

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Pa	rt B: Represei	ntation					
Na	ame and Organisation:	Sir William Cash M	P				
Q1.	To which documen	t does this repres	entatio	n relate?			
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Regulation 19: Pre-Su	bmission Draft of the	Shropsh	ire Local Plan	1		
	Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	al of the Regulation 19	: Pre-Su	ubmission Dra	aft of t	he Shrops	shire
	Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	_	ulation 1	19: Pre-Subm	nission	Draft of t	he
Q2.	To which part of th	e document does	this re	presentati	on re	late?	
Para	graph:	Policy: S3	Site:	BRD030	Po	olicies Map:	
_	Do you consider the opshire Local Plan	_	Pre-Sul	omission D	raft o	of the	
Α.	Legally compliant		Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound		Yes:		No:	\checkmark	
	Compliant with the Dut		Yes:		No:		
Dra fail If you of th	Please give details of the Shropshire is to comply with the but wish to support the legal of the Shropshire Local Plan cout your comments.	e Local Plan is not e duty to co-opera al compliance or sound	legally te. Ple Iness of t	compliant ase be as p the Regulation	or is precis	unsoun se as pos Pre-Submis	d or sible. sion Draft
(SCI) propo of the optio A sur Regur Cour view A stee June public	acknowledged that community, has taken place to a limited posals for Bridgnorth, at Tasley at Tasley Garden Village proper for the public to examine the abstantial number of objection lation 18 consultation included and the surrounding Parists and no public explanation has beering group consisting of met 2019 to consider the issues as shed in May 2020, around the does not seem to have been contact.	degree. However, it should and Stanmore, has taken posal was limited to online on the plans in person or discussions to the Bridgnorth Placiting objections from the earth Councils. Despite this, it is been given as to why the embers of Bridgnorth Town facing Bridgnorth and to do the same time that the Tasle	be noted to blace through them at extended them at extend	that consultation ugh different me on only due to the chibitions and me ere made to Sharopshire Counci- opear that no ac- ressed have been not surrounding I blan for the settl Village proposa	on the techanism are Covidentings. ropshire follows for count had a ignored Parish Clement.	two main derns. The late of 19 pandem e Council the read, as been taked. Councils was Their draft r	velopment emergence ic with no rough the the Town n of these formed in report was

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

тогwara yo	ur suggested revised woi	raing of any policy or te	xt. Please be as pre	cise as possible.
	an explanation as to why the surrounding Parish Councils		shire Councillors for the	area, the Town Council
2. Delay a	ny decision on the Legal Coress have been resolved and an	npliance and Soundness of		
		(Dlance o	antinua an a canara	ata abaat if magagaan
Diazea na	ite: In your representat	·	•	ate sheet if necessary
supporting	information necessary on(s). You should not a	to support your repres	sentation and your	suggested
	stage, further submi the matters and issu			
Submiss	our representation i ion Draft of the Shr ote in examination b	opshire Local Plan	, do you consid	_
Please not	e that while this will pro you may be asked at a	ovide an initial indication	on of your wish to p	
✓ No,	I do not wish to partici	pate in hearing session	n(s)	
Yes	, I wish to participate ir	n hearing session(s)		
(Pl	ease tick one box)			
_	u wish to participa		ession(s), pleas	e outline why
you cons	sider this to be nece	essary:		
Dlease not	e: The Inspector will de	•	•	sheet if necessary)
those who l	have indicated that they your wish to participate	vwish to participate in	hearing session(s)	. You may be asked
Signature:	Sir William Cash MP		Date:	24/02/2021
			Part A Reference	`e'
		Office Use Only	P . D D .	

Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represei	ntation		
Name and Organisation:	Sir William Cash MP		
Q1. To which documen	t does this representation	n relate?	
Regulation 19: Pre-Su	bmission Draft of the Shropshir	re Local Plan	
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	al of the Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	bmission Draft of th	ne Shropshire
Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	Assessment of the Regulation 19	9: Pre-Submission	Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the	e document does this rep	presentation rel	ate?
Paragraph:	Policy: SP2 & S3 Site:	BRDOKO I	licies Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan	e Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	mission Draft o	f the
A. Legally compliant	Yes:	No:	
B. Sound	Yes:	No:	$\overline{\checkmark}$
C. Compliant with the Dut	y to Co-operate Yes:	No:	
(Please tick as appropria	te).		
Draft of the Shropshire fails to comply with the If you wish to support the leg	of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally of eduty to co-operate. Plead and compliance or soundness of the crits compliance with the duty to	compliant or is ase be as precis he Regulation 19: Pr	unsound or e as possible. re-Submission Draft
"S3.1 Development Strategy: Bri1. Bridgnorth will fulfil its role as tives in the east of the County ment land to create choice and	on Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan standard Principal Centre is the second largest Principal Centre are, delivering around 1,800 dwellings an competition in the market. New housi rounding hinterland, including attraction	nd contribute towards st nd making available are ing and employment wi	trategic growth objection 49ha of employ- ill make provision for
4. New residential development wations;"	vill also be delivered through the saved	l SAM Dev mixed use a	and residential alloca-
	area south of the A458 for a development of 200 - 2038. This has been designated as		

The Local Plan has also earmarked an additional area west of the proposed TGV, towards Morville, for future

development after 2038. TW state in their 'Development Statement, that up to 700 dwellings could be built on this land

In addition, as part of the Shropshire Council's Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan, which was adopted in 2015, it was agreed that **500 dwellings** would be built in Tasley north of the A 458 in the area of the Livestock Market and northwards. This development has not yet started.

Therefore, in total, the Local Plan is recommending that 2,250 new dwellings be built in the Tasley area.

As of 2020, the population of Bridgnorth is approximately 13,000. If it is assumed that there will be only two residents per new dwelling, (which is probably a very conservative figure), it will increase the population of Bridgnorth by **4,500 people** which represents an increase of **35%**.

On what basis has Shropshire Council arrived at the larger figure?

Whilst it is accepted that Bridgnorth and surrounding areas require more dwellings to cater for the likely future growth of the town, on what basis has Shropshire Council decided that such numbers '.. will make provision for **the needs of the town** and surrounding hinterland...'?

As a **Local Housing Needs Assessment** has not been carried out to establish what the needs of Bridgnorth are, where is the evidence that 2,250 dwellings and an increase in population of a minimum of 35% meet the needs of the town?

What evidence is there that the town can support an increase of 35% to its population?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1.	Commission a Local Housing Needs Assessment for Bridgnorth to establish the actual needs of the town and sur-
	rounding areas. This assessment should be reviewed every five years.

7			1	• 1	1	41 .	· T	. 1					•	•	٠,		1		c ,	1 .	2 50	
2	Z. P	rovi	ne .	evic	ience	tha	t Bi	r1d	gnorti	ı can	sustain	an	increase	ın	1 t S 1	nonu	latic	n o	r at	least	337	'n.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office use Offiy	Part B Reference:

	note that while this will provide an initial indication of the confirm you may be asked at a later point to confirm you		
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
\Box	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
	(Please tick one box)		
Q7. I	you wish to participate in the hearing ses	sion(s), pleas	e outline why
	onsider this to be necessary:		
	(0)		1 116
those w	note: The Inspector will determine the most approp ho have indicated that they wish to participate in he rm your wish to participate when the Inspector has i	riate procedure a aring session(s).	You may be asked
Signatu	re: Sir William Cash MP	Date:	24/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Shropshire Council Local Plan: Statement of Representations

Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Representation Form Part B (1):

Q2. Policy: S3

Q3. B. Sound No

Q4. Details:

It is acknowledged that community involvement, as set out in the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI), has taken place to a limited degree. However, it should be noted that consultation on the two main development proposals for Bridgnorth, at Tasley and Stanmore, has taken place through different mechanisms. The late emergence of the Tasley Garden Village proposal was limited to online consultation only due to the Covid 19 pandemic with no option for the public to examine the plans in person or discuss them at exhibitions and meetings.

A substantial number of objections to the Bridgnorth Place Plan were made to Shropshire Council through the Regulation 18 consultation including objections from the elected Shropshire Councillors for the area, the Town Council and the surrounding Parish Councils. Despite this, it would appear that no account has been taken of these views and no public explanation has been given as to why the views expressed have been ignored.

A steering group consisting of members of Bridgnorth Town Council and surrounding Parish Councils was formed in June 2019 to consider the issues facing Bridgnorth and to develop a plan for the settlement. Their draft report was published in May 2020, around the same time that the Tasley Garden Village proposal came to light. However this plan does not seem to have been considered by Shropshire Council at all.

Q5. Actions:

- 1. Provide an explanation as to why the views of the elected Shropshire Councillors for the area, the Town Council and the surrounding Parish Councils have been ignored.
- 2. Delay any decision on the Legal Compliance and Soundness of the Local Plan until the matters discussed under Soundness have been resolved and any alternative options have been considered in detail.

Representation Form Part B (2):

Q2. Policy: SP2 and S3

Q3. B. Sound No

Q4. Details:

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states the following at Section S3.1:

"S3.1 Development Strategy: Bridgnorth Principal Centre

- 1. Bridgnorth will fulfil its role as the second largest Principal Centre and contribute towards strategic growth objectives in the east of the County, delivering around 1,800 dwellings and making available around 49ha of employment land to create choice and competition in the market. New housing and employment will make provision for the needs of the town and surrounding hinterland, including attracting inward investment and allowing existing businesses to expand.
- 4. New residential development will also be delivered through the saved SAM Dev mixed use and residential allocations; ..."

Comments:

Dwellings:

The Local Plan has identified an area south of the A458 for a development of **1,050 dwelling**s to be built by Taylor Wimpey (TW) during the period 2020 - 2038. This has been designated as Tasley Garden Village (TGV).

The Local Plan has also earmarked an additional area west of the proposed TGV, towards Morville, for future development after 2038. TW state in their 'Development Statement, that up to **700 dwellings** could be built on this land.

In addition, as part of the Shropshire Council's Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan, which was adopted in 2015, it was agreed that **500 dwellings** would be built in Tasley north of the A 458 in the area of the Livestock Market and northwards. This development has not yet started.

Therefore, in total, the Local Plan is recommending that 2,250 new dwellings be built in the Tasley area.

As of 2020, the population of Bridgnorth is approximately 13,000. If it is assumed that there will be only two residents per new dwelling, (which is probably a very conservative figure), it will increase the population of Bridgnorth by **4,500 people** which represents an increase of **35%**.

On what basis has Shropshire Council arrived at the larger figure?

Whilst it is accepted that Bridgnorth and surrounding areas require more dwellings to cater for the likely future growth of the town, on what basis has Shropshire Council decided that such numbers '.. will make provision for **the needs of the town** and surrounding hinterland...'?

As a **Local Housing Needs Assessment** has not been carried out to establish what the needs of Bridgnorth are, where is the evidence that 2,250 dwellings and an increase in population of a minimum of 35% meet the needs of the town?

What evidence is there that the town can support an increase of 35% to its population?

Q5. Actions:

- 1. Commission a Local Housing Needs Assessment for Bridgnorth to establish the actual needs of the town and surrounding areas. This assessment should be reviewed every five years.
- 2. Provide evidence that Bridgnorth can sustain an increase in its population of at least 35%.

Representation Form Part B (3):

- Q2. Policy: S3
- Q3. B. Sound No
- Q4. Details:

Schedule S3.1(1) states that Tasley Garden Village will be a "comprehensive mixed-use sustainable urban extension. Development will comply with the principles of a 'garden village'.

Principles of Garden Village Developments:

The Town and Country Planning Association (TCPA) has produced a number of principles for the development of Garden Villages based on the work of Sir Ebenezer Howard who set out guidelines and principles for the development of garden cities and whose work led to the modern planning profession and planning system. His three main principles, adopted by the TCPA, are:

- 1. Land value capture for the benefit of the community.
- 2. Strong vision, leadership and community engagement.
- 3. Community ownership of land and long-term stewardship of assets.

A report from Transport for New Homes entitled 'Garden Villages and Garden Towns: Vision and Realty', having reviewed more than 30 developments designated as 'Garden Villages' or 'Garden Towns', found that nearly all the developments produced car based housing and increased traffic generation. They also found that the majority of the developments were in the wrong location, particularly for sustainable modes of transport. The report concludes that "We need housing but we need to build in the right place and in the right way. Housing numbers and targets are not everything".

The size and location of the proposed TGV is more akin to a large suburban development on the outskirts of a market town rather than the "sustainable urban extension" stated in the Local Plan.

Q5. Actions:

- 1. In their 'Development Statement' TW mention these three principles but do not say how they and the landowners intend to meet them. Shropshire Council should insist that TW formally sign up to these principles and set out in detail how they intend to meet them?
- 2. Shropshire Council should set out how they will monitor the development and ensure that TW adhere to the three principles?

Representation Form Part B (4):

Q2. Policy: SP12 and S3

Q3. B. Sound No

Q4. Details:

Employment Land:

The Local Plan confirms that, as agreed in the SAMDev Plan 2015, **6.6 ha** (the equivalent of just over 12 full sized football pitches) of land south of the A458 has been approved for the re-location of the Livestock Market together with its existing or alternative ancillary uses. In addition, a further **6.7 ha** adjoining the area reserved for the Livestock Market has been approved for a business park for Class B uses (ie for offices, research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution).

In addition, the TGV site will include a further 16 ha of employment land also for Class B uses.

In total **29 ha of employment land** (the equivalent of just over 54 full sized football pitches) has been allocated to the Tasley area south of the A458, all of which is outside the Bridgnorth Development Boundary and is significantly larger than the current Stanmore Business Park which covers just over 17 ha in area.

As well as setting out the above for employment land the Local Plan has identified a further 11 ha of Green Belt land to allow for the extension of the current Stanmore Business Park size.

Altogether the Local Plan has earmarked a total of 40 ha for employment land in the Bridgnorth area.

Telford is approximately 15 minutes from Bridgnorth, has land available, is close to the M54, has a mainline railway station and is already an industrial hub. Bridgnorth by comparison has poor logistics with no infrastructure and no evidence of future funding being made available for improvements.

There is evidence of a lack of demand for employment land as only 10% of available land in Bridgnorth has been developed since the year 2000. On what grounds does Shropshire Council believe that there is a requirement for 40 ha for offices, research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution?

Are all the units currently available for occupation by businesses in Bridgnorth and surrounding areas occupied? What is the vacancy rate?

How has Covid19 affected or will affect current businesses?

On what grounds does Shropshire Council believe that the predicted levels of employment growth are achievable?

O5. Actions:

1. Shropshire Council should provide the following for discussion:

What areas / units are already available for occupation but vacant and how long they have been vacant? Evidence that the predicted levels of employment growth are achievable particularly in the light of Covid 19. Evidence that there is a requirement for a further 27 ha of employment land in addition to that agreed in the SAMDev Plan 2015.

2. If the predicted levels of employment growth are not achieved, how will the land and/or empty buildings be used?

Representation Form Part B (5):

Q2. Policy: DP18 and S3

Q3. B. Sound No

O4. Details:

Livestock Market:

When the SAMDev Plan for 500 dwellings in Tasley was approved, Shropshire Council insisted that the Livestock Market be re-located as it was felt that the market was incompatible with housing. Now, under the Local Plan, the proposed TGV will surround the Livestock Market on three sides.

Poultry Units:

Schedule S3.1(1) states that 'Before occupation of the first dwelling on the site, any poultry units operating on the site or land within the wider site promotion will cease operation.'

In a Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth stated at paragraph 34:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley contains the site of a current Planning Application for Poultry Units. It is understood that if the site is allocated then these Poultry Units would not be implemented."

These two statements are not compatible. Furthermore, at the Council Cabinet meeting which discussed the Regulation 18 proposals, Council officers referred to a possible legal agreement which would prevent houses being built if the poultry farm was operating. Currently no such agreement has been registered.

It is quite possible therefore that the TGV would be built in the vicinity of both the livestock market and the poultry farm.

In DP18. Pollution and Public Amenity it is stated at 4.168 that 'Developments such as intensive livestock units may need a Habitat Regulation Assessment...'

In Appendix 2 of the Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, it is stated at paragraph 35:

'The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is also close to sources of current road and commercial noise and potential future noise and odour from the relocated livestock marketother commercial uses on the existing employment allocation and potential commercial uses on the employment land proposed ... this can be appropriately managed through appropriate design and layout and use of green infrastructure buffering.'

No details are given as to how this can be achieved or how long it takes for the measures to be effective. No mention is made of the potential noise and odour from the poultry units.

(How can odour from the poultry units and livestock market be mitigated?)

Q5. Actions:

- 1. Having insisted that the Livestock market be moved from its current location as it was felt that the market was incompatible with housing, an explanation is required as to why the Council is allowing the TGV to be built alongside it?
- 2. Is there a legal agreement in place between the landowner who has submitted the planning application for the poultry units and the Council? If so, why is it not available to the public? If not, how will the Council ensure that the poultry units are not in use once the TGV development has started?
- 3. Details are required as to how noise and odour can be mitigated in these circumstances.

Representation Form Part B (6):

Q2. Policy: SP14, DP25 and S3
Q3. B. Sound No

Q4. Details:

Infrastructure:

Roads and Highways:

The only transport plan available at the moment is Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026. Although the Council have now commissioned a new review this should have been carried out prior to any decision was made about the Local Plan. Roads and highways are always going to be fundamental to the decision making process and any decision should be underpinned by a strong evidence base. As a consequence it has to be assumed that the Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 was used as the basis for any decisions.

As acknowledged by Shropshire Council there are topographical and landscape restraints within Bridgnorth and its surrounding areas which severely restrict development and road improvements: the town is bisected by the River Severn, there are only two bridges across the river in the Bridgnorth area which are accessible to vehicles thus creating natural pinch points, High Town sits on an escarpment as does the A442 to Telford. In addition the A roads to Telford, Wolverhampton, Stourbridge, Kidderminster and Shrewsbury are mainly single, winding carriageways and therefore slow.

A steering group consisting of members of Bridgnorth Town Council and surrounding Parish Councils was asked in June 2019 to consider the issues facing Bridgnorth and to develop a plan for the settlement. Their draft report which was published in May 2020, states that:

"Existing local infrastructure, transport links, public facilities and services are not capable of supporting much growth in population and business activity without significant investment."

The Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 confirms this view:

"Section 2.2:

Shropshire has a few key trunk and principal roads which provide links between the major settlements, predominantly in the form of single carriageway roads.

Section 4.6.3:

..... slow moving vehicles can be a cause of journey delay.

Historic road networks not designed to take today's traffic levels can become congested with relatively low traffic levels and as traffic levels grow congestion may spread to more parts of the road network."

Approximately 60% of people in Bridgnorth travel to work outside Bridgnorth mainly to Telford, Wolverhampton and Kidderminster and a similar percentage of jobs in Bridgnorth are filled by travelling in to the town. This is unlikely to change.

The proposed sites at Tasley are taking residential development away from the main employment areas of the town and the region (ie Stanmore, Wolverhampton and Telford). Whilst some employment is to be provided on site the majority of the residents in Bridgnorth and surrounding areas will continue to travel to work at Stanmore, Wolverhampton and Telford. Some of those who choose to live in the proposed new residential areas will take up employment in the new settlements but many will choose to travel to the larger, better paid jobs on offer in the West Midlands. Many of those who choose to work in the proposed new settlements will be travelling into the area from where they already live.

Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 acknowledges this trend:

"Section 2.3:

A significant proportion of high skilled, and better paid, workers commute out of Shropshire to work. Indicating that Shropshire is an attractive place for highly paid workers in Telford and other neighbouring areas to live.

Section 2.4:

Shropshire is also likely to be increasingly influenced by Telford which is expected to grow its population, housing and employment at even more rapid rates than Shropshire."

The proposed developments at Tasley are for a total of 2,250 dwellings which, at a conservative estimate, will increase the population of Bridgnorth by 4,500 people. Assuming a minimum of one car per dwelling, (again a conservative estimate), these developments will put at least 2,250 additional vehicles onto roads which the Council admits are inadequate. In addition, the proposed employment land in Tasley will increase the number of vehicles coming in and out of Bridgnorth, many of which will be large vehicles supplying or working from the B class units proposed for these areas (ie research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution).

TW's own transport review states that 75% of peak hour traffic from their site (which therefore does not include the development north of the A458) will travel east on the A458 bypass to Telford, Wolverhampton, Stourbridge and Kidderminster.

Good road communications are vital for any community to prosper yet there has been no significant improvement to the road networks around Bridgnorth for more than 20 years and public transport is in decline. The roads to neighbouring towns all have pinch points that limit the maximum traffic flow. Currently Shropshire Council has no plans to make any strategic investments in the road network around Bridgnorth yet they are proposing to increase the amount of traffic on these roads significantly.

The additional traffic and 35% increase in population will adversely affect those wishing to move into the Bridgnorth area as it would be no longer "an attractive place for highly paid workers in Telford and other neighbouring areas to live."

The Council's Local Transport Plan 2011- 2026 was aware of this danger as it states:

"Section 2.7:

While connectivity is important to economic success, so is a high quality of life.

The remoteness of Shropshire is one of the factors which contributes to its attraction as an unspoilt and tranquil place to live, work and visit. This is a particularly important factor in attracting higher skilled workers and businesses. It is important therefore to ensure that the environmental assets of the county are not damaged when seeking to improve connectivity and accessibility."

Why would SMEs choose to move or set up in Bridgnorth when the road links to and from Bridgnorth are poor and access to the motorways is slow?

How will the proposals encourage 'highly paid workers' to move to Bridgnorth and what evidence is there that this will happen?

A458 and Access for Pedestrians and Cyclists:

The A458 is a busy road and crossing from the proposed TGV development to the new development north of the A458, and to Bridgnorth, would be dangerous. TW has stated that they will build a suitable footbridge to enable pedestrians and cyclists to cross the road but TW does not own the land or control the majority of the land fronting the A458 and are unlikely to do so in the foreseeable future.

O5. Actions:

- 1. What are the plans for improving the road infrastructure around Bridgnorth and its surrounding areas?
- 2. As there are no plans to improve the roads, the likelihood of meeting the stated employment targets is poor and will remain so until improvements are made. Any such improvements need to have been carried out, or, at the very least, definite plans and investments should be in place if SME's are to be encouraged to move into the Bridgnorth area.
- 3. Clarification is required as to whether or not TW will own, or have options to purchase the land required for a footbridge to be built and if so how they intend to do this?
- 4. If it is not possible to purchase the necessary land how will TW ensure that safe access across the A458 is possible?

Representation Form Part B (7):

Q2. Policy: SP13, DP25, DP27, DP28 and S3

Q3. B. Sound No

Q4. Details:

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states at DP 25. Infrastructure Provision:

"1. New development should only take place where there is sufficient existing infrastructure capacity available. Where a new development would lead to a shortfall in infrastructure provision, the development will be required to fund necessary improvements through a suitable developer contribution,"

Broadband and Mobile Communications Infrastructure:

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states at DP 27. Broadband and Mobile Communications Infrastructure:

- 1. Shropshire businesses and communities require quality broadband provision and mobile network connectivity to support economic growth, social inclusion and community safety.
- 2. Development proposals will be expected to provide the infrastructure for broadband and mobile communications as essential utilities.

The Pre-Submission Draft then goes on to say at paragraph 4.244:

"All new developments will be expected to conform to the Government's evolving ambition for 'gigabit-capable' broadband infrastructure to be available to all premises in the UK by 2025. As of March 2020, 6.5% of properties in Shropshire had access to full-fibre connections (12.4% in the UK)."

Communications and Transport:

At DP 28 the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- 1. Shropshire will continue to be an attractive place to live and work by improving its communications and transport networks and supporting the infrastructure and services to widen travel and transport choices and to improve connectivity and accessibility whilst moving towards reduced car dependency and manage the impacts of transport movements on communities and our environment.
- 2. Responding positively to changes in our climate will require access to better communications infrastructure and more sustainable travel options offering choices about the need to travel and the best transport modes.

It goes on to explain:

- 4.247. Shropshire will continue to promote and support improvements to the communications and transport infrastructure serving the County. This is central to the delivery of sustainable economic growth and the creation of sustainable patterns of development in the settlements, 'strategic corridors' and 'strategic sites' of the County.
- 4.250. This process of managing change starts with the decision whether we need to travel at all. The most sustainable travel option is to access work, goods, services, leisure and social interaction remotely using electronic communication media or to achieve key elements of these activities in this way, as our first choice, irrespective of age or technological ability. The response to the Coronavirus restrictions shows how we might respond positively to the effects of global challenges on our lives and realise tangible benefits for ourselves and our local, national and global communities.
- 4.251. This will require the delivery of infrastructure for broadband, mobile and fixed wireless networks to remove the need to travel.
- 4.253. Local travel options play an essential role in influencing travel behaviour including footways, cycleways
- 4.254. Passenger transport services reduce the cumulative effects of individual travel choices by helping to lower congestion, improve air quality and mitigate other impacts. The Local Transport Plan identifies public transport networks and service improvements and seeks to supports less accessible communities. The extension of community transport networks, the maintenance of Park & Ride and local rail improvements are also encouraged."

However it should be noted that Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 states at Section 2.2:

"The provision of a comprehensive bus service in Shropshire is challenging."

Most local bus services rely on financial support from the Council with only a small number of services run on a commercial basis:"

O5. Actions:

Communications:

- 1. What 'developer contribution' has TW agreed to make to improve the infrastructure which is clearly insufficient? Is the 'developer contribution' sufficient to cover the required improvements to the infrastructure? If there is a shortfall will Shropshire Council meet the difference?
- 2. What are the plans for ensuring that broadband throughout the County, and in Bridgnorth in particular, will be comparable with the rest of the UK?
- 3. New businesses are unlikely to want to move into Bridgnorth if the broadband and mobile communications are inadequate? What guarantees do any potential employers have that the required broadband and mobile communications will be available by the time they move into their new premises?

Transport Networks:

4. Where will these 'parking facilities' and 'dedicated park and ride service' be located as it is not mentioned in the TW proposal? How will these facilities be integrated with the needs of the development north of the A458?

5. Shropshire Council acknowledges that 'most local bus services rely on financial support from the Council'. How will a usable, regular bus service from the TGV to the town centre be funded? Will the Council guarantee that they will continue to support the local bus services?

Representation Form Part B (8):

Q2. Policy: DP17, DP18 and S3
O3. B. Sound No.

Q4. Details:

Landscape and Visual Amenity:

DP 17 of the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- 1. Development proposals should respect, safeguard, and wherever possible, restore or enhance landscape character and visual amenity in Shropshire.
- 2. All major development proposals and developments which are likely to generate significant effects as a result of the presence of highly sensitive landscape and visual receptors and/or high magnitude of effect, must be accompanied by an appropriate and proportionate assessment of landscape and visual impacts, unless it is agreed by the Council that this is not necessary. The assessment of landscape and visual impacts must follow industry good practice, be carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced landscape professional and be sufficient to determine the significance of predicted effects on landscape character, landscape elements and visual amenity.

In a Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth it was stated at paragraph 19 that:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is primarily located within a landscape parcel assessed as having medium landscape and visual sensitivity to housing and medium-high landscape and visual sensitivity to employment."

Pollution and Public Amenity:

DP 18 of the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- "4. Development should avoid Shropshire's best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 1, 2 and 3a) wherever possible,
- 7. When development may create additional noise, during construction or operation, or when new development would be sensitive to the existing noise environment (including any anticipated changes to that environment from activities that are permitted but not yet commenced) proposals should include a noise assessment
- 8. The noise assessment should be prepared by an experienced specialist and follow industry good practice ..."

In Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth it was stated at paragraph 35:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is also close to sources of current road and commercial noise and potential future noise and odour from the relocated livestock market, other commercial uses on the existing employment allocation and potential commercial uses on the employment land proposed within the site promotion itself. These issues would require careful and sensitive consideration; however, it is considered that this can be appropriately managed ..."

The Pre-Submission Draft also states:

- 4.167. There are two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Shropshire, in Shrewsbury and Bridgnorth. Both are within the urban area where air pollution results mainly from traffic. Air quality will be considered when assessing development proposals, particularly in or near the AQMAs and where significant doubt arises as to the air quality impact then a cautious approach will be applied.
- 4.171. Background levels of ammonia in the air and nitrogen loads deposited on natural habitats are generally well above the levels and loads recognised as causing damage throughout Shropshire.

Ecology:

Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth states that:

- "22. The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is located within the Impact Risk Zone for four SSSIs risks can be managed through appropriate design, layout and construction of the development.
- 23. It also includes areas within environmental networks and could contain protected species and priority habitats...."

Q5. Actions:

- 1. Has an assessment of landscape and visual impacts been carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced landscape professional? If so, why has it not been published? If not, why?
- 2. The land on which the proposed TGV is to be built is classified as Grade 3 agricultural land. At a time when local food production in the UK is under threat, why is Grade 3 agricultural land being taken for residential and employment facilities?
- 3. With the introduction of more vehicles on the A458 has a noise assessment been prepared by an experienced specialist? If so, why has it not been published? If not, why?
- 4. Tasley will be the site for 2,250 additional dwellings and 29 ha of employment land. This will bring a substantial increase in vehicle movement. What measures will be taken to improve the air quality in and around Bridgnorth?
- 5. How can noise and odour be mitigated successfully?
- 6. Both the Council for Protection of Rural England (CPRE) and Shropshire Wildlife Trust (SWT) have objected to the Tasley development. How does Shropshire Council justify pursuing the TGV development knowing the ecological damage it will cause to the area? What measures will be put in place to safeguard the SSSIs and the environmental networks?



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

making enective represent	uuuiii.		
Part B: Represe	entation		
Name and Organisation:	Sir William Cash	MP	
Q1. To which docume	nt does this repr	esentation relat	e?
Regulation 19: Pre-S	ubmission Draft of th	ne Shropshire Local	Plan
Sustainability Apprai	sal of the Regulation	19: Pre-Submission	n Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box	า	egulation 19: Pre-S	Submission Draft of the
Q2. To which part of t	the document doe	es this represen	tation relate?
Paragraph:	Policy: S3	Site: BRD03	0 Policies Map:
Q3. Do you consider t Shropshire Local Plan		: Pre-Submissio	n Draft of the
A. Legally compliant		Yes:	No:
B. Sound		Yes:	No: 🗹
C. Compliant with the Du	ıty to Co-operate	Yes:	No:
(Please tick as appropri	ate).		
Draft of the Shropshi	re Local Plan is no	ot legally compl	ation 19: Pre-Submission iant or is unsound or as precise as possible.
	-		lation 19: Pre-Submission Draft rate, please also use this box to
Schedule S3.1(1) states that Tasl Development will comply with the			ed-use sustainable urban extension.
Garden Villages based on the wo	g Association (TCPA) ha ork of Sir Ebenezer Howar	d who set out guidelines	f principles for the development of s and principles for the development g system. His three main principles,

3. Community ownership of land and long-term stewardship of assets.

Land value capture for the benefit of the community.
 Strong vision, leadership and community engagement.

adopted by the TCPA, are:

A report from Transport for New Homes entitled 'Garden Villages and Garden Towns: Vision and Realty', having reviewed more than 30 developments designated as 'Garden Villages' or 'Garden Towns', found that nearly all the developments produced car based housing and increased traffic generation. They also found that the majority of the

developments were in the wrong location, particularly for sustainable modes of transport. The reportive need housing but we need to build in the right place and in the right way. Housing numbers at everything". The size and location of the proposed TGV is more akin to a large suburban development on the outstown rather than the "sustainable urban extension" stated in the Local Plan.	nd targets are not
(Please continue on a separate she	eet if necessary)
Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to respect the Shropshire Local Plan compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or sound you have identified at Q4 above.	nake the legally
Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modificate examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19 Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as	: Pre-Submission are able to put
 In their 'Development Statement' TW mention these three principles but do not say how they an intend to meet them. Shropshire Council should insist that TW formally sign up to these princip detail how they intend to meet them? Shropshire Council should set out how they will monitor the development and ensure that TW as principles? 	d the landowners les and set out in
(Please continue on a separate she	eet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evident supporting information necessary to support your representation and your sugger modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.	nce and ested to make
Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regular Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it participate in examination hearing session(s)?	
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participal session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participal	
No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)	
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please ou	tline why
you consider this to be necessary:	
Part A Reference:	

Office Use Only

Part B Reference:

(Please continue Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropr those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hea to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has id examination.	riate procedure ring session(s).	You may be asked
Signature: Sir William Cash MP	Date:	24/02/2021



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Pa	rt B: Represei	ntation					
Na	ame and Organisation:	Sir William Cash M	P				
Q1.	To which documen	t does this repres	entatio	n relate?			
V	Regulation 19: Pre-Su	bmission Draft of the	Shropsh	ire Local Plar	1		
	Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	al of the Regulation 19	9: Pre-Sເ	ubmission Dr	aft of	the Shro	pshire
	Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)		gulation :	19: Pre-Subn	nissior	n Draft o	of the
Q2.	To which part of th	e document does	this re	presentati	on re	late?	
Parag	graph:	Policy: SP12 & S3	Site:	BRD030	P	olicies Map:	
_	Do you consider th		Pre-Sul	bmission D	raft	of the	
	opshire Local Plan i Legally compliant	IS:	Yes:		No:	П	
Α.	Legally Compliant		165.		NO.		
В.	Sound		Yes:	Ш	No:	\checkmark	
	Compliant with the Dut	•	Yes:		No:		
	Please tick as appropria	•					
Dra fail If you of the set of	Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.						
The land footbook its ex Mark	Employment Land: The Local Plan confirms that, as agreed in the SAMDev Plan 2015, 6.6 ha (the equivalent of just over 12 full sized football pitches) of land south of the A458 has been approved for the re-location of the Livestock Market together with its existing or alternative ancillary uses. In addition, a further 6.7 ha adjoining the area reserved for the Livestock Market has been approved for a business park for Class B uses (ie for offices, research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution).						
In ad	In addition, the TGV site will include a further 16 ha of employment land also for Class B uses.						
Tasle	In total 29 ha of employment land (the equivalent of just over 54 full sized football pitches) has been allocated to the Tasley area south of the A458, all of which is outside the Bridgnorth Development Boundary and is significantly larger than the current Stanmore Business Park which covers just over 17 ha in area.						
Λον	ell as setting out the above fo	r employment land the Loc	al Plan ha	s identified a fin	ther 11	ha of Gr	een Relt land

to allow for the extension of the current Stanmore Business Park size.

Altogether the Local Plan has earmarked a total of **40 ha** for employment land in the Bridgnorth area.

Telford is approximately 15 minutes from Bridgnorth, has land available, is close to the M54, has a mainline railway station and is already an industrial hub. Bridgnorth by comparison has poor logistics with no infrastructure and no evidence of future funding being made available for improvements.

There is evidence of a lack of demand for employment land as only 10% of available land in Bridgnorth has been developed since the year 2000. On what grounds does Shropshire Council believe that there is a requirement for 40 ha for offices, research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution?

Are all the units currently available for occupation by businesses in Bridgnorth and surrounding areas occupied? What is the vacancy rate?

How has Covid19 affected or will affect current businesses?

On what grounds does Shropshire Council believe that the predicted levels of employment growth are achievable?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

	, 35
1.	Shropshire Council should provide the following for discussion:
	What areas / units are already available for occupation but vacant and how long they have been vacant?
	Evidence that the predicted levels of employment growth are achievable particularly in the light of Covid 19.
	Evidence that there is a requirement for a further 27 ha of employment land in addition to that agreed in the
	SAMDev Plan 2015.
2.	If the predicted levels of employment growth are not achieved, how will the land and/or empty buildings be used?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

363310	in(s), you may be asked at a later point to commit your request to participate
\checkmark	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:								
_	(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)							
Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.								
Signature:	Sir William Cash MP	Date:	24/02/2021					



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Rep	resentation					
Name and Organi	sation: Sir William (Cash MP				
Q1. To which do	cument does this	representatio	n relate?			
Regulation 19	: Pre-Submission Draft	of the Shropsh	ire Local Plan			
Sustainability Local Plan	Appraisal of the Regul	ation 19: Pre-Si	ubmission Dra	aft of the Shro	pshire	
Habitats Regul Shropshire Loc (Please tick of		the Regulation	19: Pre-Subm	nission Draft o	f the	
Q2. To which pa	rt of the document	t does this re	presentation	on relate?		
Paragraph:	Policy: DP18	3 & Site:	BRD030	Policies Map:		
Q3. Do you cons Shropshire Loca	ider the Regulatio I Plan is:	n 19: Pre-Su	bmission D	raft of the		
A. Legally complia	nt	Yes:		No:		
B. Sound		Yes:		No: 🗹		
C. Compliant with (Please tick as ap	the Duty to Co-operatopropriate).	e Yes:		No:		
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.						
Livestock Market: When the SAMDev Plan for 500 dwellings in Tasley was approved, Shropshire Council insisted that the Livestock Market be re-located as it was felt that the market was incompatible with housing. Now, under the Local Plan, the proposed TGV will surround the Livestock Market on three sides.						
Poultry Units: Schedule S3.1(1) states that 'Before occupation of the first dwelling on the site, any poultry units operating on the site or land within the wider site promotion will cease operation.'						
In a Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth stated at paragraph 34: "The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley contains the site of a current Planning Application for Poultry Units. It is understood that if the site is allocated then these Poultry Units would not be implemented."						
These two statements are not compatible. Furthermore, at the Council Cabinet meeting which discussed the Regulation						

18 proposals, Council officers referred to a possible legal agreement which would prevent houses being built if the

poultry farm was operating. Currently no such agreement has been registered. It is quite possible therefore that the TGV would be built in the vicinity of both the livestock market and the poultry In DP18. Pollution and Public Amenity it is stated at 4.168 that 'Developments such as intensive livestock units may need a Habitat Regulation Assessment...' In Appendix 2 of the Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, it is stated at paragraph 35: 'The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is also close to sources of current road and commercial noise and potential future noise and odour from the relocated livestock marketother commercial uses on the existing employment allocation and potential commercial uses on the employment land proposed ... this can be appropriately managed through appropriate design and layout and use of green infrastructure buffering.' No details are given as to how this can be achieved or how long it takes for the measures to be effective. No mention is made of the potential noise and odour from the poultry units. (How can odour from the poultry units and livestock market be mitigated?) (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. 1. Having insisted that the Livestock market be moved from its current location as it was felt that the market was incompatible with housing, an explanation is required as to why the Council is allowing the TGV to be built alongside it? 2. Is there a legal agreement in place between the landowner who has submitted the planning application for the poultry units and the Council? If so, why is it not available to the public? If not, how will the Council ensure that the poultry units are not in use once the TGV development has started? 3. Details are required as to how noise and odour can be mitigated in these circumstances. (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector,

based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

(PI	ease tick one box)		
	ou wish to participate in the hearing sessider this to be necessary:	sion(s), pleas	e outline why
you come	nucl tills to be necessary.		
Please not	Please continu) e: The Inspector will determine the most appropi		sheet if necessary) to adopt to hear
those who l	have indicated that they wish to participate in hea	aring session(s).	You may be asked
examination	vour wish to participate when the Inspector has idn. n.	ientinea the ma	tters and issues for
Signature:	Sir William Cash MP	Date:	24/02/2021
o.gaca. o.			,,

Office Has Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

making chective	. representat	10113.						
Part B: Re	epreser	ntati	on					
Name and Or	ganisation:	Sir Wi	illiam Cash M	P				
Q1. To which	documen	t does	this repres	sentatio	n relate?			
A Regulation	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
Sustainab Local Plan		l of the	Regulation 1	9: Pre-Sı	ubmission Dra	aft of th	e Shrop	shire
☐ Shropshire	Regulations A e Local Plan tick one box)		ent of the Re	gulation :	19: Pre-Subn	nission [Oraft of	the
Q2. To which	part of th	e docı	ıment does	this re	presentati	on rela	ite?	
Paragraph:		Policy:	SP14, DP25 & S3	Site:	BRD030		icies 1ap:	
Q3. Do you o		_	ulation 19:	Pre-Sul	bmission D	raft of	the	
A. Legally con	npliant			Yes:		No:		
B. Sound				Yes:		No:	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
C. Compliant	with the Duty	to Co-	operate	Yes:		No:		
(Please tick a	as appropriat	e).						
Q4. Please g Draft of the S fails to comp If you wish to su of the Shropshir	Shropshire Ily with the upport the leg e Local Plan o	Local duty al comp	Plan is not to co-oper liance or soun	t legally ate. Ple adness of a	compliant ase be as p	or is un precise on 19: Pre	unsou e as po e-Submi	nd or ossible. ission Draft
Infrastructure: Roads and Highwa The only transport the Council have n about the Local Pla decision should be	ays: plan available a ow commission n. Roads and hi	ed a new ghways a	review this show re always going	uld have be to be funda	en carried out pomental to the de	rior to any cision mal	y decision king proc	n was made ess and any

As acknowledged by Shropshire Council there are topographical and landscape restraints within Bridgnorth and its surrounding areas which severely restrict development and road improvements: the town is bisected by the River Severn, there are only two bridges across the river in the Bridgnorth area which are accessible to vehicles thus creating natural pinch points, High Town sits on an escarpment as does the A442 to Telford. In addition the A roads to Telford, Wolverhampton, Stourbridge, Kidderminster and Shrewsbury are mainly single, winding carriageways and therefore slow.

Transport Plan 2011-2026 was used as the basis for any decisions.

A steering group consisting of members of Bridgnorth Town Council and surrounding Parish Councils was asked in June 2019 to consider the issues facing Bridgnorth and to develop a plan for the settlement. Their draft report which was published in May 2020, states that:

"Existing local infrastructure, transport links, public facilities and services are not capable of supporting much growth in population and business activity without significant investment."

The Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 confirms this view:

"Section 2.2:

Shropshire has a few key trunk and principal roads which provide links between the major settlements, predominantly in the form of single carriageway roads.

Section 4.6.3:

..... slow moving vehicles can be a cause of journey delay.

Historic road networks not designed to take today's traffic levels can become congested with relatively low traffic levels and as traffic levels grow congestion may spread to more parts of the road network."

Approximately 60% of people in Bridgnorth travel to work outside Bridgnorth mainly to Telford, Wolverhampton and Kidderminster and a similar percentage of jobs in Bridgnorth are filled by travelling in to the town. This is unlikely to change.

The proposed sites at Tasley are taking residential development away from the main employment areas of the town and the region (ie Stanmore, Wolverhampton and Telford). Whilst some employment is to be provided on site the majority of the residents in Bridgnorth and surrounding areas will continue to travel to work at Stanmore, Wolverhampton and Telford. Some of those who choose to live in the proposed new residential areas will take up employment in the new settlements but many will choose to travel to the larger, better paid jobs on offer in the West Midlands. Many of those who choose to work in the proposed new settlements will be travelling into the area from where they already live.

Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011- 2026 acknowledges this trend:

"Section 2.3:

A significant proportion of high skilled, and better paid, workers commute out of Shropshire to work. Indicating that Shropshire is an attractive place for highly paid workers in Telford and other neighbouring areas to live.

Section 2.4:

Shropshire is also likely to be increasingly influenced by Telford which is expected to grow its population, housing and employment at even more rapid rates than Shropshire."

The proposed developments at Tasley are for a total of 2,250 dwellings which, at a conservative estimate, will increase the population of Bridgnorth by 4,500 people. Assuming a minimum of one car per dwelling, (again a conservative estimate), these developments will put at least **2,250 additional vehicles** onto roads which the Council admits are inadequate. In addition, the proposed employment land in Tasley will increase the number of vehicles coming in and out of Bridgnorth, many of which will be large vehicles supplying or working from the B class units proposed for these areas (ie research and development, industrial processes and warehousing for storage or distribution).

TW's own transport review states that 75% of peak hour traffic from their site (which therefore does not include the development north of the A458) will travel east on the A458 bypass to Telford, Wolverhampton, Stourbridge and Kidderminster.

Good road communications are vital for any community to prosper yet there has been no significant improvement to the road networks around Bridgnorth for more than 20 years and public transport is in decline. The roads to neighbouring towns all have pinch points that limit the maximum traffic flow. Currently Shropshire Council has no plans to make any strategic investments in the road network around Bridgnorth yet they are proposing to increase the amount of traffic on these roads significantly.

The additional traffic and 35% increase in population will adversely affect those wishing to move into the Bridgnorth area as it would be no longer "an attractive place for highly paid workers in Telford and other neighbouring areas to live."

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

The Council's Local Transport Plan 2011- 2026 was aware of this danger as it states:

"Section 2.7:

While connectivity is important to economic success, so is a high quality of life.

The remoteness of Shropshire is one of the factors which contributes to its attraction as an unspoilt and tranquil place to live, work and visit. This is a particularly important factor in attracting higher skilled workers and businesses. It is important therefore to ensure that the environmental assets of the county are not damaged when seeking to improve connectivity and accessibility."

Why would SMEs choose to move or set up in Bridgnorth when the road links to and from Bridgnorth are poor and access to the motorways is slow?

How will the proposals encourage 'highly paid workers' to move to Bridgnorth and what evidence is there that this will happen?

A458 and Access for Pedestrians and Cyclists:

The A458 is a busy road and crossing from the proposed TGV development to the new development north of the A458, and to Bridgnorth, would be dangerous. TW has stated that they will build a suitable footbridge to enable pedestrians and cyclists to cross the road but TW does not own the land or control the majority of the land fronting the A458 and are unlikely to do so in the foreseeable future.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- 1. What are the plans for improving the road infrastructure around Bridgnorth and its surrounding areas?
- 2. As there are no plans to improve the roads, the likelihood of meeting the stated employment targets is poor and will remain so until improvements are made. Any such improvements need to have been carried out, or, at the very least, definite plans and investments should be in place if SME's are to be encouraged to move into the Bridgnorth area.
- 3. Clarification is required as to whether or not TW will own, or have options to purchase the land required for a footbridge to be built and if so how they intend to do this?
- 4. If it is not possible to purchase the necessary land how will TW ensure that safe access across the A458 is possible?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

\checkmark	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)						
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)						
	(Please tick one box)						
_	Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:						
those w	note: The Inspector will determine the most appropers to have indicated that they wish to participate in hear trm your wish to participate when the Inspector has it	riate procedure aring session(s).	You may be asked				
Signatu	re: Sir William Cash MP	Date:	24/02/2021				

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation							
Na	ame and Organisation:	Sir William Cash N	MP				
Q1.	To which documer	t does this repre	esentation relate?				
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan						
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan						
	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)						
Q2.	To which part of the	ne document doe	es this representa	tion relate?			
Parag	graph:	SP13, DP25, Policy: DP27, DP28 & S3	Site: BRD030	Policies Map:			
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:							
	Legally compliant		Yes:	No:			
В.	Sound		Yes:	No: 🔽			
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate (Please tick as appropriate).		Yes:	No:				

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states at DP 25. Infrastructure Provision:

"1. New development should only take place where there is sufficient existing infrastructure capacity available. Where a new development would lead to a shortfall in infrastructure provision, the development will be required to fund necessary improvements through a suitable developer contribution,"

Broadband and Mobile Communications Infrastructure:

The Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan states at DP 27. Broadband and Mobile Communications Infrastructure:

- 1. Shropshire businesses and communities require quality broadband provision and mobile network connectivity to support economic growth, social inclusion and community safety.
- 2. Development proposals will be expected to provide the infrastructure for broadband and mobile communications as essential utilities.

The Pre-Submission Draft then goes on to say at paragraph 4.244:

"All new developments will be expected to conform to the Government's evolving ambition for 'gigabit-capable' broadband infrastructure to be available to all premises in the UK by 2025. As of March 2020, 6.5% of properties in Shropshire had access to full-fibre connections (12.4% in the UK)."

Communications and Transport:

At DP 28 the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- Shropshire will continue to be an attractive place to live and work by improving its communications and transport
 networks and supporting the infrastructure and services to widen travel and transport choices and to improve connectivity and accessibility whilst moving towards reduced car dependency and manage the impacts of transport
 movements on communities and our environment.
- 2. Responding positively to changes in our climate will require access to better communications infrastructure and more sustainable travel options offering choices about the need to travel and the best transport modes.

It goes on to explain:

- 4.247. Shropshire will continue to promote and support improvements to the communications and transport infrastructure serving the County. This is central to the delivery of sustainable economic growth and the creation of sustainable patterns of development in the settlements, 'strategic corridors' and 'strategic sites' of the County.
- 4.250. This process of managing change starts with the decision whether we need to travel at all. The most sustainable travel option is to access work, goods, services, leisure and social interaction remotely using electronic communication media or to achieve key elements of these activities in this way, as our first choice, irrespective of age or technological ability. The response to the Coronavirus restrictions shows how we might respond positively to the effects of global challenges on our lives and realise tangible benefits for ourselves and our local, national and global communities.
- 4.251. This will require the delivery of infrastructure for broadband, mobile and fixed wireless networks to remove the need to travel.
- 4.253. Local travel options play an essential role in influencing travel behaviour including footways, cycleways
- 4.254. Passenger transport services reduce the cumulative effects of individual travel choices by helping to lower congestion, improve air quality and mitigate other impacts. The Local Transport Plan identifies public transport networks and service improvements and seeks to supports less accessible communities. The extension of community transport networks, the maintenance of Park & Ride and local rail improvements are also encouraged."

However it should be noted that Shropshire Council's Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 states at Section 2.2:

"The provision of a comprehensive bus service in Shropshire is challenging.

Most local bus services rely on financial support from the Council with only a small number of services run on a commercial basis;"

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Communications:

- 1. What 'developer contribution' has TW agreed to make to improve the infrastructure which is clearly insufficient? Is the 'developer contribution' sufficient to cover the required improvements to the infrastructure? If there is a shortfall will Shropshire Council meet the difference?
- 2. What are the plans for ensuring that broadband throughout the County, and in Bridgnorth in particular, will be comparable with the rest of the UK?
- 3. New businesses are unlikely to want to move into Bridgnorth if the broadband and mobile communications are inadequate? What guarantees do any potential employers have that the required broadband and mobile communications will be available by the time they move into their new premises?

Transport Networks:

- 4. Where will these 'parking facilities' and 'dedicated park and ride service' be located as it is not mentioned in the TW proposal? How will these facilities be integrated with the needs of the development north of the A458?
- 5. Shropshire Council acknowledges that 'most local bus services rely on financial support from the Council'. How will a usable, regular bus service from the TGV to the town centre be funded? Will the Council guarantee that they will continue to support the local bus services?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

	(-), ,					
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)					
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)					
_	Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:					
	(Dlanca continue on a consumts chect if necessary)					

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

Signature:	Sir William Cash MP	Date:	24/02/2021	
	,		i	

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Pa	rt B: Represer	ntation					
N	ame and Organisation:	Sir William Cash M	Р				
Q1	. To which documen	t does this repres	sentatio	on relate?			
V	Regulation 19: Pre-Su	bmission Draft of the	Shropsh	nire Local Plar	1		
	Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	al of the Regulation 1	9: Pre-S	ubmission Dr	aft of t	the Shr	ropshire
	Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)		gulation	19: Pre-Subn	nissior	n Draft	of the
Q2	. To which part of th	e document does	this re	presentati	on re	late?	
Para	graph:	Policy: DP17, DP18 & S3	Site:	BRD030	P	olicies Map:	
	. Do you consider th ropshire Local Plan i	_	Pre-Su	bmission D	raft (of the	
Α.	Legally compliant		Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound		Yes:		No:	\checkmark	
	Compliant with the Dut		Yes:		No:		
Q4 Dra fail	. Please give details aft of the Shropshire Is to comply with the	of why you consi Local Plan is not e duty to co-opera	: legally ate. Ple	compliant case be as	t or is precis	s unso se as	ound or possible.
of t	ou wish to support the leg he Shropshire Local Plan c out your comments.						
	dscape and Visual Amenity: 17 of the Pre-Submission Draft	states:					
	Development proposals should and visual amenity in Shropshir		herever po	ossible, restore o	r enhan	ice lands	cape character
ti n C ti	All major development propose the presence of highly sensitive tied by an appropriate and pro Council that this is not necessar- tice, be carried out by a suitably ignificance of predicted effects	e landscape and visual rece oportionate assessment of ry. The assessment of land y qualified and experienced	eptors and/ landscape scape and l landscape	or high magnitude and visual impacts magnitude professional and	de of effacts, unl nust folk d be suf	fect, must less it is ow indus ficient to	agreed by the stry good prac-

In a Shropshire Council Cabinet Report entitled 'Shropshire Local Plan Review: Pre Submission Draft dated 20 July 2020, Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth it was stated at paragraph 19 that:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is primarily located within a landscape parcel assessed as having medium landscape and visual sensitivity to housing and medium-high landscape and visual sensitivity to employment."

Pollution and Public Amenity:

DP 18 of the Pre-Submission Draft states:

- "4. Development should avoid Shropshire's best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 1, 2 and 3a) wherever possible,
- 7. When development may create additional noise, during construction or operation, or when new development would be sensitive to the existing noise environment (including any anticipated changes to that environment from activities that are permitted but not yet commenced) proposals should include a noise assessment
- 8. The noise assessment should be prepared by an experienced specialist and follow industry good practice ..."

In Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth it was stated at paragraph 35:

"The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is also close to sources of current road and commercial noise and potential future noise and odour from the relocated livestock market, other commercial uses on the existing employment allocation and potential commercial uses on the employment land proposed within the site promotion itself. These issues would require careful and sensitive consideration; however, it is considered that this can be appropriately managed ..."

The Pre-Submission Draft also states:

- 4.167. There are two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Shropshire, in Shrewsbury and Bridgnorth. Both are within the urban area where air pollution results mainly from traffic. Air quality will be considered when assessing development proposals, particularly in or near the AQMAs and where significant doubt arises as to the air quality impact then a cautious approach will be applied.
- 4.171. Background levels of ammonia in the air and nitrogen loads deposited on natural habitats are generally well above the levels and loads recognised as causing damage throughout Shropshire.

Ecology:

Appendix 2: Summary of the Assessment of Garden Village Proposals in Bridgnorth states that:

- "22. The land at the 'Garden Village' at Tasley is located within the Impact Risk Zone for four SSSIs risks can be managed through appropriate design, layout and construction of the development.
- 23. It also includes areas within environmental networks and could contain protected species and priority habitats...."

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- 1. Has an assessment of landscape and visual impacts been carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced land-scape professional? If so, why has it not been published? If not, why?
- 2. The land on which the proposed TGV is to be built is classified as Grade 3 agricultural land. At a time when local food production in the UK is under threat, why is Grade 3 agricultural land being taken for residential and employment facilities?
- 3. With the introduction of more vehicles on the A458 has a noise assessment been prepared by an experienced specialist? If so, why has it not been published? If not, why?
- 4. Tasley will be the site for 2,250 additional dwellings and 29 ha of employment land. This will bring a substantial increase in vehicle movement. What measures will be taken to improve the air quality in and around Bridgnorth?
- 5. How can noise and odour be mitigated successfully?
- 6. Both the Council for Protection of Rural England (CPRE) and Shropshire Wildlife Trust (SWT) have objected to the Tasley development. How does Shropshire Council justify pursuing the TGV development knowing the ecological damage it will cause to the area? What measures will be put in place to safeguard the SSSIs and the environmental networks?

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

\checkmark	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)			
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)			
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:				
_,	(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)			

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

CXUITIIIIUUI						
Signature:	Sir William Cash MP		Date:	24/02/2021		
		Office Hee Only		Part A Reference:		
		Office Use Only		Part B Reference	ce:	