

## Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

tion 19: Pro	cal Plan sion Draft of the Submission entation rel	
ropshire Loo re-Submiss tion 19: Pro	cal Plan sion Draft of the Submission entation rel	Draft of the
re-Submiss tion 19: Pro	sion Draft of t e-Submission	Draft of the
tion 19: Pro	e-Submission	Draft of the
s represe	ntation rel	
		-1-2
Site:		ate?
	Po	olicies Map:
Submiss	ion Draft o	f the
es: 🗹	No:	
es:	No:	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
es:	No:	
Please be of the Reg	pliant or is e as precis	unsound or e as possible. re-Submission Draft
sidents in G rly 300 resi assed as a G onded askin	e allowed to to Cressage do ridents from Community hing to remain	ake place for the not want any more ressage ub. In 2020, over Open
	es:   the Regular complete service in the Regular complete service in the Regular to co-option in the regular to co-option in the regular service in the regular	es: No:

Council Planning Department can understand what is right for our village.

I am concerned that the Parish Council are already taking as read that this Community Hub is a given – they should not be taking this view. This has led to confusion and uncertainty for many residents. The Parish Council has misrepresented the Village views throughout. It feels throughout this whole process that the people of Cressage have not been listened to I would ask that the Inspector at the Public Inquiry specifically looks into this issue.

I ask the Inspector to evaluate how and if, Shropshire council evaluated and processed the previous consultation resonses. Local reports told of staff shortages within Shropshire Council due to the Covid-19 outbreak and many believe this consultation process was unable to happen thoroughly or fairly. How can nearly 300 responses to previous rounds of consultation be ignored? Why was no feedback or acknowledgement given?

Shropshire Council will almost certainly face a legal challenge over its new local plan if it does not take into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say. Having not stopped this process due to Covid19 and the number of cases still to be heard in the courts, this case may not come up for a few months with the outcome being that the local authority must re-run the entire regulation 19 process again.

There are four 'Gunning principles' for public consultations, including the stipulation that "there is adequate time for consideration and response". The consultation has not taken into account the restrictions on public involvement because of Covid19 restrictions and it is therefore against government guidelines. One of the stated consultations means for people without internet access is through public libraries. Much Wenlock library is now closed, as are all libraries throughout Shropshire, resulting in those without internet access not being able to participate in the consultation process. The demographics of Shropshire on the 2011 census states that 24 per cent of the population (76,030 persons) in the county is over 65 and they are more likely to rely on libraries for internet access and are more likely to respond to consultations. As you can see, the consultation process has not been sound as these demographics have had no access to the resources they need to respond.

The way in which Cressage has been selected for Community Hub status is incorrect. I would make the following arguements. The proposed Hub status is based on a points system and there are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cressage.

Cressage Parish Council (PC) along with Councillor Clare Wild held a public meeting on January 8th 2019. This was attended by over 80 people who all felt strongly against the classification of Cressage becoming a Hub. As well as this, nearly 300 Cressage residents responded to Shropshire Council opposing becoming a Community hub.

The scoring system that determined Cressage as a hub is incorrect, unfair and unjust. Cressage receives the same points for a mobile library as a fixed one, we also get 3 points for a "Place of Worship" which is closed.

Cressage is awarded 3 points for having a Chemist/Pharmacy yet we only have a Dispensing Surgery.

All villages designated as Hubs have been awarded the same points for having a "Public Transport Link" as well as having a "Peak Time Regular Service". However there is a complete lack of differentiation between Cressage and major towns like Shrewsbury.

I request that the Inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects these facilities to determine if this points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which I assume will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village over this period of time.

The CPRE (the countryside charity) also agree the local plan is unsound because The Draft Plan's targets are too high and its plans for growth are not sustainable, particularly in view of the declared climate emergency. The charity also agrees the process is undemocratic and it still won't get enough affordable housing built.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Office Use Only
Part B Reference:

# Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I ask that the Independent inspector looks into the points scoring system and the scores Cressage received to be designated a Community Hub. There are obvious irregularities and errors which need urgently addressing.

I ask that the Independent inspector looks at the previous responses to the consultation from Cressage residents. There were many hundreds of objections and it feels these have not been evaluated or assessed fairly by Shropshire Council. I ask the inspector to evaluate the consultation process.

I ask that the Independent inspector reviews the Countryside Charitys objections and responses and considers them in depth.

I ask the Independent inspector to review Shropshire Councils consultation process during Covid19 and the obvious impacts that has had on preventing people from replying.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will	provide an initial indicat	ion of your wish to p	participate in hearing
session(s), you may be asked	at a later point to confirm	n your request to pa	irticipate.

	No, I do not wish to partici Yes, I wish to participate in (Please tick one box)			
	you wish to participate onsider this to be neces		ı(s), please outline why	
we	nave been	incorrectly	awarded	ĺ

