

## Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

### Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Stephen Downing
------------------------	-----------------

#### Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- (Please tick one box)

#### Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	Page 292	Policy:		Site:	Hadnall	Policies Map:	Wem
------------	----------	---------	--	-------	---------	---------------	-----

#### Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- A. Legally compliant Yes:  No:
- B. Sound Yes:  No:
- C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate Yes:  No:

(Please tick as appropriate).

#### Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The preferred site for the Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan for Hadnall (Wem area) has identified the site HDL006. I believe that this decision is unsound because there are other sites which are more appropriate as defined in the sustainability appraisal which have scored considerably higher and therefore should be placed as a priority over the site HDL006. For example the chosen site HDL006 has scored -1 where sites HDL0017 and HDL018 have scored +2 and +1 respectively. I also add that due to the scoring being so close, the difference of 3 points in real terms is statistically significant, and should as such be apportioned appropriately. Appraisal scores identified the "Range" of scores green colour code as a positive and white as zero, with amber being given with a negative score. Again how can a negative amber site have precedence over a green positive score site? This decision is not sound.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.**

*Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

The sustainability Appraisal has scored several sites higher than the chosen preferred site of HDL006. The requirements for selection of a site include the requirement that a landowner has expressed an intention to develop and or sell land for this purpose. Two sites which have scored higher HDL017 and HDL018 have been part of the landowners (Sansaw Estate) proposal for “a vision for the future” for Hadnall. This proposal secures a blueprint for the future in a structured and phased approach which future proofs any and all future developmental requirements for many years to come. Not just for this current local plan, but also the next and future generation of local plans. One plan, one strategy, one future.....one highly professional sustainable approach. HDL017 and or HDL018 should be the preferred chosen site for the Hadnall local plan.

*(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

**After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.**

**Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?**

*Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.*

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)  
 Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

*(Please tick one box)*

**Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

I wish to ensure and be confident in myself that the proceedings have been completed fairly, without bias or prejudice.

*(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature: [

\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 23/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference: