Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

these into account.

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

IIIaKi	ng ene	ctive represer	itations.						
Pai	rt B:	Repres	entati	on					
Name and Organisation:			n: Emma	Emma Turner					
Q1.	To w	hich docum	ent does	this repre	sentati	on rela	ate?		
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan								
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan								
	Shrop	ats Regulation shire Local Pla ase tick one b	an	ent of the Ro	egulation	19: Pre	-Submissio	n Draft (of the
Q2.	To w	hich part of	the docu	ıment doe	s this r	eprese	ntation re	elate?	
Parag	graph:		Policy:	SP2	Site:		F	Policies Map:	
_	-	ou consider re Local Pla	_	ulation 19	: Pre-Su	ıbmiss	ion Draft	of the	
A.	Legally	compliant			Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound				Yes:		No:	\checkmark	
C.	Compli	ant with the [Outy to Co-	operate	Yes:		No:		
(F	Please	tick as approp	riate).						
Dra	ft of t	se give deta he Shropsh omply with	ire Local	Plan is no	t legall	y comp	oliant or i	s unso	und or
of th	e Shro	to support the oshire Local Pla r comments.				_			
The application of the setting purp settled	munity policy i ication I service Hierarc ng out to ose bei ements	I stated that it was Hubs, assessed identifies Comas it is not bases and facilities thy of Settlement he methodologing to inform Pand their sustantsultation responses	d through to munity Huled on up to sthroughout this (Augusty) and concolicy SP2 vinability.	he Hierarchy bs as signific date or accur at the Plan's of the 2020) supp clusions for ice with specific	of Settles ant rural strate evided developments the Lentifying and consi	ments do service conce and ent has local Plan Shropsl stent crit	cument. centres but it the treatment been inconsin Review an hire's service teria about S	is unsount of cha istent. and Policy be centres Shropshi	and in its nges to SP2, by s. The re's

and facilities in some of Shropshire's settlements, including the most recent Regulation 18: Pre-Submission Draft of the Local Plan (August 2020- September 2020), Policy SP2 does not take Previous formal and informal consultations on the Local Plan Review have repeatedly highlighted the misidentification of available local services for the village of Clive. However Clive remains under Policy SP2 as a Community Hub and deemed a 'significant rural service centre' but without the actual presence of the necessary associated services and facilities to support this. This therefore raises concerns about the soundness of the application of the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base in the development of the Regulation 19 Plan and the application of a consistent approach cross the whole of the Plan area. For example, the village of Myddle, like Clive, was initially identified as a Community Hub but following closure of the local convenience store in summer 2018, the evidence base was reviewed and it was determined that the village fell below the threshold to be considered a 'significant rural service centre'. The same consistent application of this methodology has not been applied to the village of Clive, despite falling under comparative circumstances with a closure of a local convenience store. The village thereby also falls below the threshold for a Community Hub. This was raised with Shropshire Council by the Parish Council, local residents and indeed the shop owner during the Regulation 18 consultation stage but the Regulation 19 Plan remains unchanged, rendering Policy S2.2 unsound as it is not justified and based upon out of date evidence.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy SP2 should remove reference to Clive as Community Hub as current evidence on
availability and accessibility of local facilities and services means it does not meet the definition of
a significant rural service centre as defined by the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base and
subsequent Local Plan policies.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Q6. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

 $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Office Hee Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

	s, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) Please tick one box)						
-	Q7. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:						
	•	•	sheet if necessary)				
those who	te: The Inspector will determine the most approper have indicated that they wish to participate in head your wish to participate when the Inspector has it on.	aring session(s).	You may be asked				
Signature:	Emma Turner	Date:	20/02/2021				

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part	B: Represer	ntation					
Nam	e and Organisation:	Emma Turner					
Q1. T	o which documen	t does this repres	entatio	on relate?			
✓ R	Regulation 19: Pre-Su	omission Draft of the	Shropsh	nire Local Plar	1		
	Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan						
	labitats Regulations A Shropshire Local Plan (Please tick one box)		gulation	19: Pre-Subn	nissior	n Draft of the	
02. T	o which part of th		this re	epresentati	on re	elate?	
Paragra	-	Policy: SP8	Site:		1	Policies Map:	
	o you consider th	_	Pre-Su	bmission D	raft	of the	
_	oshire Local Plan ingally compliant	S:	Yes:	П	No:	П	
B. Sc	ouria		Yes:		No:		
	ompliant with the Dut case tick as appropriat	•	Yes:	Ш	No:		
(Please tick as appropriate). Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.							
of the S	-	•		_			
Assess: househ facilitie The ev informa The Lo has bee Howev evidence	Policy SP8 states that Community Hubs have been identified through a Settlement of Hierarchy Assessment, which has assessed settlement function through the population and number of households within a settlement; and the extent to which the settlement provides services and facilities. The evidence within this Settlement of Hierarchy Assessment is not however based on accurate information and thereby Policy SP8 is not justified and is unsound. The Local Planning Authority considers that the methodology in the "Hierarchy of Settlements" has been applied on a consistent basis and that it has responded to changes in local provision. However, both formal and informal consultation rounds have identified inaccuracies within this evidence base which The Local Planning Authority has not acted upon, rendering the Plan, Policy SP8 and the associated schedule SP2.2 unsound.						

The current scoring within the Hierarchy of Settlements for Clive includes a bowling green as an

outdoor sports facility and a local convenience store. Neither of these facilities exist following the closure of both the bowling green and the local convenience shop. The shop has now closed three times in recent years and has been shut for significant periods. It is clearly unviable as a convenience store.

The Local Planning Authority has previously recognised that there is no bowling green as the facility now falls under a private residential dwelling. This was confirmed in the Shropshire Council Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report (Oct 2020). This should supersede the Open Space Needs Assessment 2017 as it is based on more up to date and comprehensive information. The Open Space Needs Assessment 2017 was a desk baed update of data from 2009.

The Local Planning Authority has acknowledged that it has received correspondence from both the Parish Council and the shop owner that the shop is no longer in use. This has been confirmed through a formal change of use planning application from the owner to provide a residential annex for this former shop (ref 21/00048/FUL). The Local Planning Authority were notified of these changes through the Regulation 18 consultation but no associated updates have been made to the Regulation 19 Plan, rendering Policy SP8 as unjustified and unsound.

The Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base and Local Plan Policies are now based on inconsistencies which render them unsound.

Revisions to the Hierarchy of Settlements evidence base over the Plan development has led to inconsistencies in the policy with the definition of Community Hubs continuing to be set out as 'settlements considered to provide a combination of services and facilities; public transport links (often operating regularly through peak travel times); significant employment opportunities; and high speed broadband generally considered sufficient to meet the day-to-day needs of their resident communities'. The assessment criteria and associated threshold no longer require such facilities to be present. This is illustrated by the example of Clive which when assessed evidently doesn't meet the criteria for a Community Hub in that it relies upon other settlements to meet many day to day needs such as employment and convenience goods. The Plan and Policy SP8 is therefore considered to be unsound as the methodology and application of associated evidence does not meet the intended definition of a Community Hub and overall settlement hierarchy needed to maintain overall sustainability and is therefore not justified.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office use Offiy	Part B Reference:

•	should remove reference to Clive as Community Hate definition of a significant rural service centre as d		
	(Please conti	nue on a separa	ate sheet if necessary)
supporting	Ite: In your representation you should provide sure information necessary to support your representation. It is not should not assume that you will have ins.	tation and your	suggested
	stage, further submissions may only be ma the matters and issues he or she identifies t		
Submiss	our representation is seeking a modificat ion Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, d ate in examination hearing session(s)?		
Please not	e that while this will provide an initial indication of you may be asked at a later point to confirm yo	, ,	
✓ No,	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
Yes	, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
(Pl	ease tick one box)		
_	ou wish to participate in the hearing sess sider this to be necessary:	sion(s), pleas	se outline why
you com	rue: tills to be necessary.		
_	•	•	sheet if necessary)
those who h	t e: The Inspector will determine the most appropole have indicated that they wish to participate in head your wish to participate when the Inspector has it n.	aring session(s)	. You may be asked
Signature:	Emma Turner	Date:	20/02/2021
!		•	

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference:

Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Representation								
Na	ame and Organisatio	n: Emma	a Turner					
Q1.	Q1. To which document does this representation relate?							
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Regulation 19: Pre-	-Submissio	n Draft of the	Shropsh	nire Local Plar	า		
	Sustainability Appro	aisal of the	Regulation 1	9: Pre-S	ubmission Dr	aft of t	he Shro	pshire
	Habitats Regulation Shropshire Local Pl (Please tick one b	an	ent of the Re	gulation	19: Pre-Subr	nission	Draft o	f the
Q2.	To which part of	the doc	ument does	s this re	epresentati	on re	late?	
Parag	graph:	Policy:	SP17.2	Site:		Po	olicies Map:	
	Do you consider opshire Local Pla	_	ulation 19:	Pre-Su	bmission D	raft o	of the	
A.	Legally compliant			Yes:		No:		
В.	Sound			Yes:		No:	\checkmark	
	Compliant with the I	•	-operate	Yes:		No:		
Dra fail: If you of th	Please give detaint of the Shropsh s to comply with ou wish to support the the Shropshire Local Pla bout your comments.	ire Local the duty legal comp	Plan is not to co-oper oliance or sour	t legally ate. Ple adness of	y compliant ease be as the Regulation	t or is precis	unsou se as p Pre-Subm	ind or ossible. nission Draft
As policies (SP2 and SP8) that guide Settlement policy 17.2 are unsound, Policy S17.2 is therefore also rendered unsound. Policy 17.2 is based on inaccurate and out of date evidence on settlement sustainability. In addition, there has been inconsistent consideration and treatment about the presence of local facilities and changing circumstances across parishes during the plan development.								
gree ties The	The current scoring within the Hierarchy of Settlements for Clive includes the bowling green as an outdoor sports facility and the local convenience store. Neither of these facilities exist following the closures of both the bowling green and local convenience shop. These both are under private residential ownership and use. As a result, the scoring threshold for significant rural service centres has not been met and the village of Clive							

does not meet the requirements to be deemed a Community Hub under Policy S17.2.

The Local Planning Authority itself has recognised that there is no bowling green as the facility now falls under a private residential dwelling. This was evidenced in the Shropshire Council Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Strategy Assessment Report (Oct 2020). This was a comprehensive assessment undertaken between 2018 and 2019 the accuracy of which has been confirmed by Shropshire Council. In this assessment of bowls clubs and greens, Clive is not recorded as having such a facility. Despite this, consultation with the Local Planning Authority justifies it's retention as a local facility for Clive following it's inclusion in the Council's Open Space Needs Assessment which is based on out of date data from 2009. The Open Space Needs Assessment itself acknowledged that "without a catchment area analysis it cannot detect the reality of variations in provision within each Place Plan Area".

With regard to Clive's local convenience store, the Local Planning Authority has acknowledged that officers have received recent correspondence from the both the Parish Council and owner that the shop is no longer in use and now falls within a residential curtilage. This earlier engagement with the Local Planning Authority has been formalised into a change of use planning application from the owner to provide a residential annex for this former shop (ref 21/00048/FUL).

The Local Planning Authority appears to have been inconsistent in taking into account such changes in local services and facilities during the Plan development. Comparative changes have taken place in the village of Myddle where the closure of its local shop resulted in a swift change in designation to Open Countryside. Similar changes have taken place in Cockshutt and Westbury. There is no evidence that any other Parish Council has been asked to provide additional evidence to support this change in designation. Indeed, correspondence regarding changing local facilities between Parish Councils and the Local Planning Authority appear to have been sufficient. In comparison, there is evident disparity between the requirements placed on Clive Parish to further evidence these changes and a deferral by the Local Planning Authority to address via a main modification to the Regulation 19 Plan rather than addressing prior to the Regulation 19 consultation.

As a result, the Plan and Policy S17.2 do not meet the tests around being justified and effective and are therefore considered unsound.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Q4 above.

Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

availability a significar	7.2 should remove reference to Clive as Community and accessibility of local facilities and services ment rural service centre as defined by the Hierarchy of Local Plan policies.	ans it does not m	neet the definition of
	(Plazca conti	nuo on a conara	nte sheet if necessary)
supporting	Ite: In your representation you should provide sure information necessary to support your representation. You should not assume that you will have	ccinctly all the etation and your	evidence and suggested
	stage, further submissions may only be mad the matters and issues he or she identifies t		
Submiss	our representation is seeking a modificat ion Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan, d te in examination hearing session(s)?		_
	e that while this will provide an initial indication o you may be asked at a later point to confirm yo	, ,	
✓ No,	I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s))	
	, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) ease tick one box)		
_	ou wish to participate in the hearing sessider this to be necessary:	sion(s), pleas	e outline why
	(Plazes continu	o on a congrato	sheet if necessary)
those who h	e: The Inspector will determine the most approperate indicated that they wish to participate in head our wish to participate when the Inspector has it	riate procedure aring session(s)	to adopt to hear You may be asked
Signature:	Emma Turner	Date:	20/02/2021
·		-	

Office Use Only	Part A Reference:
	Part B Reference: