

### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Pai	rt B: Represen	itation			
Na	ame and Organisation:	Robert Baker			
Q1.	To which document	does this representation relate?			
$\checkmark$	Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	omission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan				
	Habitats Regulations As Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the			
Q2.	To which part of the	document does this representation relate?			
Parag	graph: Appendix 6	olicy: S3.1 Site: STC002/P58a Policies Map: 3			
Q3.	Do you consider the	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the			
Shro	pshire Local Plan is:				
A.	Legally compliant	Yes: ☐ No: ✓			
В.	Sound	Yes: No:			
	Compliant with the Duty	· <u> </u>			
Draf fails If you of the	t of the Shropshire I to comply with the wish to support the legal	of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. I compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to			
	The note agains Appendix 6 indice This is not detaile It seems unlikely new garden villa away from the n would, if the Re they would still b	It the proposed allocation of employment land in Bridgnorth contained in ates that there is a Preferred Site for Recycling and Environmental Industries. ed, but it is very worrying.  It that a recycling plant would be set up on a brand-new industrial estate in a ge as proposed for Tasley. This suggests that it might be set up in a location nain urban area — very possibly Stanmore. The sites proposed at Stanmore view Plan is approved, be removed from Green Belt protection. However, he surrounded by Green Belt and would be located in countryside where there such development. It is considered that such a location would, in any event, intel location for recycling activities. There is already such an operation not			

ronmental problems, and an intensification of that use would not be an acceptable situation.

The calculation of employment land need for Bridgnorth in the Shropshire Employment Land Review 2019 indicates that an "additional provision to address local circumstances" of 12 ha is needed. The precise reasons for needing this additional provision, and exactly how the figure of 12 ha has been arrived at is not given.

There is no "local circumstance" that would justify such a provision. This is evidenced by the current large areas of undeveloped land (some never having been developed), and empty factory units at Stanmore Industrial Estate. If the local circumstance is so acute, these areas would have been developed/redeveloped, by now. The fact that, in the over 40 years that the Stanmore Business Park has been in operation, the existing land and buildings have never been fully developed and put to operational use, indicates that an additional 11.5 ha as the Plan proposes is not justified or evidenced, and there is no certainty that it can be delivered. The only certainty is that it will adversely affect the green belt, and act as a precedent for any similar unjustified incursions into the green belt if the future.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Sites STC002 and P58a – allocated for employment development at Stanmore – should be deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified.				

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office use offing	Part B Reference:

$\overline{\checkmark}$	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)			
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)			
_	f you wish to participate in the hearing sessi onsider this to be necessary:	on(s), please	e outline why	
There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.				
(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary) <b>Please note:</b> The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.				
Signatı	ure: R. J. Baker	Date:	23/02/2021	

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation								
Name and	l Organisation:	Robe	ert Baker					
Q1. To whi	ch document	does	this repre	sentatio	n relate?			
<b>✓</b> Regula	ntion 19: Pre-Sub	missi	on Draft of t	ne Shrops	hire Local Plan	l		
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan					pshire		
☐ Shrops	Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)							
Q2. To whi	ch part of the	docı	ıment doe	s this re	presentatio	n rel	ate?	
Paragraph:	Po	olicy:	S3.1	Site:	STC002/P58a		Policies Map:	3
	consider the Local Plan is		ulation 19	Pre-Sul	bmission Dr	aft o	f the	
A. Legally	compliant			Yes:		No:	$\checkmark$	
B. Sound				Yes:		No:		
•	ant with the Duty k as appropriate		o-operate	Yes:		No:		
Draft of the fails to con If you wish to of the Shrops	give details of the Shropshire Inply with the support the legal hire Local Plan or	Local duty   comp	Plan is no to co-ope liance or sou	t legally rate. Ple	compliant ase be as p the Regulation	or is recis 19: Pi	unsou e as po re-Subm	nd or ossible. ission Draft
set out your c	The proposed er as being necesso being part of Branded from Bridg does not form a  The Local Plan Rand 49 ha of emin Appendix 6: Enindicates that a 37.7 ha to be pro	ary to s idgnorth l natura eview F ployme mployn total o	erve the need the town. This by a steep wood lextension to Policy \$3.1 indicate the properties of the	s of Bridgno is not the c oded escarp Bridgnorth cates that t Ignorth. Th nent Guideli and is to be	orth, and the Sta case. The Stanm nment. It is loca he Bridgnorth and the Strategic Land ines and Employ allocated in the	nmore ore ar ted in o rea wil Suppl ment L P Place	e area is pea is pea is phy open coun I deliver 1 y 2016 – 2 and Supp Plan Are	nresented as sically sepa- ntryside and ,800 houses 2038 set out ly, however, ea, with only

Plan does not explain why it is necessary to allocate 49 ha of employment land in Bridgnorth.

		unt of development proposed for employment igures support this allocation.
, ,		d, and has not been prepared on the basis of rements and take-ups of employment or em-
	(Please co	ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary)
<b>Regulation 19: Pre-Submissi</b>	ion Draft of the Sh	nsider necessary to make the propshire Local Plan legally compliance or soundness matters
you have identified at Q4 ab		omphance or soundness matters
,	hy each modification wi gally compliant or soun	ll make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission d. It will be helpful if you are able to put
Sites STC002 and P58a – allocate	d for employment dev	velopment at Stanmore – should be a alternative site to be identified.
	(D)	
Please note: In your representation	•	ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary) o succinctly all the evidence and
supporting information necessary t modification(s). You should not as submissions.	to support your repres	entation and your suggested
	ssions may only he i	made if invited by the Inspector,
based on the matters and issue		
O6. If your representation is	s seeking a modific	cation to the Regulation 19: Pre-
	pshire Local Plan	, do you consider it necessary to
•		on of your wish to participate in hearing
session(s), you may be asked at a	•	
No, I do not wish to partici		ni(S)
Yes, I wish to participate in	n hearing session(s)	
(Please tick one box)		
Q7. If you wish to participat you consider this to be neces	_	ession(s), please outline why
		Part A Reference:
	Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:	R. J. Baker	Date:	23/02/1921

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office use Offiy	Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represer	ntation
Name and Organisation:	Robert Baker
Q1. To which document	does this representation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Sul	bmission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	al of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the	e document does this representation relate?
Paragraph: Po	olicy: SP1 Site: STC002/P58a Policies Map: 3
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the
A. Legally compliant	Yes: No: 🗹
B. Sound	Yes: No:
C. Compliant with the Duty	
(Please tick as appropriate	
<b>Draft of the Shropshire</b>	of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
	ol compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to
the Plan Reviev Stanmore, beca "making settlen is very small and to become more	is policy are not supported by, or conflict with, the aims of other policies in w. In particular and in relation to the proposed industrial allocations at use such development would not "contribute to meeting local needs" or to ments more sustainable" as Policy SP1 requires. The settlement at Stanmore d does not require 11.5ha. of employment development to meet its needs or e sustainable.  It based on sound evidence and is not justified as being a sound basis for the
<u> </u>	f more detailed policies in the Plan.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally
compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters
you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at

Draft of t	on. You will need to say why each modification will m ne Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It our suggested revised wording of any policy or text. F	will be helpful if	you are able to put
Sites S	C002 and P58a – allocated for employment developer the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative the Plan.	oment at Stanmo	ore – should be
	(Please contir	nue on a separat	e sheet if necessary)
supportii	<b>ote:</b> In your representation you should provide suc g information necessary to support your represent ion(s). You should not assume that you will have a	ccinctly all the evaluation and your so	vidence and uggested
	s stage, further submissions may only be mad the matters and issues he or she identifies fo		
Submis	our representation is seeking a modificati sion Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do ate in examination hearing session(s)?		
Please no	te that while this will provide an initial indication or ), you may be asked at a later point to confirm you		
<b>√</b>	o, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s	)	
	es, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
(	Please tick one box)		
	ou wish to participate in the hearing sess sider this to be necessary:	ion(s), please	outline why
sites to Review and Co of loca	as been considerable pressure placed on near accept the proposals put forward in the vario and they have not been adequately represeninty Council official and Members. It is consi people, who will be those most affected by the heard.	us drafts of the ted by locally o dered essentia	e Local Plan elected Paarish I that the wishes
	(Please continu	e on a separate	sheet if necessary)
those wh	<b>ote:</b> The Inspector will determine the most approped have indicated that they wish to participate in he a your wish to participate when the Inspector has in ion.	aring session(s).	You may be asked
Signatur	: R. J. Baker	Date:	23/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation				
Name and Organisation:	Robert Baker			
Q1. To which document	does this representation relate?			
Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	mission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan			
Sustainability Appraisal Local Plan	of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire			
Habitats Regulations As Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the			
Q2. To which part of the	document does this representation relate?			
Paragraph: Po	licy: SP10 Site: STC002/P58a Policies Map: 3			
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is:	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the			
A. Legally compliant	Yes: No: 🗸			
B. Sound	Yes: No:			
C. Compliant with the Duty (Please tick as appropriate)	<u> </u>			
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.				
	compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to			
side, and are ac	ed for employment development at Stanmore is situated in open country- sessed through a country park. The Council, and the local residents in the have gone to great lengths in the past to preserve this situation.			
eas in line with n tryside will be al There is no guard allocations are a	e Local Plan Review proposes to restrict economic development in rural arational guidance. Policy SP10 says that development proposals in the counlowed where they maintain or enhance countryside vitality and character. Intee that the proposed allocations will produce that effect, so the proposed in attempt to side-step such limitations for future developers. There is no allocations should be made, the countryside should continue to be pro-			

of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ld not be protected as the majority of the rest been justified and the need for the proposed
	(Please c	ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Regulation 19: P	re-Submission Draft of the Sound, in respect of any legal o	nsider necessary to make the nropshire Local Plan legally compliance or soundness matters
Please note that non- examination. You will Draft of the Shropshi	compliance with the duty to co-opera need to say why each modification w	ill make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission nd. It will be helpful if you are able to put
deleted from the Pl	an. There is no requirement for a	n alternative site to be identified.
	(Please o	ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary)
supporting informat	ur representation you should provid on necessary to support your repre u should not assume that you will ha	sentation and your suggested
	orther submissions may only be sers and issues he or she identifi	made if invited by the Inspector, es for examination.
Submission Draf participate in ex	t of the Shropshire Local Plar amination hearing session(s)	
	le this will provide an initial indicati be asked at a later point to confirn	on of your wish to participate in hearing n your request to participate.
No, I do not	wish to participate in hearing sessi	on(s)
<del></del> ·	o participate in hearing session(s)	
(Please tick	ŕ	accion(a) places cutiliza cultura
	o participate in the nearing s s to be necessary:	ession(s), please outline why
	Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Office Ode Offly	5 . 5 5 6

Part B Reference:

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature:	R. J. Baker	Date:	23/02/2021

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:



### Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represen	ntation
Name and Organisation:	Robert Baker
Q1. To which document	does this representation relate?
Regulation 19: Pre-Sub	omission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
Sustainability Appraisa Local Plan	l of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire
Habitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)	ssessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the
Q2. To which part of the	document does this representation relate?
Paragraph: Po	olicy: SP11 Site: STC002/P58a Policies Map: 3
Q3. Do you consider the Shropshire Local Plan is	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the
A. Legally compliant	Yes: No: 🗹
B. Sound	Yes: No:
C. Compliant with the Duty	
Q4. Please give details of the Shropshire fails to comply with the	of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
	I compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to
and Policy SP11 the land from G strated. There so the proposal Stanmore are no	sed for employment development at Stanmore are located in the Green Belt sets out to protect the Green Belt. The proposed allocation would remove Green Belt protection without any exceptional circumstance being demonis no indication of which businesses might wish to locate at Stanmore, and appears to be a device to ensure that future development proposals at ot subject to the limitations imposed by Policy SP11, that is, that proposals trate that 'very special circumstances' exist.
	s that the Green Belt will be protected in accordance with national policy. s to protect green belts from 'inappropriate development'. Inappropriate de-

velopment can be anything that detracts from the fundamental functions of green belts,

which are to preserve their openness and to ensure their permanence. The National Planning Policy Framework says, at para 145, that local planning authorities should regard the construction of buildings as being "inappropriate" on a green belt, unless they are for certain, specified, uses – none of which include new development for industrial purposes. The release of 11.5ha of land from the Green Belt at Stanmore for use for industrial or storage purposes, and the construction industrial buildings could not fail to have an adverse effect on the Green Belt which will continue to surround the proposed allocated sites.

The proposed release of land from the green belt has not been justified, and the need for the land to be made available for employment development has not been evidenced. It is likely that, if approved, this allocation would be used for further unjustified incursions into the green belt in the future.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

, 35
Sites STC002 and P58a – allocated for employment development at Stanmore – should be
deleted from the Plan. There is no requirement for an alternative site to be identified.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

$\checkmark$	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
	Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) (Please tick one box)
	(Fredse tick one box)

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:	
	Part B Reference:	

## Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

There has been considerable pressure placed on nearby residents to the proposed sites to accept the proposals put forward in the various drafts of the Local Plan Review, and they have not been adequately represented by locally elected Paarish and County Council official and Members. It is considered essential that the wishes of local people, who will be those most affected by the proposed development, should be heard.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note:** The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

exammation				
Signature:	R. J. Baker	Date:	23/02/2021	

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference: