley will be the site for 2,250 additional dwellings and 29 ha of employment land. This will bring a substantial			
increase in vehicle movement. What measures will be taken to improve the air quality in and around Bridgnorth?			
<ul><li>5. How can noise and odour be mitigated successfully?</li><li>6. Both the Council for Protection of Rural England (CPRE) and Shropshire Wildlife Trust (SWT) have objected to the</li></ul>			
Tasley development. How does Shropshire Council justify pursuing the TGV development knowing the ecological			
damage it will cause to the area? What measures will be put in place to safeguard the SSSIs and the environmental			
networks?			
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)			
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and			
supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested			
modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.			
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.			
Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-			
Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?			
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing			
session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.			
No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)			
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)			
(Please tick one box)			
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why			
you consider this to be necessary:			

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)  Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.				
Signature:	Nicola Squire	Date:	07/02/2021	