## Shropshire Council: <br> Shropshire Local Plan

## Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Forms).
We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation

| Name and Organisation: | RoGER CAREY |
| :--- | :--- |

## Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

R Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
$\square$ Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
$\square$
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)

## Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph: $\square$ Policy: $2 \cdot 16-2.26$
Site: $\square$
Policies Map:

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:
A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).



Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.


> Q5. Please set out the modifications) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

## Sell Artackoos safest

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modifications). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session (s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing sessions), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing sessions)
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing sessions)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing sessions), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: $\qquad$
$\square$
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing sessions). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:


Date: 27 1.2021.
Office Use Only
Part A Reference: Part B Reference:


#### Abstract

Question 4 From the early submissions to the questionnaires on the Local Plan it became absolutely clear that individual respondents were emphatically opposed ( $88 \%$ against) to the high level of growth being proposed by Shropshire Council. This attitude was also backed by both town and parish councils ( $74 \%$ against) who were also opposed to such high growth levels.

These strongly held views of the wider public are being ignored by the Cabinet members (no doubt backed by central government policy) who are undemocratically pursuing their own agenda.

For Shropshire Council to totally ignore the overwhelming view of its electorate and their local government representatives is unsound.


## Question 5

The overwhelming view of the wider public should be recognised and the overall housing target should be lowered significantly.