pacilities

we

cannot

and

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

anymore

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

With

need

Signature:	- ÷	Date:	3/2/21
	C 11- 0-1	Part A Reference:	
	fice Use Only	Part B Referen	ce:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represen	tation					
Name and Organisation:	Shamon	. <	COX.			
Q1. To which document	does this represer	ntatio	n relate?			
Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	mission Draft of the S	hropsl	nire Local Pla	n		
Sustainability Appraisal Local Plan	of the Regulation 19:	Pre-S	ubmission D	raft of	the Shr	opshire
Habitats Regulations As Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Regu	lation	19: Pre-Sub	missior	Draft (	of the
Q2. To which part of the	document does th	nis re	presentati	on rel	ate?	
Paragraph:	Policy: 1 <del>6.15 to</del> 1 <del>6.25</del> <b>513</b>	Site:		P	olicies Map:	
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is:		e-Sul	mission D	raft o	f the	
A. Legally compliant		Yes:	$\square$	No:		
B. Sound		Yes:		No:	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
C. Compliant with the Duty (Please tick as appropriate)		Yes:		No:	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
Q4. Please give details of Draft of the Shropshire Lagrangian fails to comply with the lagrangian of the Shropshire Local Plan or set out your comments.	ocal Plan is not le duty to co-operate compliance or soundne	gally e. Pleasess of t	compliant ase be as p the Regulation	or is precis	e as p	ind or ossible. nission Draft
There is a common law duty account". Therefore the inspectoressage opposing becoming feedback was not given and community about the way in being incorrect. There are se employment for Cressage and hub is incorrect, unfair and use I request that the Inspector of facilities to determine if the property Should it be found that a deconded would remain as Open Countries.	ector must investigate  a Community hub in  changes were not mad  which Cressage has be  veral areas of content  d it is clear the scoring  injust.  at the forthcoming Pull  points scoring assessmituction of points is required.	the retailed the production in g system of the production in g system of the production in the product	esponses of revious round sidering the elected for Cothe scoring comments are that determined to be made 1	nearly 3 I of constrong ommun of servi rmined and ins Council believ	800 resinguitation responsity Hubices, factoressa repects the list apple that Communication in the second residual is apple that Communication residual resi	dents from on and why se from the status illities and ge as a he village ropriate.

#### Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I ask that Shropshire Council and the Inspector disclose the numbers of people in support of Cressage becoming a Community hub status compared to those who opposed it. I ask this as a formal request for information under the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

I request that the Inspector comes and inspects the village facilities to determine if the points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village over this period of time.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

#### Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

B	Ŋ,	þ	ŀ	
2	•	۲	١	,
г	5	7	ſ	

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

#### Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

we have been palsely awarded points	
for amenities we do not have, and	
we cannot support any more	
nousing with our current cacillities	

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:		Date:	3/2/21	
	Office Use Only	Part A Reference:		
		Part B Referen	ce:	



#### Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

#### Part B: Representation

Name and Orga	nisation: Shann	ion c	· 40	
Q1. To which d	ocument does this rep	resentatio	n relate	97
Regulation 1	9: Pre-Submission Draft o	f the Shrops	hire Loca	l Plan
Sustainabilit	y Appraisal of the Regulati	on 19: Pre-S	Submissio	n Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Reg Shropshire I (Please tick		e Regulation	19: Pre-	Submission Draft of the
22. To which p	art of the document d	oes this re	present	tation relate?
Paragraph:	Policy: 16.15	Sil Site		Policies Map:
Q3. Do you con Shropshire Loc	sider the Regulation 1 al Plan is:	9: Pre-Sul	bmissio	n Draft of the
A. Legally comp	liant	Yes:		No: 🗹
B. Sound		Yes:		No: 🗹
C. Compliant wi	th the Duty to Co-operate	Yes:		No: 🔽

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

There is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". I do not believe Shropshire Council have met this requirement considering the have not taken into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say and have not stopped this process due to Covid19.

The consultation has not taken into account the restrictions on public involvement because of Covid19 restrictions and it is therefore against government guidelines. One of the stated consultations means for people without internet access is through public libraries. Much Wenlock library is now closed, as are all libraries throughout Shropshire, resulting in those without internet access not being able to participate in the consultation process. The demographics of Shropshire on the 2011 census states that 24% of the population in the county is over 65 and are more likely to rely on libraries for internet access. As you can see, the consultation process has not been sound as these demographics have had no access to the resources they need to respond.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

## Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I ask the Independent inspector to review Shropshire Councils consultation process during Covid19 and the obvious impacts that has had on preventing people from replying.

There is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". I do not believe Shropshire Council have met this requirement considering the have not taken into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say and have not stopped this process due to Covid19.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

#### Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

V

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

## Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Due to BARRANDOS AND CORONOVINOS, It has been expected that there
It has been expected that there
won't be many responses as
people cannot access the facilities
to make their response.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:		Date:	312/21
	Office Use Only	Part A Reference	ce:
		Part B Reference	ce:



#### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

#### Part B: Representation Name and Organisation: Shannon Cox. Q1. To which document does this representation relate? Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box) Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate? Policy: 16 **Policies** Paragraph: Site: Map: Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is: A. Legally compliant Yes: No: B. Sound Yes: No: C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes: (Please tick as appropriate). Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments. There is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". Therefore the inspector must investigate the fact that building more houses than the required amount in Shropshire is incompatible with the government's legally binding commitments to tackle the climate emergency. The additional proposed number of houses breaches the government's legally binding commitment to hit net zero carbon by 2050.

It is important that decisions of local authorities that have significant ramifications for the environment for years to come be taken in a free and fair manner, not dictated by central

To confirm, there is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". Therefore the inspector must investigate the fact that building more houses than the required amount in Shropshire is incompatible with the government's legally binding

government as appears to have happened here.

commitments to tackle the climate emergency.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19; Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The inspector	must investigate the fact that building more houses than the required amount in ncompatible with the government's legally binding commitments to tackle the ency.
	(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
supporting info	n your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and rmation necessary to support your representation and your suggested  You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make
	ne, further submissions may only the made if invited by the Inspector, matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.
Submission I	epresentation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre- Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to a examination hearing session(s)?
	t while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.
No, I de	o not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
Yes, I v	vish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please	tick one box)
	ish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why this to be necessary:
with n	note road traffic and less leld sites, our climate emergency my get worse.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:		Date:	3/2/21
	Office Use Only	Part A Reference:	
		Part B Reference:	