

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

| Pa | rt B: Represei | ntation | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| N | ame and Organisation: | Karen Howard | | | | | |
| Q1 | . To which documen | nt does this representation relate? | | | | | |
| V | Regulation 19: Pre-Su | bmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan | | | | | |
| | Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan | | | | | | |
| | Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box) | Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the | | | | | |
| Q2 | . To which part of th | ne document does this representation relate? | | | | | |
| Para | graph: P | Policy: S3.1 Site: STC002/P58a Policies Map: 3 | | | | | |
| _ | - | ne Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the | | | | | |
| | ropshire Local Plan | | | | | | |
| Α. | Legally compliant | Yes: No: No: | | | | | |
| В. | Sound | Yes: No: 🗸 | | | | | |
| | Compliant with the Dut | | | | | | |
| Dra fail If yo of th | aft of the Shropshire s to comply with the ou wish to support the leg | s of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you can be a suppliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draws or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. | | | | | |
| | as being necess being part of Be rated from Brid and does not fo The Local Plan houses and 49 2038 set out in Supply, howeve Area, with only | employment allocations at Stanmore are presented in the Local Plan Review sary to serve the needs of Bridgnorth, and the Stanmore area is presented a ridgnorth town. This is not the case. The Stanmore area is physically separting and the year of the stanmore area is physically separting and the steep wooded escarpment. It is located in open countryside orm a natural extension to Bridgnorth. Review Policy S3.1 indicates that the Bridgnorth area will deliver 1,800 ha of employment land in Bridgnorth. The Strategic Land Supply 2016 ha Appendix 6: Employment Development Guidelines and Employment Lander, indicates that a total of 40.5 ha of land is to be allocated in the Place Planter, indicates that a total of 40.5 ha of land is to be allocated in the Place Planter of Plant | | | | | |

ment land in Bridgnorth. The discrepancy appears to be around the amount of develop-

| ment proposed for emplo port this allocation. | yment uses at Stanmo | ore. It does not appear that the figures sup- |
|---|--|---|
| | • | , and has not been prepared on the basis of ements and take-ups of employment or em- |
| | | |
| | | |
| Q5. Please set out the modific | • | ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary nsider necessary to make the |
| Regulation 19: Pre-Submissio | n Draft of the Shect of any legal o | |
| Please note that non-compliance with examination. You will need to say why | the duty to co-opera each modification w lly compliant or sour | ill make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submissiond. It will be helpful if you are able to put |
| Sites STC002 and P58a – allocated for deleted from the Plan. There is no | or employment dev | elopment at Stanmore – should be |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Please co | ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary |
| Please note: In your representation supporting information necessary to modification(s). You should not assusubmissions. | support your repres | sentation and your suggested |
| After this stage, further submissibased on the matters and issues | | |
| | shire Local Plan | cation to the Regulation 19: Pre- , do you consider it necessary to ? |
| - | de an initial indication | on of your wish to participate in hearing |
| No, I do not wish to participat | e in hearing session | n(s) |
| Yes, I wish to participate in h | earing session(s) | |
| (Please tick one box) Q7. If you wish to participate | in the hearing s | ession(s), please outline why |
| you consider this to be necess | | (), [] |
| | Office Use Only | Part A Reference: |

Part B Reference:

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

| examination | examination. | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|------------|--|--|
| Signature: | Karen Howard | Date: | 26/02/2021 | | |



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

| Part | B: Repres | entatio | n | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------------|------------------|---------|
| Nam | e and Organisatio | n: Karen H | oward | | | | | |
| Q1. T | o which docum | ent does th | nis repr | esentati | on rela | ate? | | |
| √ R | legulation 19: Pre | -Submission [| Oraft of th | ne Shrops | hire Loc | al Plan | | |
| | Sustainability Appr ocal Plan | aisal of the Ro | egulation | 19: Pre-S | Submissi | ion Draft of | the Shr | opshire |
| | labitats Regulation Thropshire Local P (<i>Please tick one L</i> | an | t of the R | egulation | 19: Pre | -Submissio | n Draft | of the |
| Q2. T | o which part o | f the docum | ent do | es this r | eprese | entation re | elate? | |
| Paragra | ph: | Policy: SI | P1 | Site: | STC002 | | Policies Map: | 3 |
| _ | o you consider oshire Local Pla | | ation 19 | : Pre-Sเ | ıbmiss | ion Draft | of the | |
| A. Le | gally compliant | | | Yes: | | No: | | |
| B. Sc | ound | | | Yes: | | No: | \checkmark | |
| | ompliant with the | | erate | Yes: | | No: | | |
| Q4. P Draft fails t | (Please tick as appropriate). Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments. | | | | | | | |
| The aims of this policy are not supported by, or conflict with, the aims of other policies in the Plan Review. In particular and in relation to the proposed industrial allocations at Stanmore, because such development would not "contribute to meeting local needs" or to "making settlements more sustainable" as Policy SP1 requires. The settlement at Stanmore is very small and does not require 11.5ha. of employment development to meet its needs or to become more sustainable. The Policy is not based on sound evidence and is not justified as being a sound basis for the development of more detailed policies in the Plan. | | | | | | | | |

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at

| Draft of the | n. You will need to say why each modification will me Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. I our suggested revised wording of any policy or text. I | t will be helpful i | if you are able to put |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Sites STC0 | 02 and P58a – allocated for employment develop m the Plan. There is no requirement for an alte | ment at Stanmo | ore - should be |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | (Please conti | nue on a separa | te sheet if necessary) |
| supporting | te: In your representation you should provide sub- information necessary to support your represent on(s). You should not assume that you will have a | ccinctly all the eation and your | evidence and suggested |
| | stage, further submissions may only be mad the matters and issues he or she identifies f | | |
| Submiss | ur representation is seeking a modificat ion Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do te in examination hearing session(s)? | | _ |
| Please not | e that while this will provide an initial indication o you may be asked at a later point to confirm you | | |
| ☐ No, | I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) | | |
| √ Yes | , I wish to participate in hearing session(s) | | |
| (Pl | ease tick one box) | | |
| _ | u wish to participate in the hearing sess ider this to be necessary: | ion(s), pleas | e outline why |
| sites to a Review, a and Coun | s been considerable pressure placed on nearlecept the proposals put forward in the variound they have not been adequately represent ty Council official and Members. It is considerable, who will be those most affected by the heard. | us drafts of the ted by locally d dered essentia | e Local Plan elected Paarish Il that the wishes |
| those who h | e: The Inspector will determine the most approproave indicated that they wish to participate in heavour wish to participate when the Inspector has in | riate procedure aring session(s). | You may be asked |
| Signature: | Karen Howard | Date: | 26/02/2021 |

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

| Pa | rt B: Represer | ntation | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Na | ame and Organisation: | Karen Howard | | | | | |
| Q1. | . To which documen | t does this repi | esentati | ion relat | e? | | |
| V | Regulation 19: Pre-Su | bmission Draft of t | he Shrops | shire Local | Plan | | |
| | Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan | al of the Regulation | 19: Pre-S | Submissior | n Draft of | the Shro | pshire |
| | Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box) | | Regulatior | 19: Pre-S | Submissio | n Draft o | f the |
| Q2. | . To which part of th | e document do | es this r | epresen | tation re | elate? | |
| Para | graph: P | olicy: SP10 | Site: | STC002/I | | Policies Map: | 3 |
| _ | . Do you consider th opshire Local Plan i | _ | 9: Pre-Sı | ubmissio | n Draft | of the | |
| Α. | Legally compliant | | Yes: | | No: | | |
| В. | Sound | | Yes: | | No: | \checkmark | |
| C. | Compliant with the Dut | y to Co-operate | Yes: | | No: | | |
| • | Please tick as appropriat | • | | | | | |
| Dra | . Please give details aft of the Shropshire s to comply with the | Local Plan is n | ot legal | ly compl | iant or is | s unso | und or |
| of th | ou wish to support the leg he Shropshire Local Plan c out your comments. | | | | | | |
| | side, and are a | sed for employment ccessed through a co have gone to great l | untry park. | The Counc | il, and the | local resi | dents in the |
| | eas in line with countryside will acter. There is proposed alloca | he Local Plan Review n national guidance. I be allowed where to no guarantee that th ations are an attemp son why such allocat | Policy SP1 hey mainta ne proposed ot to side-s | 10 says tha in or enhan I allocations tep such lir | t developm ce countrys will produ mitations fo | nent prop side vitali oce that e or future | osals in the ty and charffect, so the developers. |
| | The reasons wh | y this area of count | ryside shou | ıld not be p | rotected a | s the ma | jority of the |

| rest of the rural area of the Councy are, have not been justified and the need for the proposed allocation has not been properly evidenced. |
|---|
| |
| (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above. |
| Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. |
| deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified. |
| (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary |
| Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination. |
| Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre- Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? |
| Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. |
| No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) |
| Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box) |
| Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: |
| Part A Reference: |

Office Use Only

Part B Reference:

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

| examination | examination. | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|------------|--|--|
| Signature: | Karen Howard | Date: | 26/02/2021 | | |



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

| Pai | rt B: Represer | ntation | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Na | ame and Organisation: | Karen Howard | | | | | |
| Q1. | To which documen | t does this representation relate? | | | | | |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | Regulation 19: Pre-Su | bmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan | | | | | |
| | Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan | | | | | | |
| | Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box) | Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the | | | | | |
| Q2. | To which part of th | ne document does this representation relate? | | | | | |
| Parag | graph: P | Policy: SP11 Site: STC002/P58a Policies Map: 3 | | | | | |
| _ | Do you consider the opshire Local Plan i | ne Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the | | | | | |
| | Legally compliant | Yes: No: N | | | | | |
| | Sound | Yes: No: 🗹 | | | | | |
| | Compliant with the Dut | · | | | | | |
| Dra fails If you of th | of the Shropshire s to comply with the now wish to support the leg | s of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you can be a suppliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draw or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box is the suppliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box is the suppliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box is the suppliance with the duty to co-operate. | | | | | |
| | Belt and Policy of the land demonstrated. Stanmore, and proposals at Sta | sosed for employment development at Stanmore are located in the Green SP11 sets out to protect the Green Belt. The proposed allocation would refrom Green Belt protection without any exceptional circumstance being There is no indication of which businesses might wish to locate at so the proposal appears to be a device to ensure that future development anmore are not subject to the limitations imposed by Policy SP11, that is, have to demonstrate that 'very special circumstances' exist. | | | | | |
| | National policy | rs that the Green Belt will be protected in accordance with national policy. This to protect green belts from 'inappropriate development'. Inappropriate The anything that detracts from the fundamental functions of green belts. | | | | | |

which are to preserve their openness and to ensure their permanence. The National Plan-

ning Policy Framework says, at para 145, that local planning authorities should regard the construction of buildings as being "inappropriate" on a green belt, unless they are for certain, specified, uses – none of which include new development for industrial purposes. The release of 11.5ha of land from the Green Belt at Stanmore for use for industrial or storage purposes, and the construction industrial buildings could not fail to have an adverse effect on the Green Belt which will continue to surround the proposed allocated sites. The proposed release of land from the green belt has not been justified, and the need for the land to be made available for employment development has not been evidenced. It is likely that, if approved, this allocation would be used for further unjustified incursions into the green belt in the future. (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Sites STC002 and P58a - allocated for employment development at Stanmore - should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified. (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination. Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

| Office Use Only | Part A Reference: |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Office Use Only | Part B Reference: |

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

| examination | examination. | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|------------|--|--|
| Signature: | Karen Howard | Date: | 26/02/2021 | | |



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

| Pa | rt B: Represer | tation | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| N | ame and Organisation: | Karen Howard | | | | | |
| Q1. | To which documen | does this represer | ntati | ion rela | te? | | |
| V | Regulation 19: Pre-Sul | omission Draft of the Sh | nrops | hire Loca | l Plan | | |
| | Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan | | | | | | |
| | Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box) | ssessment of the Regul | ation | 19: Pre- | Submissio | n Draft o | f the |
| Q2 . | To which part of th | e document does tl | his r | epreser | ntation re | elate? | |
| Para | graph: Appendix 6 | olicy: S3.1 | Site: | STC002, | /P58a | Policies Map: | 3 |
| _ | Do you consider th | | e-Sı | ubmissi | on Draft | of the | |
| | opshire Local Plan i | | | | | | |
| Α. | Legally compliant | · | Yes: | Ш | No: | Ш | |
| В. | Sound | , | Yes: | | No: | \checkmark | |
| | Compliant with the Duty | • | Yes: | | No: | | |
| Dra fail If you | Please give details of the Shropshire s to comply with the bu wish to support the legger of Shropshire Local Plan cout your comments. | Local Plan is not le duty to co-operate al compliance or soundne | egall e. Pl ess o | ly comp ease be f the Regu | liant or i as preci ulation 19: | s unsou ise as p Pre-Subm | ind or ossible. nission Draft |
| | Appendix 6 indi tries. This is not It seems unlikely a new garden v cation away fro Stanmore would However, they v where there is r | t the proposed allocation cates that there is a Prefe detailed, but it is very work that a recycling plant wo llage as proposed for Task m the main urban area—I, if the Review Plan is appould still be surrounded be o need for such developman inappropriate location | rred Strying uld be ey. To very prove y Greent. | Site for Red This sugges possibly S d, be remo en Belt and It is consid | cycling and a brand-ne sts that it m tanmore. oved from (d would be dered that s | Environme ew industra light be se The sites p Green Belt located in uch a loca | ental Indus- ial estate in t up in a lo- proposed at protection. countryside tion would, |

source of environmental problems, and an intensification of that use would not be an ac-

ceptable situation.

The calculation of employment land need for Bridgnorth in the Shropshire Employment Land Review 2019 indicates that an "additional provision to address local circumstances" of 12 ha is needed. The precise reasons for needing this additional provision, and exactly how the figure of 12 ha has been arrived at is not given.

There is no "local circumstance" that would justify such a provision. This is evidenced by the current large areas of undeveloped land (some never having been developed), and empty factory units at Stanmore Industrial Estate. If the local circumstance is so acute, these areas would have been developed/redeveloped, by now. The fact that, in the over 40 years that the Stanmore Business Park has been in operation, the existing land and buildings have never been fully developed and put to operational use, indicates that an additional 11.5 ha as the Plan proposes is not justified or evidenced, and there is no certainty that it can be delivered.

The only certainty is that it will adversely affect the green belt, and act as a precedent for any similar unjustified incursions into the green belt if the future.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

| Sites STC002 and P58a – allocated for employment development at Stanmore – should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

| Office Use Only | Part A Reference: |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Part B Reference: |

| session(s) No, ✓ Yes | te that while this will provide an initial indication of you may be asked at a later point to confirm you, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) | ur request to pa | | |
|---|---|------------------|---------------|--|
| Q7. If yo | please tick one box) Sou wish to participate in the hearing sesses sider this to be necessary: | sion(s), pleas | e outline why | |
| There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard. | | | | |
| (Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination. | | | | |
| Signature: | Karen M Howard | Date: | 26/02/2021 | |

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference: