## Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



## Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

F	art B: Represer	ntation							
	Name and Organisation:	FRANCESCA	15	BILLINGTO	N				
0	1. To which document			the state of the s		E 1000 Michigan Landon			
	Q1. To which document does this representation relate?  Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shranshing Land Bl								
L	The Salar Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan								
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan								
	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)								
Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?									
		Policy: 16:15 to	Site		1	olicies Map:			
Q	3. Do you consider the	Regulation 19: Pr	re-Sul	bmission Dr	aft o		JESSE PERSONS		
of a contract of	ropsilire Local Plan IS:								
•	A. Legally compliant		Yes:	$   \overline{\mathbf{A}} $	No:				
1	3. Sound		Yes:		No:				
	C. Compliant with the Duty (Please tick as appropriate)		Yes:		No:	$   \overline{\mathbf{A}} $			
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.									
I believe Cressage should remain as Open Countryside and not be designated a Community Hub so ensuring that no significant housing development will be allowed to take place for the life span of this Local Plan.									
There is very strong evidence that the majority of residents in Cressage do not want any more large scale development of the village. In 2019, nearly 300 residents from Cressage responded to Shropshire Council asking not to be classed as a Community hub. In 2020, over 250 residents from Cressage and Cross Houses responded asking to remain Open Countryside.									
A full scale needs assessment should be undertaken so that the community can understand exactly what it is that the village wants. Until this is done I am not sure how Shropshire Council Planning Department can understand what is right for our village.									

I am concerned that the Parish Council are already of the impression that cressage being turned into a Community Hub is a "done deal" – they should NOT be taking this view. This has led to confusion and uncertainty for many residents. The Parish Council has misrepresented the Village views throughout. The people of Cressage have on several occasions told parish councillors that they do NOT want hub status but despite this they have pressed ahead and have told Shropshire council that the village is "all for" the idea of hub status. This in my view is not portraying the democratic view of the villagers!

It feels throughout this whole process that the people of Cressage have been ignored and their actual views have not been listened to and passed on to the relevant parties concerned. I would ask that the Inspector at the Public Inquiry specifically looks into this issue.

I ask the Inspector to evaluate how and if, Shropshire council evaluated and processed the previous consultation resonses. Local reports told of staff shortages within Shropshire Council due to the Covid-19 outbreak and many believe this consultation process was unable to happen thoroughly or fairly. How can nearly 300 responses to previous rounds of consultation be ignored? Why was no feedback or acknowledgement given?

Shropshire Council will almost certainly face a legal challenge over its new local plan if it does not take into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say. Having not stopped this process due to Covid19 and the number of cases still to be heard in the courts, this case may not come up for a few months with the outcome being that the local authority must re-run the entire regulation 19 process again.

There are four 'Gunning principles' for public consultations, including the stipulation that "there is adequate time for consideration and response". The consultation has not taken into account the restrictions on public involvement because of Covid19 restrictions and it is therefore against government guidelines. One of the stated consultations means for people without internet access is through public libraries. Much Wenlock library is now closed, as are all libraries throughout Shropshire, resulting in those without internet access not being able to participate in the consultation process. The demographics of Shropshire on the 2011 census states that 24 per cent of the population (76,030 persons) in the county is over 65 and they are more likely to rely on libraries for internet access and are more likely to respond to consultations. As you can see, the consultation process has not been sound as these demographics have had no access to the resources they need to respond.

The way in which Cressage has been selected for Community Hub status is incorrect. I would make the following arguments. The proposed Hub status is based on a points system and there are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cressage.

Cressage Parish Council (PC) along with Councillor Clare Wild held a public meeting on January 8th 2019. This was attended by over 80 people who all felt strongly against the classification of Cressage becoming a Hub. As well as this, nearly 300 Cressage residents responded to Shropshire Council opposing becoming a Community hub.

The scoring system that determined Cressage as a hub is incorrect, unfair and unjust. Cressage receives the same points for a mobile library as a fixed one, we also get 3 points for a "Place of Worship" which is closed.

Cressage is awarded 3 points for having a Chemist/Pharmacy yet we only have a Dispensing Surgery.

All villages designated as Hubs have been awarded the same points for having a "Public Transport Link" as well as having a "Peak Time Regular Service". However there is a complete lack of differentiation between Cressage and major towns like Shrewsbury.

I request that the Inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects these facilities to determine if this points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which I assume will last for at least 20 years and

Office Hee Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

will mean that no further large so village over this period of time.	cale housing developn	nents will occur within or around the						
The CPRE (the countryside charity) also agree the local plan is unsound because The Draft Plan's targets are too high and its plans for growth are not sustainable, particularly in view of the declared climate emergency. The charity also agrees the process is undemocratic and it still won't get enough affordable housing built.								
		ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary)						
Regulation 19: Pre-Submiss	ion Draft of the SI pect of any legal of	nsider necessary to make the propshire Local Plan legally compliance or soundness matters						
Please note that non-compliance wit examination. You will need to say w Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan le	th the duty to co-opera thy each modification w gally compliant or sour	te is incapable of modification at ill make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission nd. It will be helpful if you are able to put xt. Please be as precise as possible.						
I ask that the Independent inspe	ctor looks into the poi ed a Community Hub.	nts scoring system and the scores There are obvious irregularities and						
I ask that the Independent inspector looks at the previous responses to the consultation from Cressage residents. There were many hundreds of objections and we feel these have not been evaluated or assessed fairly by Shropshire Council. I ask the inspector to evaluate the consultation process.								
I ask that the Independent inspective responses and considers them in	ctor reviews the Count depth.	ryside Charitys objections and						
I ask the Independent inspector to review Shropshire Councils consultation process during Covid19 and the obvious impacts that has had on preventing people from replying.								
		ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary)						
Please note: In your representation processary to the supporting information necessary to the supportion of the support of the	to support your repres	sentation and your suggested						
After this stage, further submis based on the matters and issue	ssions may only be i es he or she identifie	made if invited by the Inspector, es for examination.						
Q6. If your representation is Submission Draft of the Shro participate in examination h	opshire Local Plan	cation to the Regulation 19: Pre-						
	vide an initial indicatio	n of your wish to participate in hearing						
No, I do not wish to partici	pate in hearing sessio	n(s)						
Yes, I wish to participate in	n hearing session(s)							
(Please tick one box)								
27. If you wish to participate our consider this to be necessary	e in the hearing se ssary:	ession(s), please outline why						
		Part A Reference:						
	Office Use Only	Part B Reference:						

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)  Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.								
Signature:		Date: 02/02/2021						

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference: