

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Pa	Part B: Representation				
N	ame and Organisation:	Dr Robert Dennis			
Q1.	To which document	does this representation relate?			
\checkmark	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)				
Q2.	To which part of the	document does this representation relate?			
Para	graph:	Policy: SP2 Site: Policies Map:			
Q3.	Do you consider the	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the			
Shr	opshire Local Plan is	:			
A.	Legally compliant	Yes: No:			
В.	Sound	Yes: No: 🗸			
	Compliant with the Duty				
Q4. Draf	Please give details of t of the Shropshire	of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submiss Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible			
If you	ı wish to support the lega	I compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Di its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this bo			
of v Cou Hub The appl loca The setti SP2 Para	illages to provide a suitab neil stated that it would a es, assessed through the H policy identifies Commu- lication as it is not based of l services and facilities th Hierarchy of Settlements ng out the methodology f with specific and consiste a 2.6 of the August 2020 v	a opportunities in rural areas should be closely aligned with the abile standard of services and facilities. In order to ensure this the pply a consistent methodology for the identification of Community iterarchy of Settlements document. Inity Hubs as significant rural service centres but it is unsound in its on up to date or accurate evidence and the treatment of changes to roughout the Plan's development has been inconsistent. (August 2020) supports the Local Plan Review and Policy SP2, by for identifying Shropshire's service centres. This is to provide Police ent criteria about Shropshire's settlements and their sustainability. The reresion of the Hierarchy of Settlements states the need to keep this available information about changing local facilities, services and			

infrastructure, including feedback from previous consultation on the Local Plan Review and informal consultation with representatives of the local communities.

However, despite consultation responses highlighting changing evidence on the availability of local facilities in some of Shropshire's settlements, including the most recent Regulation 18: Pre-Submission Draft of the Local Plan (August 2020- September 2020), Policy SP2 does not take these into account.

Previous formal and informal consultations on the Local Plan Review have repeatedly highlighted the misidentification of available local services for the village of Clive. However Clive remains under Policy SP2 as a Community Hub and deemed a 'significant rural service centre' but without the actual presence of the necessary associated services and facilities to support this.

This raises significant concerns about the soundness of the application of the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base in the development of the Regulation 19 Plan and the application of a consistent approach cross the whole of the Plan area. For example, the village of Myddle, like Clive, was initially identified as a Community Hub but following closure of the local convenience store in summer 2018, the evidence base was reviewed and it was determined that the village fell below the threshold to be considered a 'significant rural service centre'. The same consistent application of this methodology has not been applied to the village of Clive, despite falling under comparative circumstances with a closure of a local convenience store. The village thereby also falls below the threshold for a Community Hub. This was raised with Shropshire Council by the Parish Council, local residents and indeed the shop owner during the Regulation 18 consultation stage but the Regulation 19 Plan remains unchanged, rendering Policy S2.2 unsound as it is not justified and based upon out of date evidence.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy SP2 should remove reference to Clive as Community Hub as current evidence on
availability and accessibility of local facilities and services means it does not meet the definition of
a significant rural service centre as defined by the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base and
subsequent Local Plan policies.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

Office Lice Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre- Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?					
	that while this will provide an initial indication of you may be asked at a later point to confirm you	, ,			
No,	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)				
√ Yes	s, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)				
(Ple	ease tick one box)				
	u wish to participate in the hearing sessi ider this to be necessary:	ion(s), please	e outline why		
has not a	agement with Shropshire Council in the prev ddressed the issues raised in terms of the m and services.				
those who	t e: The Inspector will determine the most apprope have indicated that they wish to participate in hea your wish to participate when the Inspector has in	riate procedure aring session(s).	. You may be asked		
Signature:	R Dennis	Date:	20/02/2021		

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

rd	Part b: Representation							
N	ame and Org	anisation:	Dr Ro	bert Dennis				
Q1.	Q1. To which document does this representation relate?							
\checkmark	Regulation	19: Pre-Sub	missio	n Draft of the	e Shropsh	nire Local Plar	1	
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
	Habitats Re Shropshire (Please tick	Local Plan	ssessm	ent of the Re	egulation	19: Pre-Subn	nission D	Praft of the
Q2.	To which p	art of the	docu	ment does	this rep	presentatio	n relat	e?
Para	graph:	ŀ	Policy:	SP7	Site:		Polio M	cies lap:
_	Do you cor opshire Loc		_	lation 19:	Pre-Sub	mission Dr	aft of t	:he
A.	Legally comp	pliant			Yes:		No:	
В.	Sound				Yes:	\checkmark	No:	
	Compliant w lease tick as	·		operate	Yes:		No:	
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.								
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.								

Regulatio compliant	e set out the modif in 19: Pre-Submissi t and sound, in resp identified at Q4 abo	on Draft of the Sh pect of any legal co	ropshire Local F	Plan legally
Please note examination Draft of the	that non-compliance with You will need to say wh Shropshire Local Plan leg r suggested revised word	n the duty to co-operat ny each modification wi gally compliant or sound	ll make the Regulati d. It will be helpful i	on 19: Pre-Submission f you are able to put
		(Plaaca co	ntinuo on a conara	te sheet if necessary)
supporting	t e: In your representation information necessary t n(s). You should not as s.	on you should provide o support your repres	succinctly all the e entation and your s	vidence and suggested
	stage, further submis the matters and issue			
Submission participate Please note	ur representation is on Draft of the Shrote in examination he that while this will provous may be asked at a	pshire Local Plan, earing session(s)? vide an initial indicatio	n of your wish to p	er it necessary to articipate in hearing
	I do not wish to partici	•		
— □ Yes	, I wish to participate ir	hearing session(s)		
	ase tick one box)	3 ()		
_	u wish to participate der this to be neces	_	ession(s), pleas	e outline why
Please not	t e: The Inspector will de	•		e sheet if necessary) to adopt to hear
those who l	have indicated that they your wish to participate	wish to participate in	hearing session(s)	. You may be asked
Signature:	R Dennis		Date:	20/02/2021
		Office Hee Only	Part A Referen	ce:
		Office Use Only	Part B Referen	ce:



Representation Form

SP2.2 unsound.

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

IIIaki	ing enective representations.				
Pai	rt B: Representation				
Na	ame and Organisation: Dr Robert Den	nis			
Q1.	To which document does this rep	presentation relate?			
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)				
Q2.	To which part of the document d	oes this representation relate?			
Parag	graph: Policy: SP8	Site: Policies Map:			
_	Do you consider the Regulation 1 opshire Local Plan is:	19: Pre-Submission Draft of the			
A.	Legally compliant	Yes: No:			
В.	Sound	Yes: No: 🗹			
	C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes: No: (Please tick as appropriate).				
Draf	ft of the Shropshire Local Plan is	onsider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission not legally compliant or is unsound or perate. Please be as precise as possible.			
of the		soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to			
Asse hous facil The info	essment, which has assessed settlement fur seholds within a settlement; and the extent lities. evidence within this Settlement of Hierard rmation and thereby Policy SP8 is not just Local Planning Authority considers that the	been identified through a Settlement of Hierarchy nction through the population and number of to which the settlement provides services and chy Assessment is not however based on accurate tified and is unsound. he methodology in the "Hierarchy of Settlements" responded to changes in local provision. However,			

both formal and informal consultation rounds have identified inaccuracies within this evidence base. The Local Planning Authority, rather than addressing these matters prior to the Regulation 19 consultation, has chosen to defer them, rendering the Plan, Policy SP8 and the associated schedule

The current scoring within the Hierarchy of Settlements for Clive includes a bowling green as an outdoor sports facility and a local convenience store. Neither of these facilities are available to the community, following the closure of both the bowling green and the local convenience shop.

The Local Planning Authority has previously recognised that there is no bowling green as the facility now falls under a private residential dwelling. This was confirmed in the Shropshire Council Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report (Oct 2020). This was a comprehensive assessment undertaken between 2018 and 2019, the accuracy of which has been confirmed by Shropshire Council. In this comprehensive assessment of bowls clubs and greens, Clive is not recorded as having such a facility. In spite of this, following consultation, the Local Planning Authority justifies it's retention as a local facility for Clive because of it's inclusion in the Council's Open Space Needs Assessment 2017. The Council's Open Needs Space Assessment 2017 is however based on information dating back to 2009. In the 2017 report only 500 sites were randomly assessed and "on-site surveys were not undertaken". The 2017 Open Space Needs Assessment itself acknowledges that "without a catchment area analysis it cannot detect the reality of variations in provision within each Place Plan Area". The 2017 Open Needs Assessment used historic data which was not locally verified and therefore includes significant inaccuracies. Indeed this out of date information has already been superseded by Shropshire Council's own formally adopted Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report.

With regard to Clive's local convenience store, the Local Planning Authority has acknowledged that it has received correspondence from both the Parish Council and the shop owner that the shop is no longer in use and now falls within a residential curtilage. This has been formalised through a change of use planning application from the owner to provide a residential annex for this former shop (ref 21/00048/FUL). The Local Planning Authority were notified of these changes through the Regulation 18 consultation but no associated updates have been made to the Regulation 19 Plan, rendering Policy SP8 unjustified and unsound.

The Local Planning Authority appears to have taken an inconsistent approach in reflecting changes in local services and facilities during the Local Plan development. Comparative changes took place in the village of Myddle with the closure of the local shop resulting in a change in designation to Open Countryside. Similar changes have taken place to Cockshutt and Westbury. No other Parish Council has been asked to provide additional evidence to support these changes in designation. Correspondence regarding changing local facilities between the Parish Councils and the Local Planning Authority appear to have been sufficient.

Clive Parish, unlike other villages, has been asked to provide further evidence of marketing more widely or suitable assurances about the potential future uses for the facility. This is despite the owner directly confirming that the former shop now falls within his residential curtilage and that there is no intention to remarket or repurpose. This has now been formalised through a change of use planning application. Should the Local Planning Authority require evidence of marketing, there is evidence via Rightmove that Clive Village Shop and Post Office has previously and fairly recently been placed on the open market with Halls Estate Agents. As with many rural shops it was not considered to be financially viable and no offers were made. In an attempt to try and maintain this local facility, the current owner did offer it to the community to run but this offer was not taken up. It was most recently let on a short term tenancy to a local resident but this lasted only a year. This is now the third time in recent years that the shop has closed.

In a response to the Parish, the Local Planning Authority has recognised that the Council's methodology does require continued review, especially in light of any potential change to service provision resulting from the Covid 19 pandemic. However, rather than addressing with appropriate changes to the Plan following the Regulation 18 consultation, they have pushed review of Clive's

Office Has Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

status to the proposed consultation on the Regulation 19 version of the Local Plan, asking the Parish to confirm whether the they consider the identification of the Community Hub to be sound. On this basis and in light of the up to date information on local services, the Plan and Policy SP8 are not considered sound as they are not effective or justified.

The approach taken by the Local Planning Authority is concerning as it has resulted in inconsistent treatment of settlements throughout the Plan development. As a result, it also potentially now means a "main modification" to the Plan which needs to be considered by the appointed Inspector rather than being appropriately addressed following the Regulation 18 Consultation round. This calls into question the soundness of the Plan's development and the validity of the previous consultation processes.

The Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base and Local Plan Policies are now based on inconsistencies which render them unsound. Revisions to the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base over the Plan development has led to relaxation around the requirement for Community Hubs to have employment and peak time public transport, which should be fundamental to the determination of 'significant rural service centres'. As a result, there are now inconsistencies in the policy, with the definition of Community Hubs continuing to be set out as 'settlements considered to provide a combination of services and facilities; public transport links (often operating regularly through peak travel times); significant employment opportunities; and high speed broadband generally considered sufficient to meet the day-to-day needs of their resident communities' but the assessment criteria and associated threshold no longer require such facilities to be present. This is illustrated by the example of Clive which when assessed clearly doesn't meet the criteria for a Community Hub in that there is a reliance upon other settlements to meet day to day needs such as employment and local convenience goods. The Plan and Policy SP8 is therefore considered to be unsound as the methodology and application of associated evidence does not meet the intended definition of a Community Hub and the overall settlement hierarchy needed to maintain sustainability and is therefore not justified.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

O5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

not meet the definition of a significant rural service centre as defined by the Local Plan Review
(Please continue on a senarate sheet if necessary

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested

Office Hee Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Submis	your representation is seeking a modificati ssion Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do pate in examination hearing session(s)?	_				
	ote that while this will provide an initial indication of s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm you	,				
	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)					
✓ ·	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)					
(Please tick one box)					
	Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:					
Past engagement with Shropshire Council in previous public consultation rounds has not addressed the issues raised in terms of the misrepresentation of local facilities and services.						
those wh	note: The Inspector will determine the most approp no have indicated that they wish to participate in hea m your wish to participate when the Inspector has in	riate procedure aring session(s).	. You may be asked			

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation				
	Name and Organisation:	Dr Robert Dennis		
Q1	Q1. To which document does this representation relate?			
\checkmark	Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	omission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan		
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)			
Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?				
Paragraph: Policy: SP15 Site: Policies Map:				
Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:				
Δ	. Legally compliant	Yes: No:		
В	. Sound	Yes: No: 🗸		
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes: No: (Please tick as appropriate).				

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Although Policy SP15 provides a framework for a long term vision of estate land within Shropshire, it is considered to be unsound because it does not recognise its relationship to other policies in the Plan and does not set out a framework for how this Policy relates to other policies in the Plan. It is therefore not considered effective. This Policy needs to be clear on the relationship between other rural settlements in the Plan, particularly Community Hubs. Without being specific on the relationship to Policy SP8, the Policy provides no framework for development for rural areas and poses the risk of the spreading and merging of development between the defined development boundaries of Community Hubs and neighbouring estate land. This would significantly increase housing numbers beyond the housing guideline for these settlements which has been set and consulted upon as the most appropriate on sustainability and character grounds. The Policy needs to reflect the distinction between Community Hubs and Estate Land to ensure these policies work in harmony and do not result in inappropriate rural development.

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally
compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters
you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to p forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	ut
Policy SP15 should explicitly reflect the relationship to Policies SP8, making clear that any land within whole estate plans falling within defined Community Hubs or Clusters needs to be consistent with the relevant settlement policy requirements and those of SP7 in relation to housing guidelines and development boundaries.	
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necess	arv)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.	<i>,,</i>
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.	
Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Possible	
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in heari session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.	ng
No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)	
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessal Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear	ry)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Signature: R Dennis Date:	20/02/2021
---------------------------	------------

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represei	ntation		
Name and Organisation:	Dr Robert Dennis		
Q1. To which document	does this repres	entation relate	?
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)			
Q2. To which part of the	e document does	this representa	ation relate?
Paragraph:	Policy: SP17.2	Site:	Policies Map:
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	_	Pre-Submission	Draft of the
A. Legally compliant		Yes:	No:
B. Sound		Yes:	No: 🗹
C. Compliant with the Dut	y to Co-operate	Yes:	No:
(Please tick as appropriate	•		
Q4. Please give details Draft of the Shropshire fails to comply with the	Local Plan is not	legally complia	
If you wish to support the lega	al compliance or sound	lness of the Regula	
As the overarching policies (SP2 and SP8) that guide Settlement policy 17.2 are unsound, Policy S17.2 is therefore also rendered unsound. Policy 17.2 is based on inaccurate and out of date evidence on settlement sustainability. In addition, there has been inconsistent consideration and treatment about the presence of local facilities and changing circumstances across parishes during the plan development.			
The current scoring within the Hierarchy of Settlements for Clive includes the bowling green as an outdoor sports facility and the local convenience store. Neither of these facilities exist following the closures of both the bowling green and local convenience shop. These both are under private residential ownership and use. As a result, the scoring threshold for significant rural service centres has not been met and the village of Clive			

does not meet the requirements to be deemed a Community Hub under Policy S17.2.

The Local Planning Authority itself has recognised that there is no bowling green as the facility now falls under a private residential dwelling. This was evidenced in the Shropshire Council Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report (Oct 2020). This was a comprehensive assessment undertaken between 2018 and 2019 the accuracy of which has been confirmed by Shropshire Council. In this assessment of bowls clubs and greens, Clive is not recorded as having such a facility. Despite this, consultation with the Local Planning Authority justifies it's retention as a local facility for Clive following it's inclusion in the Council's Open Space Needs Assessment which is based on out of date data from 2009. The Open Space Needs Assessment itself acknowledged that "without a catchment area analysis it cannot detect the reality of variations in provision within each Place Plan Area".

With regard to Clive's local convenience store, the Local Planning Authority has acknowledged that officers have received recent correspondence from the both the Parish Council and owner that the shop is no longer in use and now falls within a residential curtilage. This earlier engagement with the Local Planning Authority has been formalised into a change of use planning application from the owner to provide a residential annex for this former shop (ref 21/00048/FUL).

The Local Planning Authority appears to have been inconsistent in taking into account such changes in local services and facilities during the Plan development. Comparative changes have taken place in the village of Myddle where the closure of its local shop resulted in a swift change in designation to Open Countryside. Similar changes have taken place in Cockshutt and Westbury. There is no evidence that any other Parish Council has been asked to provide additional evidence to support this change in designation. Indeed, correspondence regarding changing local facilities between Parish Councils and the Local Planning Authority appear to have been sufficient. In comparison, there is evident disparity between the requirements placed on Clive Parish to further evidence these changes and a deferral by the Local Planning Authority to address via a main modification to the Regulation 19 Plan rather than addressing prior to the Regulation 19 consultation.

As a result, the Plan and Policy S17.2 do not meet the tests around being justified and effective and are therefore considered unsound.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

availability a significan	7.2 should remove reference to Clive as Community and accessibility of local facilities and services means trural service centre as defined by the Hierarchy of Local Plan policies.	ans it does not m	neet the definition of
	(Please continu	ue on a senarat	e sheet if necessary)
supporting in	e: In your representation you should provide succenformation necessary to support your representa (s). You should not assume that you will have a	cinctly all the evition and your s	vidence and uggested
	tage, further submissions may only be made he matters and issues he or she identifies fo		
Submission participate Please note	r representation is seeking a modification on Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do e in examination hearing session(s)? that while this will provide an initial indication of you may be asked at a later point to confirm your	your wish to pa	r it necessary to
No,	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
√ Yes,	I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
(Plea	ase tick one box)		
you consider Past enga	wish to participate in the hearing sessi- der this to be necessary: gement with Shropshire Council in previous ssed the issues raised in terms of the misrep ces.	public consul	tation rounds has
those who h	e: The Inspector will determine the most approprave indicated that they wish to participate in hea our wish to participate when the Inspector has in	riate procedure aring session(s).	You may be asked
Signature:	R Dennis	Date:	20/02/2021

Γ

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

٦