Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation	
Name and Organisation:	an Cox
Q1. To which document does this r	epresentation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draf	t of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regul	ation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations Assessment of Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the document	does this representation relate?
Paragraph: Policy: 16.1	Site: Policies Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation Shropshire Local Plan is:	19: Pre-Submission Draft of the
A. Legally compliant	Yes: ☑ No: □
B. Sound	Yes: No: 🗹
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-opera (Please tick as appropriate).	te Yes: No: 🔽
Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to co-	consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission is not legally compliant or is unsound or operate. Please be as precise as possible. or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft
	e with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to
Hub so ensuring that no significant housing life span of this Local Plan. There is very strong evidence that the ma- large scale development of the village. In	n Countryside and not be designated a Community ng development will be allowed to take place for the ajority of residents in Cressage do not want any more 2019, nearly 300 residents from Cressage ot to be classed as a Community hub. In 2020, over ouses responded asking to remain Open
	undertaken so that the community can understand Until this is done I am not sure how Shropshire

Council Planning Department can understand what is right for our village.

I am concerned that the Parish Council are already taking as read that this Community Hub is a given – they should not be taking this view. This has led to confusion and uncertainty for many residents. The Parish Council has misrepresented the Village views throughout. It feels throughout this whole process that the people of Cressage have not been listened to I would ask that the Inspector at the Public Inquiry specifically looks into this issue.

I ask the Inspector to evaluate how and if, Shropshire council evaluated and processed the previous consultation resonses. Local reports told of staff shortages within Shropshire Council due to the Covid-19 outbreak and many believe this consultation process was unable to happen thoroughly or fairly. How can nearly 300 responses to previous rounds of consultation be ignored? Why was no feedback or acknowledgement given?

Shropshire Council will almost certainly face a legal challenge over its new local plan if it does not take into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say. Having not stopped this process due to Covid19 and the number of cases still to be heard in the courts, this case may not come up for a few months with the outcome being that the local authority must re-run the entire regulation 19 process again.

There are four 'Gunning principles' for public consultations, including the stipulation that "there is adequate time for consideration and response". The consultation has not taken into account the restrictions on public involvement because of Covid19 restrictions and it is therefore against government guidelines. One of the stated consultations means for people without internet access is through public libraries. Much Wenlock library is now closed, as are all libraries throughout Shropshire, resulting in those without internet access not being able to participate in the consultation process. The demographics of Shropshire on the 2011 census states that 24 per cent of the population (76,030 persons) in the county is over 65 and they are more likely to rely on libraries for internet access and are more likely to respond to consultations. As you can see, the consultation process has not been sound as these demographics have had no access to the resources they need to respond.

The way in which Cressage has been selected for Community Hub status is incorrect. I would make the following arguements. The proposed Hub status is based on a points system and there are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cressage.

Cressage Parish Council (PC) along with Councillor Clare Wild held a public meeting on January 8th 2019. This was attended by over 80 people who all felt strongly against the classification of Cressage becoming a Hub. As well as this, nearly 300 Cressage residents responded to Shropshire Council opposing becoming a Community hub.

The scoring system that determined Cressage as a hub is incorrect, unfair and unjust. Cressage receives the same points for a mobile library as a fixed one, we also get 3 points for a "Place of Worship" which is closed.

Cressage is awarded 3 points for having a Chemist/Pharmacy yet we only have a Dispensing Surgery.

All villages designated as Hubs have been awarded the same points for having a "Public Transport Link" as well as having a "Peak Time Regular Service". However there is a complete lack of differentiation between Cressage and major towns like Shrewsbury.

I request that the Inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects these facilities to determine if this points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which I assume will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village over this period of time.

The CPRE (the countryside charity) also agree the local plan is unsound because The Draft Plan's targets are too high and its plans for growth are not sustainable, particularly in view of the declared climate emergency. The charity also agrees the process is undemocratic and it still won't get enough affordable housing built.

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the you are ide Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at O4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put

forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. I ask that the Independent inspector looks into the points scoring system and the scores Cressage received to be designated a Community Hub. There are obvious irregularities and errors which need urgently addressing. I ask that the Independent inspector looks at the previous responses to the consultation from Cressage residents. There were many hundreds of objections and it feels these have not been evaluated or assessed fairly by Shropshire Council. I ask the inspector to evaluate the consultation process. I ask that the Independent inspector reviews the Countryside Charitys objections and responses and considers them in depth. I ask the Independent inspector to review Shropshire Councils consultation process during Covid19 and the obvious impacts that has had on preventing people from replying. (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination. Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box) Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Office Use Only

Date:

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Signature:

Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation: SOM	28	
Q1. To which document does this repres	sentation relate	7
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of th	e Shropshire Local	Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation Local Plan	19: Pre-Submissio	n Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Reservoir Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	egulation 19: Pre-S	Submission Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the document does	this represent	ation relate?
10.154		Policies
Paragraph: Policy: 16.25 ST	Site:	Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19:		Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19:		Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Shropshire Local Plan is:	Pre-Submission	Map:

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

There is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". Therefore the inspector must investigate the responses of nearly 300 residents from Cressage opposing becoming a Community hub in the previous round of consultation and why feedback was not given and changes were not made considering the strong response from the community about the way in which Cressage has been selected for Community Hub status being incorrect. There are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cressage and it is clear the scoring system that determined Cressage as a hub is incorrect, unfair and unjust.

I request that the Inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects the village facilities to determine if the points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which will last for at least 20 years.

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission

Draft of the Shropshire Loca forward your suggested revi					
I ask that Shropshire Cou Cressage becoming a Con a formal request for inform	nmunity	hub status compa	ared to	those who oppos	sed it. I ask this as
I request that the Inspect scoring assessment by Sh of points is required to be status which will last for a developments will occur w	ropshire made I it least I	e Council is approp I believe that Cress 20 years and will r	oriate. S sage wo nean th	should it be foun ould remain as C at no further lar	d that a deduction pen Countryside ge scale housing
					·
			•	•	
		(Pleas	e contii	nue on a separat	 te sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your reprosupporting information neomodification(s). You shoul submissions.	essary t d not as	to support your re ssume that you wil	present Il have d	ation and your s a further opporto •	uggested unity to make
After this stage, further based on the matters an	submis d issue	ssions may only es he or she iden	ge mad tifies f	de if invited by or examination	the Inspector,
Q6. If your represents Submission Draft of the participate in examina Please note that while this session(s), you may be ask No, I do not wish to	ne Shro ntion h will pro ked at a	opshire Local P learing session vide an initial indic later point to con	lan, de (s)? cation of firm you	o you conside f your wish to pa ur request to pai	rit necessary to articipate in hearing
Yes, I wish to parti	cipate i	n hearing session(s)		
(Please tick one bo	x) .				·
Q7. If you wish to par you consider this to be			g sess	ion(s), pleas	e outline why
		(Please	continu	ue on a separate	sheet if necessary)
Please note: The Inspector those who have indicated to confirm your wish to part examination.	hat the	y wish to participa	te in he	aring session(s)	. You may be asked
Signature:			-	Date:	3.2.21
		Office Lise Onl	,	Part A Referen	ce:

Part B Reference:

Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Na	ame and Organisation:	Sear	C	8				
21.	To which document	does this rep	presentatio	n relate	97			
7	Regulation 19: Pre-Su	bmission Draft o	of the Shrops	hire Local	l Plan			
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
	Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	Assessment of th	ne Regulation	19: Pre-	Submission	Draft of the		
22.	To which part of the	e document d	loes this re	present	ation rel	ate?		
arag	graph:	Policy: 16.15	Site:		Pe	olicies Map:		
	Do you consider the opshire Local Plan is	CHICAGO LAGARD TAKENTAN	19: Pre-Su	bmissio	n Draft o	f the		
A.	Legally compliant		Yes:		No:	\square		
B. Sound		Yes:		No:				
C.	Compliant with the Dut	y to Co-operate	Yes:		No:	\square		

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

There is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". I do not believe Shropshire Council have met this requirement considering the have not taken into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say and have not stopped this process due to Covid19.

The consultation has not taken into account the restrictions on public involvement because of Covid19 restrictions and it is therefore against government guidelines. One of the stated consultations means for people without internet access is through public libraries. Much Wenlock library is now closed, as are all libraries throughout Shropshire, resulting in those without internet access not being able to participate in the consultation process. The demographics of Shropshire on the 2011 census states that 24% of the population in the county is over 65 and are more likely to rely on libraries for internet access. As you can see, the consultation process has not been sound as these demographics have had no access to the resources they need to respond.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at

Draft of the	Shropshire Local Plan	why each modification will legally compliant or sound ording of any policy or text	It will be helpful if you are	e able to put
I ask the In	dependent inspector to	o review Shropshire Councillated on preventing people is	ils consultation process du	The second second second
do not beli	eve Shropshire Counci	ake the results of a consultable in have met this requirement is have impacted people's a second sec	t considering the have not	taken into
		(0)		
supporting	information necessary n(s). You should not	(Please con ation you should provide s y to support your represe assume that you will have	ntation and your suggeste	and ed
		nissions may only be mues he or she identifies		spector,
Submission participat Please note	on Draft of the Sh te in examination that while this will pi	is seeking a modification, hearing session(s)? rovide an initial indication a later point to confirm y	do you consider it ne of your wish to participat	cessary to
No,	I do not wish to part	icipate in hearing session	(s)	
	, I wish to participate ase tick one box)	e in hearing session(s)		
	wish to participa der this to be nec	ate in the hearing sec cessary:	ssion(s), please outli	ne why
			*	
those who I	have indicated that the your wish to participa	(Please conti determine the most appr ney wish to participate in i te when the Inspector ha	hearing session(s). You m	ot to hear hay be asked
Signature:			Date: 3.2	.24
		Office Use Only	Part A Reference:	

Part B Reference: