

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Mrs Jennifer Tyler
------------------------	--------------------

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

(Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	<input type="text"/>	Policy:	<input type="text" value="S13"/>	Site:	<input type="text"/>	Policies Map:	<input type="text"/>
------------	----------------------	---------	----------------------------------	-------	----------------------	---------------	----------------------

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- | | | | | |
|--|------|--------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| A. Legally compliant | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Sound | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

(Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The L P R for Much Wenlock is not legally compliant because it has made little or no representation to the community as is required by the legally adopted and current Much Wenlock Neighbourhood Plan. S Council have received support from the M W Town Council but they, in turn, were neglectful in not consulting the community before making that decision. The L P R offers a preferred site (MUW012 incl MUW012VAR) which is prone to severe flooding as evidenced in Feb 2019 and Jan 2020 and the decision relies on no-qualified personnel offering opinions based on local knowledge but without evidential civil engineering substance. S13.1 calls for excess water to be contained within the site (via an attenuation pond) but with no run-off allowed. How is that possible when the pond is full and there is evidence or percolation through the sub-strata?

Following the heavy flooding of February 2019 S Council published Reg 18. This document once again offered the preferred site for 120 units at MUW012/012VAR. No consideration had been given to alternative sites which had been subjected to attenuation ponds such as MUW008 which is still shown as a site liable to flooding. No flooding was experienced on this site during the Feb 2019 severe floods. Therefore, for the sake of convenience to meet quotas no review has been undertaken after the floods.

Much Wenlock is threatened by over development from Ironbride Power Station and Tasley, Bridgnorth.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Much Wenlock requires further development and has shown that it can meet targets set within the timeframe of previous Place Plan reviews. The Neighbourhood Plan calls for small, managed development sites and these are available as brownfield (MUW001 & MUW002) and parts of sites (MUW008 for example) that are within 10mins walking distance of both the town centre and recreational facilities as well as the local school. Shropshire Council has called for the A458 and A4169 to be part of an "Eastern Strategic Corridor" from the Black Country to the M54. And yet the Gaskell Corner junction is already at capacity. The threat of development from MUW012/012VAR together with 1000 dwelling at Iron bridge Power Station and 1000 dwellings at Tasley, Bridgnorth will, as the developers of I P S (Harwoods) admit overload the junction and can offer no plausible alleviation to the expected increased traffic flow. The only remedies that have been suggested is to knock down properties or build a relief road. The real remedy is to re-think the strategy for the east of the county in order to preserve one of Shropshire's jewels from becoming a commuter town for Telford and the West Midlands.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
 Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:

Date: 20/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference: