# Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan <br> <br> Representation Form 

 <br> <br> Representation Form}


> Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part $B$ Representation Form(s).

> We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation

| Name and Organisation: | Roger Ford |
| :--- | :--- |

## Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)

## Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

$\square$
Policy: $\square$

Site:
ALV09
Policies
Map:

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate),


Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19; Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.
The Plan fails to take into account the approved Local Development Plan which took 2 years to complete with the consultation of the Planning Department. The piece of land ALV09 is in the green belt. We currently have $\mathbf{2 4}$ homes being built on a brown belt (non designated social housing), we have had 2 new homes built in the last six months (in the green belt but old farm buildings), and we have an old carvan site currently under contruction for up to 100 park homes, also in the green belt. Sufficient land in the green belt has been identified to provide a further 100 homes. These are in the LDP. However, Mr Fortune sees fit to identify ALV09 as a further piece of land even though the Parish Council had considered this land and discarded it due to the problems with the land and its location. Mr Fortune granted planning permission for 6 houses on another piece of land last year (Meadow Brook Close). The owner of the land intimated at the time that he intends to apply for planning permission for at least another 30 homes, once he has built the 6 .
I complained last year about the legality of the Planning Meeting that approved Meadow Brook Close. I also complained about Mr Fortune and the Planning Department, writing to the Head of the Legal Department, the Head of Planning and the Leader of the Council. I am yet to receive the courtesy of a reply. As the South Planning Department does not listen to the Parish Council or residents at Planning Meetings I have little faith that any representation will make any difference.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.
Please note that nen-compliance with the duty to ce=operate is incapable of modiffeation at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre=Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally ecmpllant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any pollcy or text. Please be as precise as pessible.
Remove ALV09 from the Plan and agree the proposals of the Parish Council.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please noter In your representation you should provide suceinetly all the evidence and supporting information nezessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further epportunity to make submissions,
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and lisues he or she identifles for examination.

## Q6, If your representation Is seeking a modiflcation to the Regulation 19: Pre= Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate In examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to partieipate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.


No, I do not wish to paricipate in hearing session(s)
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary;

(Please continue en a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most apprepriate pracedure to adopt to hear these whe have indicated that they wish to patteipate in hearing session(s), You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.
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