

# Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan

## Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

### Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Lawson Bant
------------------------	-------------

#### Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
  - Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
  - Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- (Please tick one box)

#### Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph h:  Policy:  Site:  Policies Map:

#### Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- |  |                               |   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| A. Legally compliant                     | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Sound                                 | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

(Please tick as appropriate).

#### Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

As a resident within the community, I oppose the development on the basis that Cressage is a village that is on the limit of the current infrastructure in place. Our local Doctors' surgery is at capacity and residents are already facing increased wait times – even without the coronavirus affecting this. The local primary school is now at capacity and there are no vacancies for young children, the school also covers other surrounding areas which will lose out if the developments go ahead.

From the previous consultation, it is clear that there had been errors made within the scoring system and have since been pointed out.

Around 300 residents opposed the community hub status last time, which was ignored by Shropshire Council.

I fail to agree that we should become a community hub due to the facts. Please reject the oversized housing plans and let us remain as "Open Countryside", and respect the views of



the local residents.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.**

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We ask that the Independent inspector looks at our infrastructure and our facilities, and realises that we, as a community, cannot cope with large developments; or withtake anymore houses or people.

The Independent inspector should look at the previous responses to the consultation from Cressage residents, as we were ignored. There were many hundreds of objections and these have not been evaluated or assessed fairly by Shropshire Council. I ask the inspector to evaluate the consultation process.

We ask that the Independent inspector reviews the Countryside Charities objections and responses, and considers them in depth.

We ask the Independent inspector to review Shropshire Councils consultation process during Covid-19 and the obvious impacts that has had on preventing people from replying.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

**After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.**

**Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

**Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Signature:

Date:

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference: