Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation							
Name and Organisation:							
Q1. To which document does this representation relate?							
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)							
Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?							
Paragraph: Policy: x	Site: Policies Map:						
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:							
A. Legally compliant	Yes: ✓ No:						
B. Sound	Yes: No: 🗸						
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).	Yes: ✓ No:						

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

As far as I am concerned the current Draft Plan is "unsound" in its use of the criteria it is applying to the village of Trefonen and its current proposed Hub status. I would argue forcefully that the wording of Para 5.41 which relaxes the the need for Hubs to have both substantial or significant employment / employment opportunities and peak time public transport is in direct contradiction to the Councils SP3 Climate Change and Sustainability Objectives SO5, SO6, & SO12. Whilst these seek to minimise car travel and support the increase in the usage of sustainable travel and to reduce carbon emissions these worded relaxations fly in the face of the policies. I believe this makes the Draft Plan unsound in particular regards to Trefonen which has no significant employment opportunities or peak time public transport. It's the only proposed Hub settlement with neither of these key requirements. I believe the correct grading for Trefonen is as Other Rural and therefore believe it should be removed as a Community Hub in Policy S14.2

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at

examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
Trefonen is a Rural Settlement with a school currently full and with no opportunity for building expansion, and with current housing provision of the types, sizes and numbers needed to meet "identified local needs" for local people. I believe our ongoing housing needs can be provided for by Rural Exceptions Policies DP4, DP5, DP6 & DP7 "Affordable Homes" [which can be starter, intermediate, downsize, accessible houses or bungalow - for sale or rental at 80% full open market value in perpetuity] ascertained by application to Shropshire Council's Home Point Housing Waiting List or by Right Homes Right Places or local Housing Needs Survey during the period of the Plan
Over a period of time I believe the village would benefit from these small-scale developments of affordable housing and that Shropshire Council should respect our Village Design Statement 2016.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.
Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre- Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.
No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked

to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:	cure:			Date:	
		055 11 0-1	Part A Reference:		

Office Use Only Part B Reference: