

Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation

Name and Organisation:	Kathleen Kimber (VDS Trefonen, Trefach & Nantmawr)
------------------------	--

Q1. To which document does this representation relate?

- Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan
- (Please tick one box)

Q2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph:	<input type="text"/>	Policy:	<input type="text" value="X"/>	Site:	<input type="text" value="X"/>	Policies Map:	<input type="text"/>
------------	----------------------	---------	--------------------------------	-------	--------------------------------	---------------	----------------------

Q3. Do you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is:

- | | | | | |
|--|------|--------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| A. Legally compliant | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Sound | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | Yes: | <input type="checkbox"/> | No: | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- (Please tick as appropriate).

Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The definition of Hub has been tweaked in the Hierarchy of Settlements . One cannot help but wonder why, given the wording of para 5.41, it is no longer a requirement that a Hub can provide both significant employment and peak time public transport. Is this an attempt to squeeze the criteria to fit certain locations?

Climate change and sustainability are arguably the major issues facing us at the moment, as many young people will tell us. The reduction of carbon emissions and car travel are important and the relaxation of this wording could be seen as contrary to Objectives SO5, 6 and 12.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Village Design Statement for Trefonen Treflach and Nantmar (2006 and 2016) make it absolutely clear that Trefonen neither offers significant employment opportunities nor does it enjoy any peak time public transport, unless you are a school child. The designation of Trefonen as a Hub should be changed. It should be designated 'Other rural' and deleted from Policy S14.2 Community Hubs – Oswestry Place Plan Area.

The VDS also makes it clear that small scale housing development to meet identified local need and for local people should be carefully managed. The location of dwellings should be within the settlement, respect the identified desirable built landscape characteristics, and number no more than 8 -10 dwellings. It was considered helpful to the sustainability of the community to look at 4 – 6 dwellings together. We envisaged a small number of Affordable Homes, houses and bungalows which would meet the needs of starters on the housing ladder, those wishing to down-size, and those with specific access needs. Large scale developments are inappropriate. We wish to see Trefonen designated as Other Rural with Affordable Homes provided by the Rural Exceptions Policies DP4, 5, 6 and 7.

It appears clear that all the significant employment development proposed for this area is on the far side of Oswestry from Trefonen. The 'main' road from Trefonen to Oswestry is an unclassified road and Oswestry could not accommodate peak time traffic from Trefonen, particularly as Oswestry School, the RC Primary School and pupils for the Marches School are all unavoidable when accessing Oswestry.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

- No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
 Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

(Please tick one box)

Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination

Signature: [

Date: 26/02/2021

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference: