Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

these into account.

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Шакі	ng ene	ctive represer	itations.						
Pai	rt B:	Repres	entati	on					
Name and Organisation:			n: Diana	Diana Dennis					
Q1.	To w	hich docum	ent does	this repre	sentati	on rela	ite?		
\checkmark	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan								
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							pshire	
	Shrop	ats Regulation shire Local Pla Sase tick one b	an	ent of the Re	egulation	19: Pre	-Submissio	n Draft o	f the
Q2.	To w	hich part of	the docu	ument doe	s this r	eprese	ntation re	elate?	
Parag	graph:		Policy:	SP2	Site:		F	Policies Map:	
_	-	ou consider re Local Pla	_	ulation 19:	Pre-Su	bmissi	ion Draft	of the	
Α.	Legally	compliant			Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound				Yes:		No:	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
C.	Compli	ant with the [Outy to Co-	operate	Yes:		No:		
(F	Please t	tick as approp	riate).						
Dra	ft of t	se give deta he Shropsh omply with	ire Local	Plan is no	t legall	y comp	oliant or i	s unsou	und or
of th	e Shrop	to support the oshire Local Pla r comments.				_			
The application of the setting purp settled	munity policy i ication I service Hierarc ng out t ose bei ements	I stated that it Hubs, assessed dentifies Comas it is not bases and facilities by of Settlement he methodologing to inform Pand their sustasultation response.	ed through to munity Hulled on up to so throughous throughous throughous gy and concolicy SP2 winability.	the Hierarchy bs as significe date or accurate the Plan's of at 2020) support clusions for ice with specific a	of Settler ant rural strate evide developm orts the L lentifying	ments do service conce and ent has bocal Plan Shropshetent crit	entres but it the treatment been inconsing Review arnire's service teria about S	is unsount of char istent. and Policy e centres Shropshir	nd in its nges to SP2, by . The e's

and facilities in some of Shropshire's settlements, including the most recent Regulation 18: Pre-Submission Draft of the Local Plan (August 2020- September 2020), Policy SP2 does not take Previous formal and informal consultations on the Local Plan Review have repeatedly highlighted the misidentification of available local services for the village of Clive. However Clive remains under Policy SP2 as a Community Hub and deemed a 'significant rural service centre' but without the actual presence of the necessary associated services and facilities to support this. This therefore raises concerns about the soundness of the application of the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base in the development of the Regulation 19 Plan and the application of a consistent approach cross the whole of the Plan area. For example, the village of Myddle, like Clive, was initially identified as a Community Hub but following closure of the local convenience store in summer 2018, the evidence base was reviewed and it was determined that the village fell below the threshold to be considered a 'significant rural service centre'. The same consistent application of this methodology has not been applied to the village of Clive, despite falling under comparative circumstances with a closure of a local convenience store. The village thereby also falls below the threshold for a Community Hub. This was raised with Shropshire Council by the Parish Council, local residents and indeed the shop owner during the Regulation 18 consultation stage but the Regulation 19 Plan remains unchanged, rendering Policy S2.2 unsound as it is not justified and based upon out of date evidence.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy SP2 should remove reference to Clive as Community Hub as current evidence on
availability and accessibility of local facilities and services means it does not meet the definition of
a significant rural service centre as defined by the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base and
subsequent Local Plan policies.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

 $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

	, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) ease tick one box)						
_	Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:						
	(2)		1 15				
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.							
Signature:	Diana Dennis	Date:	20/02/2021				
		•					

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B	3: Represer	itation					
Name a	and Organisation:	Diana Dennis					
Q1. To 1	which document	t does this repre	sentatio	on relate?			
√ Reg	ulation 19: Pre-Sul	omission Draft of the	Shropsh	nire Local Plar	1		
	tainability Appraisa al Plan	l of the Regulation 1	9: Pre-S	ubmission Dra	aft of	the Shropshire	
☐ Shr	oitats Regulations A opshire Local Plan Hease tick one box)	ssessment of the Re	gulation	19: Pre-Subn	nissior	n Draft of the	
	•	e document does	s this re	enresentati	on re	elate?	
Paragraph	_	Policy: SP8	Site:		7	Policies Map:	
_	= 1	e Regulation 19:	Pre-Su	bmission D	raft (of the	
_	nire Local Plan i lly compliant	5:	Yes:	П	No:	П	
_	,						
B. Soun	ıa		Yes:		No:	<u>✓</u>	
	pliant with the Duty e <i>tick as appropriat</i>	•	Yes:		No:		
Draft of	the Shropshire	of why you cons Local Plan is not duty to co-oper	t legally	y compliant	or is	s unsound or	
fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.							
Assessme household facilities. The evide information The Local has been a However, evidence the control of the Local has been a control of the Local has been	Policy SP8 states that Community Hubs have been identified through a Settlement of Hierarchy Assessment, which has assessed settlement function through the population and number of households within a settlement; and the extent to which the settlement provides services and						

The current scoring within the Hierarchy of Settlements for Clive includes a bowling green as an

outdoor sports facility and a local convenience store. Neither of these facilities exist following the closure of both the bowling green and the local convenience shop. The shop has now closed three times in recent years and has been shut for significant periods. It is clearly unviable as a convenience store.

The Local Planning Authority has previously recognised that there is no bowling green as the facility now falls under a private residential dwelling. This was confirmed in the Shropshire Council Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report (Oct 2020). This should supersede the Open Space Needs Assessment 2017 as it is based on more up to date and comprehensive information. The Open Space Needs Assessment 2017 was a desk baed update of data from 2009.

The Local Planning Authority has acknowledged that it has received correspondence from both the Parish Council and the shop owner that the shop is no longer in use. This has been confirmed through a formal change of use planning application from the owner to provide a residential annex for this former shop (ref 21/00048/FUL). The Local Planning Authority were notified of these changes through the Regulation 18 consultation but no associated updates have been made to the Regulation 19 Plan, rendering Policy SP8 as unjustified and unsound.

The Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base and Local Plan Policies are now based on inconsistencies which render them unsound.

Revisions to the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base over the Plan development has led to inconsistencies in the policy with the definition of Community Hubs continuing to be set out as 'settlements considered to provide a combination of services and facilities; public transport links (often operating regularly through peak travel times); significant employment opportunities; and high speed broadband generally considered sufficient to meet the day-to-day needs of their resident communities'. The assessment criteria and associated threshold no longer require such facilities to be present. This is illustrated by the example of Clive which when assessed evidently doesn't meet the criteria for a Community Hub in that it relies upon other settlements to meet many day to day needs such as employment and convenience goods. The Plan and Policy SP8 is therefore considered to be unsound as the methodology and application of associated evidence does not meet the intended definition of a Community Hub and overall settlement hierarchy needed to maintain overall sustainability and is therefore not justified.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

•	should remove reference to Clive as Community H e definition of a significant rural service centre as d		
	(Please conti	nue on a senara	nte sheet if necessary)
supporting	te: In your representation you should provide su information necessary to support your represent on(s). You should not assume that you will have	ccinctly all the eation and your	evidence and suggested
	stage, further submissions may only be mad the matters and issues he or she identifies f		
Submissi	ur representation is seeking a modificat ion Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do te in examination hearing session(s)?		
Please note	e that while this will provide an initial indication o you may be asked at a later point to confirm you	,	
✓ No,	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
Yes	, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
(Ple	ease tick one box)		
_	u wish to participate in the hearing sess	sion(s), pleas	se outline why
you cons	ider this to be necessary:		
	(Please continu	e on a senarate	sheet if necessary)
those who h	e: The Inspector will determine the most appropolave indicated that they wish to participate in heavour wish to participate when the Inspector has it	riate procedure aring session(s)	to adopt to hear . You may be asked
Signature:	Diana Dennis	Date:	20/02/2021

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

		21 2 . 3p. 0001							
Par	rt B:	Repres	entati	on					
Na	me an	d Organisatior	n: Diana	Dennis					
Q1.	To w	hich docum	ent does	this repre	sentati	on relate?	?		
\checkmark	Regul	ation 19: Pre-	Submissio	n Draft of the	Shropsh	nire Local P	lan		
	Susta Local	inability Appra Plan	aisal of the	Regulation 1	9: Pre-S	ubmission	Draft of	the Shr	opshire
	Shrop	ats Regulation shire Local Pla ase tick one bo	an	ent of the Re	gulation	19: Pre-Su	bmissioı	n Draft (of the
Q2.	To w	hich part of	the docu	ıment does	s this re	epresenta	tion re	elate?	
Parag	graph:		Policy:	SP17.2	Site:		P	olicies Map:	
_	_	ou consider re Local Pla	_	ılation 19:	Pre-Su	bmission	Draft	of the	
Α.	Legally	compliant			Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound				Yes:		No:	\checkmark	
	•	ant with the D	•	operate	Yes:		No:		
		tick as approp							
Dra	ft of t	se give deta the Shropsh omply with	ire Local	Plan is no	t legally	y complia	nt or is	s unso	und or
of th	e Shro	to support the oshire Local Pla r comments.							
As policies (SP2 and SP8) that guide Settlement policy 17.2 are unsound, Policy S17.2 is therefore also rendered unsound. Policy 17.2 is based on inaccurate and out of date evidence on settlement sustainability. In addition, there has been inconsistent consideration and treatment about the presence of local facilities and changing circumstances across parishes during the plan development.									
gree ties The	en as a exist fo se botl	nt scoring with n outdoor spo ollowing the c n are under proor significant	orts facility losures of rivate resid	and the location the bowders and the location the location the location the location and the location the loc	al conve vling gre rship an	nience stor een and loc d use. As a	re. Neith al conv a result,	ner of the enience the sco	nese facili- e shop. oring

does not meet the requirements to be deemed a Community Hub under Policy S17.2.

The Local Planning Authority itself has recognised that there is no bowling green as the facility now falls under a private residential dwelling. This was evidenced in the Shropshire Council Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report (Oct 2020). This was a comprehensive assessment undertaken between 2018 and 2019 the accuracy of which has been confirmed by Shropshire Council. In this assessment of bowls clubs and greens, Clive is not recorded as having such a facility. Despite this, consultation with the Local Planning Authority justifies it's retention as a local facility for Clive following it's inclusion in the Council's Open Space Needs Assessment which is based on out of date data from 2009. The Open Space Needs Assessment itself acknowledged that "without a catchment area analysis it cannot detect the reality of variations in provision within each Place Plan Area".

With regard to Clive's local convenience store, the Local Planning Authority has acknowledged that officers have received recent correspondence from the both the Parish Council and owner that the shop is no longer in use and now falls within a residential curtilage. This earlier engagement with the Local Planning Authority has been formalised into a change of use planning application from the owner to provide a residential annex for this former shop (ref 21/00048/FUL).

The Local Planning Authority appears to have been inconsistent in taking into account such changes in local services and facilities during the Plan development. Comparative changes have taken place in the village of Myddle where the closure of its local shop resulted in a swift change in designation to Open Countryside. Similar changes have taken place in Cockshutt and Westbury. There is no evidence that any other Parish Council has been asked to provide additional evidence to support this change in designation. Indeed, correspondence regarding changing local facilities between Parish Councils and the Local Planning Authority appear to have been sufficient. In comparison, there is evident disparity between the requirements placed on Clive Parish to further evidence these changes and a deferral by the Local Planning Authority to address via a main modification to the Regulation 19 Plan rather than addressing prior to the Regulation 19 consultation.

As a result, the Plan and Policy S17.2 do not meet the tests around being justified and effective and are therefore considered unsound.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

Policy SP17.2 should remove reference to Clive as Community Hub as cavailability and accessibility of local facilities and services means it does a significant rural service centre as defined by the Hierarchy of Settlemes subsequent Local Plan policies.	not meet the definition of
(Please continue on a	separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly as supporting information necessary to support your representation and modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further submissions.	I the evidence and your suggested
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invi- based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for exam	
Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you conceptation participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wis	nsider it necessary to
session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request	
No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)	
Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)	
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), you consider this to be necessary:	please outline why
(Please continue on a sep Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate proceed those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing sess to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified to examination.	ion(s). You may be asked
Signature: Diana Dennis	Date: 20/02/2021

Γ

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

1