

## Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

### Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Mr Paul. J. Arnold.
------------------------	---------------------

#### Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- (Please tick one box)

#### Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:  Policy:  Site:  Policies Map:

#### Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- A. Legally compliant Yes:  No:
- B. Sound Yes:  No:
- C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes:  No:

(Please tick as appropriate).

#### Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I do not consider the Plan sound or sustainable.  
Please see the letter from Longden Village Action Group and also the Parish Council submission and large number of community responses to the Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 consultation.  
Furthermore I do not understand why some proposed development sites are included when they have been subject to appeals and previously rejected. In particular, sites 016 and 002. In particular, The hierarchy assessment as applied to Longden is not sound. Longden is much smaller than any of the other proposed hubs. Has the methodology been properly scrutinised? If not, why not?  
Where is the infrastructure plan? Where is the sustainable up to date transport plan? Climate Change. Building many houses in villages which do not have adequate public transport and no local employment will encourage more cars on to the road and will be in direct contradiction to the National Net Zero Carbon target.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.**

*Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

The hierarchy assessment should include 'weighting' so that the scoring reflects the size of the infrastructure/services/employment opportunities.

The Plan should have been 'paused' following earlier consultations as all the above issues have been raised by communities across Shropshire but they have not been addressed rigorously. The Council seems to be determined to keep to a rigid timetable with insufficient staff to produce an updated Plan that is sound. I appreciate that the planning officers that have been retained by the Council have been very stretched and worked incredibly hard.

*(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

**After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.**

**Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

*Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.*

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

*(Please tick one box)*

**Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

**I feel that the community has a right to be heard. Despite extensive local consultation undertaken by the Parish Council and LVAG I do not feel that Shropshire Council has listened to the responses from the community. The Council made it clear from the start, prior to its Regulation 18 consultation, that "Longden will become a hub". Their approach seems illogical and unfair in relation to this very small community.**

*(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:

P Arnold

Date:

03/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference: