Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Repr	esentation		
Name and Organis	sation:		
Q1. To which doc	ument does this repre	sentation relate	?
Regulation 19:	Pre-Submission Draft of th	ne Shropshire Local	Plan
Sustainability /	Appraisal of the Regulation	19: Pre-Submission	Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regul Shropshire Loc (Please tick or		egulation 19: Pre-S	Submission Draft of the
Q2. To which part	t of the document does	s this represent	ation relate?
Paragraph:	Policy: S13	Site:	Policies Map:
Q3. Do you consid Shropshire Local	der the Regulation 19: Plan is:	Pre-Submission	Draft of the
A. Legally complian	nt	Yes: 🔽	No:
B. Sound		Yes:	No: 🔽
C. Compliant with	the Duty to Co-operate	Yes:	No: 🗹
(Please tick as app	propriate).		
Draft of the Shrop	etails of why you cons pshire Local Plan is no ith the duty to co-oper	t legally complia	
If you wish to support	the legal compliance or sould Plan or its compliance with	ndness of the Regula	tion 19: Pre-Submission Draft ate, please also use this box t
Hub so ensuring that life span of this Local There is very strong large scale developments of the span of the scale development of the scale devel	al Plan. evidence that the majority nent of the village. In 2019	velopment will be all of residents in Cre i, nearly 300 reside be classed as a Con	lowed to take place for the ssage do not want any more of the from Cressage of the following the fol
A full scale needs as	sessment should be under	taken so that the co	mmunity can understand

exactly what it is that the village wants. Until this is done I am not sure how Shropshire

Council Planning Department can understand what is right for our village.

I am concerned that the Parish Council are already taking as read that this Community Hub is a given – they should not be taking this view. This has led to confusion and uncertainty for many residents. The Parish Council has misrepresented the Village views throughout. It feels throughout this whole process that the people of Cressage have not been listened to I would ask that the Inspector at the Public Inquiry specifically looks into this issue.

I ask the Inspector to evaluate how and if, Shropshire council evaluated and processed the previous consultation resonses. Local reports told of staff shortages within Shropshire Council due to the Covid-19 outbreak and many believe this consultation process was unable to happen thoroughly or fairly. How can nearly 300 responses to previous rounds of consultation be ignored? Why was no feedback or acknowledgement given?

Shropshire Council will almost certainly face a legal challenge over its new local plan if it does not take into account how Covid 19 restrictions have impacted people's ability to have their say. Having not stopped this process due to Covid19 and the number of cases still to be heard in the courts, this case may not come up for a few months with the outcome being that the local authority must re-run the entire regulation 19 process again.

There are four 'Gunning principles' for public consultations, including the stipulation that "there is adequate time for consideration and response". The consultation has not taken into account the restrictions on public involvement because of Covid19 restrictions and it is therefore against government guidelines. One of the stated consultations means for people without internet access is through public libraries. Much Wenlock library is now closed, as are all libraries throughout Shropshire, resulting in those without internet access not being able to participate in the consultation process. The demographics of Shropshire on the 2011 census states that 24 per cent of the population (76,030 persons) in the county is over 65 and they are more likely to rely on libraries for internet access and are more likely to respond to consultations. As you can see, the consultation process has not been sound as these demographics have had no access to the resources they need to respond.

The way in which Cressage has been selected for Community Hub status is incorrect. I would make the following arguements. The proposed Hub status is based on a points system and there are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cressage.

Cressage Parish Council (PC) along with Councillor Clare Wild held a public meeting on January 8th 2019. This was attended by over 80 people who all felt strongly against the classification of Cressage becoming a Hub. As well as this, nearly 300 Cressage residents responded to Shropshire Council opposing becoming a Community hub.

The scoring system that determined Cressage as a hub is incorrect, unfair and unjust. Cressage receives the same points for a mobile library as a fixed one, we also get 3 points for a "Place of Worship" which is closed.

Cressage is awarded 3 points for having a Chemist/Pharmacy yet we only have a Dispensing Surgery.

All villages designated as Hubs have been awarded the same points for having a "Public Transport Link" as well as having a "Peak Time Regular Service". However there is a complete lack of differentiation between Cressage and major towns like Shrewsbury.

I request that the Inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects these facilities to determine if this points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which I assume will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village over this period of time.

The CPRE (the countryside charity) also agree the local plan is unsound because The Draft Plan's targets are too high and its plans for growth are not sustainable, particularly in view of the declared climate emergency. The charity also agrees the process is undemocratic and it still won't get enough affordable housing built.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I ask that the Independent inspector looks into the points scoring system and the scores Cressage received to be designated a Community Hub. There are obvious irregularities and errors which need urgently addressing.

I ask that the Independent inspector looks at the previous responses to the consultation from Cressage residents. There were many hundreds of objections and it feels these have not been evaluated or assessed fairly by Shropshire Council. I ask the inspector to evaluate the consultation process.

I ask that the Independent inspector reviews the Countryside Charitys objections and responses and considers them in depth.

I ask the Independent inspector to review Shropshire Councils consultation process during Covid19 and the obvious impacts that has had on preventing people from replying.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

sessio	n(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.
	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)
	f you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why consider this to be necessary:
	(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

-				
Signature:		Date:	14/02/2021	
77-	Office Use Only	Part A Reference:		
		Part B Reference:		

Additional comments on the Shropshire Local Plan Review

- Cressage Parish Council (PC) along with Councillor Clare Wild held a public meeting on January 8th. This was attended by over 80 people who all felt strongly against the classification of us becoming a Hub. However, the PC then released a statement saying there was 'no clear view' from the people in attendance. Such disregard for resident's views is appalling and unacceptable.
 - It feels throughout this whole process that the people of Cressage have not been listened to. When issues are raised or scoring questioned, we have been accused of making 'protests' and 'ambushes, by our PC. (It was only one person who was accused of "ambushing" the PC so the word "we" cannot be used and it was a report in Village Life aimed at the editor)Such language is undesirable and does bring into question the purpose of a PC is they are not going to represent the true views of the village or even listen.
- 2. As already explained in the Questionnaire. The scoring system is unfair and unjust. Cressage receives the same points for a mobile library as Bayston Hill, also a Hub, but it has a permanent Library open for three and a half days per week. Shrewsbury with a County & Reference Library as well as permanent facilities at Sundorne and Monkmoor scores only two points more than Cressage thus highlighting the unfairness of the scoring system. If a realistic comparison is made then Cressage should be award no more than two points for this facility. Other designated Hubs are in the same or similar position as Cressage thus exposing a system of scoring which is not fit for purpose.
- 3. All villages designated as Hubs have been awarded the same points for having a "Public Transport Link" as well as having a "Peak Time Regular Service". However there is a complete lack of differentiation. Cressage has one bus per hour into Shrewsbury (not in the evenings) whilst Shrewsbury has numerous town routes as well as country busses in and out throughout the day. Public transport in Shrewsbury also includes the train with regular services to all points of the compass. It cannot in any circumstances be right to award the same number of points. We suggest that in both categories Cressage should be awarded no more than three points. At least this would be equitable.
- 4. We also get 3 points for a "Place of Worship" which only has festival services and for the rest of the year is closed, other than the 35 other days it is open to fulfil the terms of grant aid given for renovation work. This will be the case until 2023 when that obligation finishes. The Parish Council, in their argument to justify Cressage becoming a Hub, suggests that an increased population could well invigorate the Church. The Church of England in their latest published statistics (2016) on Church attendance "The Church of England's Worshipping Community was 2.0% of the population in 2016. The overall attendance in an average week in October 2016 was 1.7% of the population, rising to 4.6% of the population at Christmas" On this basis we can expect 4 or 5 new

communicants. Importantly though growth in the Christian Church is in the BAME communities and not in white middle class areas such as ours.

The inside of the Church needs urgent maintenance, the clock does not work and the local Clergy have said that with the absence of a PCC there is no chance of any remedial work being undertaken. Given that other villages classed as Hubs having a Church with a regular pattern of services also get 3 points it cannot be right that Cressage is treated the same. The most a "Place of Worship" in Cressage warrants is 1 and after 2023 that will go.

5. We are awarded 3 points for having a Chemist/Pharmacy yet we only have a Dispensing Surgery. Below in green, as defined on the NHS website, is what can be expected from a Pharmacy. Clearly Cressage does not meet this criteria and so the 3 points given for this facility should be deducted. Given the long waiting time now to see a Doctor or a Nurse it is impossible to see how the Practice would cope with an increased population.

Pharmacists are experts in medicines and use their clinical expertise, together with their practical knowledge, to advise you on minor health concerns, such as coughs, colds, aches and pains, as well as healthy eating and stopping smoking. Pharmacists can also help you decide whether you need to see another medical health professional. They can help you consider the alternatives next time you're thinking of making a GP appointment.

I would want to challenge the suspect advice that these plans are 'set-in-stone' as I have been made aware that these plans are regularly 'refreshed' every 5 years and subject to change. Residents are being sold false promises that agreeing to become a hub will prevent future development, but this is unfortunately a lie. A review could quite possibly mean additional sites.

- 6. As mentioned, it is clear that our Parish Council do not have the resources to let people know about these new housing schemes. If the PC's don't have the resources to properly publicise these massive changes to our communities then I would suggest that Shropshire Council need to step up and do some specific news casting. It is after all Shropshire Council that is pushing for these developments to take place.
- 7. The CC say there is a requirement for 10250 new houses in the period up to 2036 but seemingly takes no account (according to our County Councillor at the last PC meeting) of the proposed developments at the Buildwas Power Station site (1000+ houses) or that at the redundant Clive Barracks.