Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan

Representation Form



Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represen	tation ·					
Name and Organisation:	Tlacey	Lopez				
Q1. To which document	doesthisneprese	intation relate?				
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan						
Sustainability Appraisa Local Pian	of the Regulation 19	: Pre-Submission E	Draft of the Shropshire			
Habitats Regulations Al Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Reg	ulation 19: Pre-Sub	omission Draft of the			
Q2 To which part of the	documentacies	NEROPOROTIC	ion relate?			
	subcumentsubcess					
Paragraph:	Policy: 16.2	Site:	Policies Map:			
Q3. Do you consider the		re -Sub mission I	braft of the second of			
ShropshireiLocal Plantis A. Legally compliant		Yes:	No: 🗍			
B. Sound	I	Yes:	No: 🖌			
C. Compliant with the Duty	•	Yes:	No:			
(Please tick as appropriate			# 1555777799-6778775757			
04: Please give details o Drait of the Shropshire (falls to comply with the	l San El MAIS (HEOL	ecelly complian	🔬 🖓 TO DALLOSA SI TO S			
If you wish to support the legal of the Shropshire Local Plan or set out your comments.	compliance or sound	ness of the Regulatio	n 19: Pre-Submission Draft			
I am concerned about the significant i Plan currently does not allocate any s Community Hub, thus allowing the po takes place. I want Cross Houses to remain as Ope housing development will be allowed The residents of Cross Houses have entails such as increased traffic, parti countryside. I believe that the majorit village. A full scale needs survey needs to be that that the village wants. Until this is what is right for our village. Recently consultation document did it state tha	ignificant new housing setti ssibility of future development to take place for the life spa had to put up with large hou cularly construction traffic r y of residents in Cross Hous undertaken of our village so s done I am not sure how Si the Parish Council sent out t Cross Houses is still class	ements to Cross Houses I ant in the next few years w esignated a Community H in of this Local Plan. sebuilding sites for sever loise and housing being b ses do not want any more that the community can wopshire Council Plannin a Community Led Plan qu iffied as Open Countrysid	It none the less still defines it as a when a 'refresh' to the Local Plan lub so ensuring that no significant al years with all the problems this will on previously arable large scale development of their understand just exactly what it is g Department can understand lestionnaire. Nowhere on this e and it did not ask a fundamental			
question of the recipients as to wheth having to accept any further large sca question of whether or not residents y I would ask that the inspector at the P chose not inform the community of th	le developments. Not only t vanted any more housing in ublic inquiry specifically loc	this neither did this quest their village. Into this issue and ask	onnaire ask a more basic is the Parish Council why they			

There were 2 parish councillors on the working group that developed this plan and I am concerned that the Parish Council are already taking as read that this Community Hub is a given – they should not be taking this opinion. Moving onto the way in which Cross Houses has been selected for Community Hub status I would make the following points The proposed Hub status is based on a points system and there are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cross Houses.

- 1. Cross Houses had points awarded for having a Library in the context of the scoring system, called the Hierarchy of Settlements Assessment Document, library refers to a static permanent fixture as are all other facilities mentioned.
 - A mobile library which visits twice a month for 25 minutes each time does not constitute having a library which people could, if required, visit daily for leisure or research purposes. A static library is not mentioned in the Hierarchy of Settlements Assessment Document and appears to have been added specifically to qualify.
- 2. Children's Playground single provision only not multiple as stated
- 3. Amenity Green Space single provision only not multiple as stated
- 4. Outdoor sports facility which I presume is a playing field which is not owned by the Parish and which is just really a field and which has over the last 3 months November 2020 January 2021 been regularly flooded.

I would request that the inspector at the forthcoming Public inquiry comes and inspects these facilities to determine if this points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate.

Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cross Houses would then remain as Open Countryside status which I assume will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:	
	Part B Reference:	

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:			Date:	27/1/2021.
	Office Use Only		Part A Reference:	
	· · · ·		Part B Reference	ie: