## Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).
We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation: RACNEL POWNEM

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)

## Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

| Appendix | Policy: | S3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Site: $\square$ Policies Map:

$$
3
$$

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).


Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.
The note against the proposed allocation of employment land in Bridgnorth contained in
Appendix 6 indicates that there is a Preferred Site for Recycling and Environmental Industries.
This is not detailed, but it is very worrying.
It seems unlikely that a recycling plant would be set up on a brand-new industrial estate in a
new garden village as proposed for Tasley. This suggests that it might be set up in a location
away from the main urban area - very possibly Stanmore. The sites proposed at Stanmore
would, if the Review Plan is approved, be removed from Green Belt protection. However,
they would still be surrounded by Green Belt and would be located in countryside where there
is no need for such development. It is considered that such a location would, in any event,
be an inappropriate location for recycling activities. There is already such an operation not
far from Stanmore, towards Worfield, and within the Green Belt, which is the source of envi-
ronmental problems, and an intensification of that use would not be an acceptable situation.

The calculation of employment land need for Bridgnorth in the Shropshire Employment Land Review 2019 indicates that an "additional provision to address local circumstances" of 12 ha is needed. The precise reasons for needing this additional provision, and exactly how the figure of 12 ha has been arrived at is not given.

There is no "local circumstance" that would justify such a provision. This is evidenced by the current large areas of undeveloped land (some never having been developed), and empty factory units at Stanmore Industrial Estate. If the local circumstance is so acute, these areas would have been developed/redeveloped, by now. The fact that, in the over 40 years that the Stanmore Business Park has been in operation, the existing land and buildings have never been fully developed and put to operational use, indicates that an additional 11.5 ha as the Plan proposes is not justified or evidenced, and there is no certainty that it can be delivered. The only certainty is that it will adversely affect the green belt, and act as a precedent for any similar unjustified incursions into the green belt if the future.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)


#### Abstract

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.


Sites STC002 and P58a - allocated for employment development at Stanmore - should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

## After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

| Office Use Only | Part A Reference: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Part B Reference: |

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why
you consider this to be necessary:
There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.
Signature: $\square$


Date: 21.2.21

## Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation



Q1. To which document does this representation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)

## Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph: \begin{tabular}{l}
<br>

 Policy: 

SP11

 Site: 

STC002/P58a

 

Policies <br>
Map:
\end{tabular} 3

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate
 (Please tick as appropriate).
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The sites proposed for employment development at Stanmore are located in the Green Belt and Policy SP11 sets out to protect the Green Belt. The proposed allocation would remove the land from Green Belt protection without any exceptional circumstance being demonstrated. There is no indication of which businesses might wish to locate at Stanmore, and so the proposal appears to be a device to ensure that future development proposals at Stanmore are not subject to the limitations imposed by Policy SP11, that is, that proposals have to demonstrate that 'very special circumstances' exist.

Policy SP11 says that the Green Belt will be protected in accordance with national policy. National policy is to protect green belts from 'inappropriate development'. Inappropriate development can be anything that detracts from the fundamental functions of green belts,


#### Abstract

which are to preserve their openness and to ensure their permanence. The National Planning Policy Framework says, at para 145, that local planning authorities should regard the construction of buildings as being "inappropriate" on a green belt, unless they are for certain, specified, uses - none of which include new development for industrial purposes. The release of 11.5 ha of land from the Green Belt at Stanmore for use for industrial or storage purposes, and the construction industrial buildings could not fail to have an adverse effect on the Green Belt which will continue to surround the proposed allocated sites.

The proposed release of land from the green belt has not been justified, and the need for the land to be made available for employment development has not been evidenced. It is likely that, if approved, this allocation would be used for further unjustified incursions into the green belt in the future.


(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector,
based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.
Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.
$\square$ No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing sessions), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Parish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing sessions). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.
Signature: $[$


Date:


## Shropshire Council:

Shropshire Local Plan

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation

$$
\text { Name and Organisation: } \quad \text { RACMEL PowNEY }
$$

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)

## Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph: $\square$ Policy: \begin{tabular}{l}
SP1 <br>
Site: <br>
STC002/P58a

 

Policies <br>
Map:
\end{tabular} 3

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).


Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The aims of this policy are not supported by, or conflict with, the aims of other policies in the Plan Review. In particular and in relation to the proposed industrial allocations at Stanmore, because such development would not "contribute to meeting local needs" or to "making settlements more sustainable" as Policy SP1 requires. The settlement at Stanmore is very small and does not require 11.5ha. of employment development to meet its needs or to become more sustainable.

The Policy is not based on sound evidence and is not justified as being a sound basis for the development of more detailed policies in the Plan.

# Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identifjed at Q4 above. <br> Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. 

Sites STC002 and P58a - allocated for employment development at Stammore - should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.


> No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outine why
you consider this to be necessary:
There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.
Signature: $\square$


Office Use Only

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation



## Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)
Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?
Paragraph: $\square$ Policy: $\square$ SP10 Site: $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { STC002/P58a }\end{array} \begin{array}{r}\text { Policies } \\ \text { Map: }\end{array}\right\}$

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).


Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The sites proposed for employment development at Stanmore is situated in open countryside, and are accessed through a country park. The Council, and the local residents in the Stanmore area, have gone to great lengths in the past to preserve this situation.

Policy SP10 of the Local Plan Review proposes to restrict economic development in rural areas in line with national guidance. Policy SP10 says that development proposals in the countryside will be allowed where they mointain or enhance countryside vitality and character. There is no guarantee that the proposed allocations will produce that effect, so the proposed allocations are an attempt to side-step such limitations for future developers. There is no reason why such allocations should be made, the countryside should continue to be protected.

The reasons why this area of countryside should not be protected as the majority of the rest of the rural area of the Councy are, have not been justified and the need for the proposed allocation has not been properly evidenced.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.
Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
Sites STC002 and P58a - allocated for employment development at Stanmore - should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
$\sqrt{\boldsymbol{V}}$ Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

| Office Use Only | Part A Reference: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Part B Reference: |


#### Abstract

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Parish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.


(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing sessions). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.


Date:
21
21.2121

## Shropshire Council: <br> Shropshire Local Plan <br> Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).

We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation

Name and organisation: RACUEC PowNEY

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)
Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph: $\square$ Policy: \begin{tabular}{l}
<br>
S3.1

 Site: 

STC002/P58a

 

Policies <br>
Map:
\end{tabular} 3

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).


Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The proposed employment allocations at Stanmore are presented in the Local Plan Review as being necessary to serve the needs of Bridgnorth, and the Stanmore area is presented as being part of Bridgnorth town. This is not the case. The Stanmore area is physically separated from Bridgnorth by a steep wooded escarpment. It is located in open countryside and does not form a natural extension to Bridgnorth.

The Local Plan Review Policy 53.1 indicates that the Bridgnorth area will deliver 1,800 houses and 49 ha of employment land in Bridgnorth. The Strategic Land Supply 2016-2038 set out in Appendix 6: Employment Development Guidelines and Employment Land Supply, however, indicates that a total of 40.5 ha of land is to be allocated in the Place Plan Area, with only 37.7 ha to be provided in Bridgnorth. Clearly there is a discrepancy in the figures. The Local Plan does not explain why it is necessary to allocate 49 ha of employment land in Bridgnorth.

The discrepancy appears to be around the amount of development proposed for employment uses at Stanmore. It does not appear that the figures support this allocation.

Policy S3.1 is not properly justified or evidenced, and has not been prepared on the basis of positive and robust predictions of future requirements and take-ups of employment or employment land.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.
Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
Sites STC002 and P58a - allocated for employment development at Stanmore - should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified.
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

## Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

| Office Use Only | Part A Reference: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Part B Reference: |


#### Abstract

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Parish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.


(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing sessions). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.
Signature: $\square$


Date:
21.2. $2 \phi$

