## Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan





Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Représe	ntati	on'n 🕬	made, and thick be	in Form is acted	is Nepresentat
Name and Organisation:	Je	251G	SPEL	LMAN	100 m
Q1. To which document	does	histrepre	sentation rela	t <b>ė</b> ?ai prilipioni).	ragraph, notice
Regulation 19: Pre-Su	ıbmissioı	n Draft of t	he Shropshire Lo	cal Plan	
Sustainability Appraise Local Plan	al of the	Regulation	19: Pre-Submiss	sion Draft of the S	hropshire
Habitats Regulations / Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	Àssessm	ent of the F	Regulation 19: Pr	e-Submission Dra	ft of the
Q2. To which part of th	e docu	ment doe	s this represe	ntation relate?	
Paragraph:	Policy:	16.2	Site:	Policie Map	•
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Pland		lation/19	Pre-Submiss	on Draft of the	e amine de
A. Legally compliant			Yes:	No: 🔲	2368 - 235 - 184 - 1, 12 - 2 - 254 - 5 *
B. Sound		- ·	Yes:	No: 🗹	
C. Compliant with the Dut	ty to Co-	operate	Yes:	No:	
(Please tick as appropriat	e).			, <del></del>	
Q4. Please give details Draft of the Shropshire fails to comply with the If you wish to support the leg of the Shropshire Local Plan of set out your comments.	Local duty t al compli	Plan is no o co-ope ance or sou	ot legally comp rate. Please b ndness of the Reg	oliant or is uns e as precise as ulation 19: Pre-Su	oundlores m possible: bmission Draft
I am concerned about the significant Plan currently does not allocate any Community Hub, thus allowing the patakes place.  I want Cross Houses to remain as O housing development will be allowed. The residents of Cross Houses have entails such as increased traffic, patacountryside. I believe that the major village.  A full scale needs survey needs to be that that the village wants. Until this what is right for our village. Recent consultation document did it state the question of the recipients as to whe having to accept any further large sequestion of whether or not residents I would ask that the inspector at the	algnificant possibility of the take ple had to purificularly co- rity of reald be undertaked is done I all by the Parish that Cross hat Cross had Cross had Cross hat Cross had	new housing a of future develor yside and not ace for the life t up with large matruction trai- ents in Cross I en of our villag im not sure hou- h Council sent louses is still o ould prefer to a pments. Not only more housing	settlements to Cross Hepment in the next few perment in the next few span of this Local Plan housebuilding sites for fic noise and housing Houses do not want an w Shropshire Council out a Community Led lassified as Open Couremain Open Countrys nly this neither did this ig in their village.	ouses it none the less syears when a 'refresh' to waith Hub so ensuring the reversity ears with all the being built on previously more large scale development cap landing Department cap landing Department cap landing the landing the landing the landing and it did not a lide and hence have the squestionnaire ask a me	till defines it as a control that no significant the problems this y arable alopment of their exactly what it is nunderstand where on this sk a fundamental option of not one basic

chose not inform the community of this fact and why specific questions regarding housing were omitted from this survey.

There were 2 parish councillors on the working group that developed this plan and I am concerned that the Parish Council are already taking as read that this Community Hub is a given – they should not be taking this opinion.

Moving onto the way in which Cross Houses has been selected for Community Hub status I would make the following points. The proposed Hub status is based on a points system and there are several areas of contention in the scoring of services,

facilities and employment for Cross Houses.

Cross Houses had points awarded for having a Library - in the context of the scoring system, called the Hierarchy
of Settlements Assessment Document, library refers to a static permanent fixture as are all other facilities mentioned.

A mobile library which visits twice a month for 25 minutes each time does not constitute having a library which people could, if required, visit daily for leisure or research purposes. A static library is not mentioned in the Hierarchy of Settlements Assessment Document and appears to have been added specifically to qualify.

2. Children's Playground – single provision only not multiple as stated

3. Amenity Green Space - single provision only not multiple as stated

 Outdoor sports facility – which I presume is a playing field – which is not owned by the Parish and which is just really a field and which has over the last 3 months November 2020 – January 2021 been regularly flooded.

I would request that the inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects these facilities to determine if this points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate.

Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cross Houses would then remain as Open Countryside status which I assume will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:	
	Part B Reference:	•

Regui comp	lease set out I lation 19: Pre- liant and sour	Submissiond, in respe	n Draft of ect of any l	the Shi	ropshi mplia	re Local P	lan legal undness	lly matters
Please examin	nave identified note that non-con nation. You will ne of the Shropshire L	npliance with ed to say why	the duty to co	ation will	is inca make	the Regulation	dification at on 19: Pre-	: Submission
forware	d your suggested i	revised wordi	ng of any polic	cy or text	t. Pleas	e be as preci	se as possi	he to put ble.
				<u> </u>		· ,	<del></del>	
	,							
			•	100		- '		
	, s+		r	٠.	٠.,	Asia sa	2 · · · · · ·	
	erek Santa kanan antara Santa kanan antara	,		t, 2				
				•	- ,			<i>i</i> 4
					,			
;		•						
					<del></del>			
	<b>e note:</b> In your n					on a separat		• • •
Subm partic Please	f your represensission Draft of cipate in exam note that while to n(s), you may be No, I do not wis	f the Shrop ination he his will provid asked at a la	oshire Loca aring sessi de an initial in ater point to c	I Plan, on(s)? ndication confirm	do yo of you our re	u conside	r it nece	ssary to
	Yes, I wish to p	articipate in	hearing sessi	on(s)				
•	(Please tick one	box)						
Q7. I you c	f you wish to possider this to	articipate be neces	in the hear	ring se	ssion(	(s), please	outline	why
			(Ple	ace cont	inua a	a congrato	choot if n	
those to cont	<b>e note:</b> The Inspe who have indicate firm your wish to nation.	ed that they	ermine the m wish to partic	ost appi ipate in	ropriate hearing	session(s)	to adopt to . You may	hear be asked
Signat	ure		•;			Date:	05.0.	2,20
						t A Reference		<del></del>
			Office Use	Only	• 10	t B Reference		<del></del>
					L C	たっ アクロウス	JC.	