

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	NEAL BONIFACE
------------------------	---------------

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

(Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Policy:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Site:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Policies Map:	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------	-------------------------------------	---------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------------	---------------	--------------------------

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- | | | | | |
|--|------|--------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| A. Legally compliant | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Sound | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input type="checkbox"/> |

(Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Under the local draft plan, the village of Crive is allocated 'Hub' status with a score of 54. There seems to be little effort to consider the facts that the village has neither a bowling green nor a village shop. The absence of these two facilities makes a big difference to the status of the village. In the Cabinet 7 December 2020 - Public question time, the response to the question raised by Lydia Bardsley, Clerk to the Crive Parish council, seemed quite dismissive. Using such arguments as, 'needs to show this facility is surplus to (cont...)

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

ACTION - Accept that the village of Clive has no shop and no bowling green and as such does not achieve sufficient points to achieve 'Hub' status.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
 Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your request to participate in hearing session(s) identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature: [

Date:

26/01/2021

Part A Reference;

Part B Reference;

Continued from Part B representation, Q4.

--- requirements.' with regards to the bowling green as a facility. No one has said that there is no need, it does not exist! The fact that this facility is in private ownership and is no longer available to the public seems to be ignored. In addition, the attitude towards the shop seems somewhat nebulous. Suggesting that it might, at some time in the future, re-open seems to show ignorance of the fact the shop has struggled to function on a viable financial footing for years and the lease is very unlikely to be taken on at any time soon. Waiting to see the outcome of the owner's intentions seems to be a mechanism to delay making a decision. Would it not be better to accept the current status quo, that there is no shop nor bowling green, and should the situation change in the future, make the changes at that point in time.