Shropshire Council:
Shropshire Local Plan

Representation Form

*Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.*

*We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.*

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Roberts,

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

☑ Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

☑ Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

☐ Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

(Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:		Policy:	x	Site:	x	Policies Map:	

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant	Yes: ☐	No: ☐
B. Sound	Yes: ☐	No: ☑
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	Yes: ☐	No: ☑

(Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I am a resident of Longden and have been for 34 years. I do not consider the plan either sound or sustainable.

I do not consider the methodology adopted in the plan and as applied to Longden is fit for the purpose. This is explained in detail in the letter sent to you by the Longden Village action group in January 2021. I particularly object to the lack of "weighting" in the assessment instructions.

I am a former Chair of the Steering Group set up by the Parish Council in 2008 to compile the first Parish Plan. This was presented to the Council in 2010. I was also the first Chair of the Longden Village Action Group. This was set up because the residents perceived that their views on planning matters were being ignored by the planning authorities.

It seems that nothing has changed since then. We are still being ignored and we have little doubt that the current exercise will also simply be an excuse for claiming to consult widely and ignoring the results of the consultation.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please see the letter submitted by LVAG in January 2021, which I fully endorse.
I have a particular concern which is not voiced by the Action Group. I use a mobility scooter not from choice but from necessity; I cannot walk very far, <15m. Because of the narrowness of the roads around the village and the amount and speed of the traffic using the roads (including some very large agricultural vehicles), I am very afraid to use the roads. This severely limits my travel on the scooter either for shopping or pleasure. The addition of a further 50 – 100 cars to the volume of traffic from proposed housing in the village fills me with horror.
There is little reference in the proposals to the needs of the disabled in Longden. The village has a large aged population and many of these people have disabilities. Something other than lip-service would be appreciated.

As an aside, my wife, Diana Roberts, wishes to support these comments and may be considered as a co-signatory.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: *In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.*

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

☐   No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

☑   Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

    (Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I wish to be represented in the hearing sessions by the nominated representatives from the Longden Village Action Group.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: *The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.*

Signature:	C J Roberts	Date:	26/01/2021

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference: