## Representation Form

Please complete a separate Part B Representation Form (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One Part A Representation Form must be enclosed with your Part B Representation Form(s).
We have also published a separate Guidance Note to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

## Part B: Representation

$\square$

## Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

## $\square$ <br> Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
(Please tick one box)

## Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph: $\square$ Policy: | Policies |
| ---: |
| Map: |

## Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

A. Legally compliant
B. Sound
C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate

(Please tick as appropriate).

## Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.
There is a common law duty to take the results of a consultation "conscientiously into account". Therefore the inspector must investigate the responses of nearly 300 residents from Cressage opposing becoming a Community hub in the previous round of consultation and why feedback was not given and changes were not made considering the strong response from the community about the way in which Cressage has been selected for Community Hub status being incorrect. There are several areas of contention in the scoring of services, facilities and employment for Cressage and it is clear the scoring system that determined Cressage as a hub is incorrect, unfair and unjust.
I request that the Inspector at the forthcoming Public Inquiry comes and inspects the village facilities to determine if the points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which will last for at least 20 years.


#### Abstract

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at $\mathbf{Q 4}$ above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. I ask that Shropshire Council and the Inspector disclose the numbers of people in support of Cressage becoming a Community hub status compared to those who opposed it. I ask this as a formal request for information under the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

I request that the Inspector comes and inspects the village facilities to determine if the points scoring assessment by Shropshire Council is appropriate. Should it be found that a deduction of points is required to be made I believe that Cressage would remain as Open Countryside status which will last for at least 20 years and will mean that no further large scale housing developments will occur within or around the village over this period of time.


(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.
After this stage, further submissions may ondie 而ade if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: PreSubmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.
$\boxed{\text { No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) }}$

- 

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
(Please tick one box)
Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:


(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature: $\square$


Part A Reference:
Part B Reference:

