



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	BRENDA SANDILANDS
------------------------	-------------------

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan

(Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	5.41 1.16 5.35	Policy:	SP2	Site:	-	Policies Map:	-
------------	----------------------	---------	-----	-------	---	---------------	---

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| A. Legally compliant | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Sound | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input type="checkbox"/> |

(Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The main element is that the Hierarchy of Settlements is "unsound." As this determines the status of many settlements in the County, the error has been repeated in its determination. The requirement in H of S Para 5.41 SP2 initially required "significant employment" and "peak time public transport". These two requirements were relaxed & substituted is "a larger range of services" e.g. playground, mobile libraries, which do not compensate for "employment" and "peak time public transport". We have moved from OBJECTIVE TO SUBJECTIVE.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Cont'd.

Brenda Sandilands.

Cont. Q 4

P.1
cont'd

Moving from Objective to Subjective in the H of S. can manipulate the scoring of the settlements thro' out the County, thus the Threshold score of 48 pts. for HUB status is flawed. It is neither "appropriate" or "robust" ^{reckoning.}

If the wording of Para 5.4.1 in

H of S was retained :-

"peak time public transport" and

"significant employment" and more

attuned to Council's Climate Change

Emergency Policies SP3 Climate

Change and Sustainability

Objectives SO5, 6 & 12 which

seek to minimise car travel for

sustainable travel - public

transport. This ^{would} add to soundness.

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1) Hierarchy of Settlements to be amended accordingly. P. 5.41 "relaxation" to be removed. "where there is no regular public transport.... or multiple significant employment opportunities.... a larger range of services.... to compensate to achieve the specified point's threshold. This is subjective. The full Hub criteria: Para 1.16 Table 2 and Para 5.35 Table 6 must be used to determine status: objectively. Trefonen has neither employment nor public

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) cont'd.

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
 - Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
- (Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

As a resident of Trefonen I would like to hear the reason(s) why Shropshire Council's planning department have continued to ignore these flaws since 2017, and given Trefonen HUB status, when the evidence is contrary. cont'd.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination

Signature: [] Date: 20/2/21

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

Q5 cont'd. Pt

Brenda Sandilands

Trefonen has neither "employment" nor "public transport" for HUB status to be met.

~~Q5~~

2. The list of Settlements will need to be reviewed to be in compliance with HUB criteria.

3. The Draft Plan settlement development status & allocations amended.

Q7 Cont'd

Brenda Sandilands :

As a village with Rural status, land has been submitted to meet Affordable Housing needs for the residents. ~

This would be closer to SP3 Climate Change and Sustain-ability Objectives SO5, SO6 & SO12.

It is necessary for me to understand this, so I can explain it to residents in this village (Triefonen) and any other of the settlements that have been affected by the "original" errors.