

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	David Rawlinson, Clerk Alveley and Romsley Parish Council
------------------------	--

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- (Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	Schedule S3.2(i) page 189/190	Policy:	Settlement S3	Site:	Alveley Community Hub	Policies Map:	
------------	-------------------------------	---------	---------------	-------	-----------------------	---------------	--

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- A. Legally compliant Yes: No:
- B. Sound Yes: No:
- C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes: No:
- (Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The proposal to release green belt land adjacent to the Cleckars to provide for 35 new dwellings is unsound and fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. In the Parish Council's response to the Regulation 18 Pre-submission draft of the Local Plan it was clearly stated

"The Parish Council would prefer this site (ALV009) to be retained within the green belt; there are ecological and hydrological issues with the site which Councillors feel have not adequately been taken into account".

The Parish Council's view on this proposal has hardened as a result of the recent plan to construct up to 100 park homes on the Butts Caravan site in Alveley. Although this may not be legally relevant in planning terms, it will create additional problems for local services and argues against proposed housing developments elsewhere in the Village, especially on green belt land at the Cleckars site.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The proposed allocation of the green belt site ALV009 for future housing development in the Local Plan period should be removed.

Shropshire Council should remove paragraph 7 of S3.2 from the Local Plan. This refers to an area of land beyond the Alveley development boundary and states that this is being safeguarded to meet future development need beyond the period of the this Plan. For the reasons stated above, no such safeguarding is required.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:

Date:

16 February 2021

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

ALVELEY AND ROMSLEY PARISH COUNCIL

NEAR BRIDGNORTH, SHROPSHIRE

Chairman Pam Barker

Clerk to the Council:
David Rawlinson

43 Church View Gardens
Kinver
Stourbridge
West Midlands
DY7 6EE

16th February 2021

Planning Policy & Strategy Team
Shropshire Council
Shirehall
Abbey Foregate
Shrewsbury
SY2 6ND

Dear Colleagues

SHROPSHIRE LOCAL PLAN – REGULATION 19, PRE-SUBMISSION DRAFT

I am writing to inform you of the Parish Council response to the Regulation 19, pre-submission draft of the Local Plan. I attach the representation forms which you provided. The purpose of this letter is to articulate the view of the Parish Council in a manner which your forms do not allow. In view of recent developments in Alveley, Councillors are very confident that the comments set out below are representative of local opinion, and expect Shropshire Council to value them accordingly.

Councillors and residents accept the designation of Alveley as a 'community hub'. However, they consider that this commits Shropshire Council to work with the Parish Council and local residents to achieve much needed improvements in infrastructure. It is also essential to recognise that Alveley remains a **rural** community, and that it will only prosper if existing facilities and services, such as the public bus service, are retained and, where possible, improved.

The Parish Council considers that the amount of green belt land which is identified for development during the Local Plan period is excessive. The recent Housing Needs Survey confirms that this is the view of local residents. The Parish Council is disappointed that the initial proposal to build 35 dwellings in the Green Belt at the Cleckars has not been removed. Our opposition to this proposal has hardened as a result of the recent plan to construct up to 100 park homes on the Butts Caravan site in Alveley. Although this may not be legally relevant in planning terms, it will create additional demands on local facilities, and argues against proposed housing developments elsewhere in the Village, especially on green belt land such as the Cleckars site. The Parish Council would prefer this site to be retained within the green belt; there are ecological and hydrological issues with the site which Councillors feel have not adequately been taken into account.

For the same reasons, the Parish Council can no longer support the proposal to safeguard an area of green belt land north of the Alveley development boundary to meet

future development need. Finally, we reiterate that Shropshire Council must recognise the importance of infrastructure improvements to accompany any future housing development and to justify Alveley's proposed status as a community hub.

Please let me know if you require clarification or further information.

Yours sincerely

David Rawlinson
Clerk to the Council