Appendix G

Cleobury Mortimer Place Plan Area Site Assessments

Published: December 2020

Site Assessment Process Overview

1. Introduction

- 1.1. To inform the identification of proposed site allocations within the Local Plan Review, Shropshire Council has undertaken a comprehensive Site Assessment process. This site assessment process incorporates the assessment of sites undertaken within the Sustainability Appraisal of the Local Plan, recognising that the Sustainability Appraisal is an integral part of plan making, informing the development of vision, objectives and policies and site allocations.
- 1.2. Figure 1 summarises the key stages of the Site Assessment process undertaken, more detail on each of these stages is then provided:

Stage 1: Strategic Land Availability Assessment
(SLAA)

Stage 2a: Sustainability Appraisal

Additional Sites Identified Through Consultation

Stage 2b: Screening of Sites

Additional Information Gained Through Consultation

Stage 3: Detailed Assessment of Sites

Figure 1: Site Assessment Process

Stage 1: The Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA)

Stage 1 consisted of a strategic screen and review of sites.

Following the completion of the SLAA, further sites were promoted for consideration through the consultation and engagement process. Where possible these sites have been included within Stages 2a, 2b and 3 of the Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessment process.

Site Assessment Process

Following the completion of the SLAA, further information was achieved through the consultation and engagement process. Where possible this information has been considered within Stages 2a, 2b and 3 of the Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessment process.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Stage 2a: Sustainability Appraisal	Stage 2a consisted of the assessment of the performance of sites against the objectives identified within the Sustainability Appraisal.
Stage 2b: Screening of Sites	Stage 2b consisted of a screening exercise informed by consideration of a sites availability; size and whether there were obvious physical, heritage or environmental constraints present, based on the strategic assessment undertaken within the SLAA.
Stage 3: Detailed site review	Stage 3 consisted of a proportional and comprehensive assessment of sites informed by the sustainability appraisal and assessments undertaken by Highways; Heritage; Ecology; Trees; and Public Protection Officers; various technical studies, including a Landscape and Visual Sensitivity Study, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and Green Belt Assessment/Review where appropriate; consideration of infrastructure requirements and opportunities; consideration of other strategic considerations; and professional judgement. This stage of assessment was an iterative process.

2. The Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA)

- 2.1. Stage 1 of the Site Assessment process was undertaken within the SLAA. This involved a technical and very strategic assessment of the suitability; availability; and achievability (including viability) of land for housing and employment development. It represents a key component of the evidence base supporting the Shropshire Council Local Plan Review.
- 2.2. Please Note: Whilst the SLAA is an important technical document, it does not allocate land for development or include all locations where future housing and employment growth will occur. The SLAA ultimately provides information which will be investigated further through the plan-making process.

Assessing Suitability:

- 2.3. Suitability is the consideration of the appropriateness of a use or mix of uses on a site. However, it is not an assessment of what should or will be allocated / developed on a site. The SLAA includes a very strategic assessment of a site's suitability.
- 2.4. Determination of a sites strategic suitability was undertaken through consideration of numerous factors, including:
 - The sites consistency with the Local Plan.
 - The sites location and surroundings, including proximity to the development boundary/built form.
 - The sites boundaries and the extent to which these boundaries are defensible.
 - Site specific factors, including physical limitations to development, such as:
 - The topography of the site;
 - o The sites ground conditions;
 - The ability to access the site;
 - o Flood risk to the site or its immediate access;
 - o The agricultural land quality of the site;
 - o Hazardous risks, pollution or contamination of the site;
 - Whether the site has overhead or underground infrastructure, such as pylons, water/gas pipes and electricity cables which may impact on development/levels of development;
 - Other physical constraints, which may impact on development/levels of development.
 - The potential impact on natural environment assets; heritage assets and geological features on and in proximity of the site*. Including consideration of factors such as:
 - o The impact on internationally and nationally designated sites and assets;
 - o The impact on important trees and woodland, including ancient woodland; and
 - The impact on public open spaces.
 - Whether the site is located within the Green Belt.
 - Legal covenants affecting the site.
 - Market/industry and community requirements in the area.

*Historic environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise were: Conservation Areas, Registered Battlefields; World Heritage Sites and their buffers; Scheduled Monuments; Registered Parks and Gardens; and Listed Buildings. Sites were considered to be in proximity of an asset where they were within 300m of the site.

*Natural environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise and the distance used to determine where a site was in proximity of an asset were: Trees subject to TPO Protection; (30m); Veteran Trees (30m); Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites (50m); Local Nature Reserves (100m); Local Wildlife Sites (250m); National Nature Reserves (500m); Sites of Special Scientific Interest (500m); Ancient Woodland (500m); Special Areas of Conservation (1km); Special Protection Areas (1km); and Ramsar Sites (1km).

It is accepted that the identification of these key historic and natural environment assets within a set distance of a site is only a useful starting point for consideration of potential impacts resulting from the development/redevelopment of a site and that a more holistic process is required when determining preferred site allocations. However, the SLAA represents a very strategic site assessment and only the first phase of a wider site assessment process. The selection of proposed allocations will be informed by a more holistic process by which sites are reviewed by relevant service areas to consider potential impacts on all assets.

It should also be noted that as the SLAA is a strategic assessment of individual sites it cannot include sequential/exception considerations and as such sites predominantly in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 or directly accessed through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 are not suitable. This applies precautionary principle as detailed information on extent of impact of flood risk on access is not available, the site would only be suitable for development if it is considered necessary (through the sequential and/or exception test), the risk can be mitigated and will not increase risk elsewhere. This consideration cannot be undertaken at the high level and individual site assessment stage.

2.5. Reflecting upon the above factors:

- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was
 concluded that it has no known constraints or restrictions that would prevent
 development for a particular use or mix of uses, or these constraints could
 potentially be suitably overcome through mitigation*, then it was viewed as being
 currently suitable subject to further detailed assessment for the particular
 use or mix of uses.
- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was concluded that a site did not currently comply with the Local Plan*, but was located within or in proximity of a settlement potentially considered an appropriate location for sustainable development and was not known to have other constraints or restrictions that would prevent development for a particular use or mix of uses, or any known constraints could potentially be suitably overcome through mitigation**, then it was viewed as being not currently suitable but future potential subject to further detailed assessment.
- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was
 concluded that a site was subject to known constraints and it was considered that
 such constraints cannot be suitably overcome through mitigation, then it was
 viewed as being not suitable.
- If following the very strategic assessment of the suitability of a site it was
 concluded that a site did not currently comply with the Local Plan, and was not
 located within or in proximity of a settlement potentially considered an appropriate
 location for sustainable development, then it was viewed as being not suitable.

*As this is a very strategic assessment, where sites are currently contrary to Local Plan policy but are located within or in proximity of a settlement potentially considered an appropriate location for sustainable development, no judgement is made about whether such a change to policy would be appropriate, this is the role of the Local Plan Review.

**As this is a very strategic assessment, where sites are subject to known constraints and it is considered that the constraints present could potentially be suitably overcome through mitigation, further detailed assessment will be required to confirm if such mitigation is effective and the impact of this mitigation on the developable area.

Assessing Availability:

2.6. Availability is the consideration of whether a site is considered available for a particular form of development. National Guidance defines availability as follows: "A site is considered available for development, when, on the best information available (confirmed by the call for sites and information from land owners and legal searches where appropriate), there is confidence that there are no legal or ownership problems, such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational

requirements of landowners. This will often mean that the land is controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop, or the landowner has expressed an intention to sell".

- 2.7. Within the SLAA, sites were generally considered to be available where they had been actively promoted for the relevant use during:
 - The 'Call for Sites' exercise;
 - The Local Plan Review; or
 - Preparation of the current Local Plan (Core Strategy and SAMDev Plan).

2.8. Or where:

- There has been a recent Planning Application (whether successful or not) for the relevant use; or
- Officers have particular knowledge about a site's availability.

Assessing Achievability (including Viability)

2.9. As this SLAA is a very strategic assessment, Shropshire Council has used very general assumptions to inform its assessment of the achievability and viability of a site. A more detailed assessment of viability and deliverability will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.

Conclusion

- 2.10. Once the assessment of a site's development potential; suitability; availability; and achievability (including viability) was undertaken and conclusions reached on each of these categories, an overall conclusion was reached.
- 2.11. Sites were effectively divided into three categories, these were:

• Rejected sites:

- o The site is considered unsuitable; and/or
- o The site is considered to be unavailable; and/or
- o The site is considered unachievable/unviable.

• Long Term Potential - Subject to Further Detailed Assessment:

- The site is considered to be not currently suitable but may have future potential subject to further detailed assessment; and/or
- o There is uncertainty about the sites availability; and/or
- o There is uncertainty about the sites achievability/viability.

Accepted - Subject to Further Detailed Assessment:

- The site is considered currently suitable subject to further detailed assessment;
 and
- o The site is considered available; and
- The site is considered achievable/viable.
- 2.12. Various data sources were used to identify sites for consideration within the SLAA, including existing Local Plan Allocations (including proposals within adopted and emerging Neighbourhood Plans); Planning Application records; Local Authority land ownership records; a 'Call for Sites'; and sites identified within previous Strategic Housing Land Availability (SHLAA) exercises. Ultimately, around 2,000 sites were considered within the SLAA process.

3. Sustainability Appraisal (SA)

3.1. Stage 2a of the Site Assessment process consisted of the analysis of the performance of sites against the Sustainability Objectives identified within the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report. The Sustainability Appraisal and Site Assessment Environmental Report illustrates how these Sustainability Objectives relate to the SEA Directive and the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

¹ CLG, NPPG – HELAA, Paragraph 020, Reference ID 3-020-20140306, Last updated 06/03/2014

- 3.2. The Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report describes how the Sustainability Objectives have been adapted to allow for the sustainability appraisal of sites. Information on implementation and further adaptations in response to practical issues and comments received during the Local Plan preparation process is given in the Sustainability Appraisal and Site Assessment Environmental Report. The aim throughout was to ensure the allocation of the most sustainable sites and where a less sustainable option was chosen for valid and justifiable planning reasons, to suggest mitigation measures to offset any identified significant negative impact.
- 3.3. The Sustainability Appraisal scoring system was adapted for the Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal to allow for clear comparisons between the sustainability of several sites in the same vicinity. The scoring system also needed to provide a relatively straightforward result. Accordingly, it used the same positive, neutral and negative nomenclature as that for the Sustainability Appraisal of the options and policies. It differed however, in that each criterion is scored from only two options. These options varied between criteria to better reflect the purpose of Sustainability Appraisal.
- 3.4. The identified criteria and scoring system were translated into a matrix, to assess sites. The scoring was then colour coded to assist with interpretation as follows:

-	
0	
+	

- 2.23 Sites were assessed on a settlement by settlement basis e.g. all sites in Albrighton were assessed against each other. This was felt to be the best way of using the Sustainability Appraisal as it is intended namely to evaluate options (in this case all the sites promoted for development in each settlement) and use the outcomes to inform the site selection process for the Local Plan. All sites from the SLAA were assessed for each settlement and most of the assessment was carried out using GIS to populate the excel spreadsheet. Manual recording was used for those few instances where data was not available e.g. when a site was promoted after the data had already been exported from the GIS.
- 2.24 Once the Sustainability Appraisal matrix was complete, the negative and positive marks for each site were combined to give a numerical value. The lowest and highest values for that settlement were then used to determine a range. The range was then divided into three equal parts. Where three equal parts were not possible (for instance in a range of -8 to +4 = 13 points) the largest part was assigned to the higher end of the range (for instance -8 to -5 = 4 points, then -4 to -1 = 4 points and lastly 0 to +4 = 5 points). This was based on the assumption that there are likely to be more negative than positive scores.
- 2.25 Those sites in the lowest third of the range were rated as Poor, those in the middle third as Fair and those in the upper third as Good. A Poor rating was deemed to be the equivalent of significantly negative.
- 2.26 Completed matrices for each settlement are provided within Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal of this Appendix.

4. Screening of Sites

4.1. Stage 2b of the Site Assessment process involved screening of identified sites. This screen was informed by consideration of a sites availability, size and whether there were obvious physical, heritage or environmental constraints present, based on the strategic assessment undertaken within the SLAA.

- 4.2. Specifically, sites did not proceed to Stage 3 of the site assessment process where:
 - There is uncertainty about whether the site is available for relevant forms of development. A site is generally considered to be available where they have been actively promoted for residential or mixed-use development during the preparation of the current Local Plan (Core Strategy and SAMDev Plan); during the most recent 'call for sites'; or during the ongoing Local Plan Review. It is also considered to be available for residential development where there has been a recent Planning Application for residential or mixed-use development on the site (whether successful or not); or where officers have particular knowledge about a sites availability.

Where relevant, a site is considered to be available for employment development where it has been actively promoted for employment or mixed-use development during the preparation of the current Local Plan (Core Strategy and SAMDev Plan); during the most recent 'call for sites'; or during the ongoing Local Plan Review. It is also considered to be available for employment development where there has been a recent Planning Application for employment or mixed-use development on the site (whether successful or not); or where officers have particular knowledge about a sites availability.

- The site is less than a specified site size (unless there is potential for allocation as part of a wider site). These site sizes are:
 - 0.2ha for Community Hubs (generally, sites of less than 0.2ha are unlikely to achieve 5 or more dwellings).
 - 0.2ha for Strategic/Principal/Key Centres within/partly within the Green Belt or Shropshire Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) (generally, sites of less than 0.2ha are unlikely to achieve 5 or more dwellings).
 - o 0.5ha for other Strategic/Principal/Key Centres.
- The strategic assessment of the site has identified a significant physical*, heritage** and/or environmental** constraint identified within the strategic assessment of sites undertaken within the SLAA.

*Significant physical constraints:

- 1. Where all or the majority of a site is located within Flood Zone 2 and/or 3 such that the site is considered undeliverable, it will not be 'screened out'. This is consistent with NPPF. Where a site can only be accessed through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 this will be subject to detailed consideration within Stage 3 of the site assessment process. The preference would be to avoid (sequential approach) such site, however in circumstances where other constraints mean that a site with access through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 is preferred for allocation, detailed assessment of the implications for an access through Flood Zone will be considered within Level 2 of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. This distinction recognises the different approach taken within the NPPF and NPPG with regard to site suitability when located within Flood Zone 2 and/or 3 and establishing safe access through Flood Zone 2 and/or 3.
- 2. The majority of the site contains an identified open space.
- 3. The site can only be accessed through an identified open space.
- 4. The topography of the site is such that development could not occur (this has been very cautiously applied).
- 5. The site is separated from the built form of the settlement (unless the land separating the site from the built form is also promoted and will progress through this screening).
- 6. The site is landlocked/does not have a road frontage (unless another promoted site will progress through this screening and could provide the site a road frontage for this site).
- 7. The site is more closely associated with the built form of an alternative settlement

1. The majority of the site has been identified as a heritage asset. Historic environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise were: Conservation Areas, Registered Battlefields; World Heritage Sites and their buffers; Scheduled Monuments; Registered Parks and Gardens; and Listed Buildings. We acknowledge that there is no distinction

^{**}Significant natural environment/heritage constraints:

between direct impact on a heritage asset and impact on the setting of a heritage asset. However, this is an issue along with archaeological potential which requires specialist advice; this forms part of Stage 3 of the site assessment process.

2. The majority of the site has been identified as a natural environment asset. Natural environment assets considered for the purpose of this exercise were: Trees subject to TPO Protection; Veteran Trees; Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites; Local Nature Reserves; Local Wildlife Sites; National Nature Reserves; Sites of Special Scientific Interest; Ancient Woodland; Special Areas of Conservation; Special Protection Areas; and Ramsar Sites.

Please Note:

Within the assessment, commentary is provided about the sites strategic suitability where a site was rejected within the SLAA.

Where a site met one or more of these criteria, the relevant criteria is highlighted within the assessment.

5. Detailed Site Review

- 5.1. Stage 3 of the Site Assessment process considered those sites which were not 'screened out' of the assessment at Stage 2b. It involved a detailed review of sites and selection of proposed site allocations. This stage was informed by:
 - The results of Stage 1 of the Site Assessment process (which informs the assessment of sites).
 - The results of Stage 2a of the Site Assessment process (which informs the assessment of sites).
 - The results of Stage 2b of the Site Assessment process (which informs the site assessed).
 - Assessments undertaken by Highways*; Heritage; Ecology; Tree; and Public Protection Officers. In undertaking detailed reviews of sites within stage 3 of the Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessment process, officers considered best available evidence**, where necessary undertook site visits and applied professional judgement in order to provide commentary on each site.

*The Highways Assessment included access to services for the Strategic, Principal and Key Centres, reflecting that these settlements are generally much larger than Community Hubs.

**It should be noted that whilst the service area reviews were informed by the assessment of assets on and within proximity of the site undertaken within the SLAA process, they were not limited to consideration of these assets. The review was holistic in nature and in many instances identified additional assets which had not previously been identified. The commentary provided by the relevant service areas included a proportionate summary of:

- o The value/significance of any identified assets.
- o The relationship between the site and any identified assets.
- Potential impact on any identified assets resulting from development / redevelopment of the site.
- If relevant, potential mechanisms for mitigating impact and/or recommendations on further assessment(s) required if the site is identified for allocation to inform the future development of the site.
 - Commissioned evidence base studies, including a Landscape and Visual Sensitivity Study; Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment; and Green Belt Review.
 - A Habitats Regulations Assessment.
 - Consideration of infrastructure requirements and opportunities.
 - Other strategic considerations* and professional judgement.

*Access through Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 was given due consideration within Stage 3 of the site assessment. In circumstances where consideration of other constraints resulted in the identification of a preferred site which relies on access through Flood Zone 2 and/or 3, the ability to achieve safe access and egress was considered through a Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Only where the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment indicated that safe access and egress could be established has such a site been identified as a proposed site allocation.

- 5.2. This stage of assessment was an iterative process.
- 5.3. Once initial conclusions are reached within Stage 3 of the Site Assessment process, these were evaluated through Stage 2a of the site assessment process before proposals were finalised.

Cleobury Mortimer Place Plan Area Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal: Site Assessments

Bills selected year party which may be a constructed on the property of the	Criteria	Criteria Description	Scoring Guide	Site Ref: CMO002	Site Ref: CMO003	Site Ref: CMO004	Site Ref: CMO005	Site Ref: CMO006	Site Ref: CMO009	Site Ref: CMO017	Site Ref: CMO018	Site Ref: CMO019	Site Ref: CMO020
Part			pply):										
New Year A Secretary				·							-		
Seed Figure Science 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			Ves – double minus	ů		_	<u> </u>				Ŭ		
Month of Secret (Proceduced Control of Secret (Proceduced Contro	1					-				, ,	Ŭ	, ,	
Ministry 100				·		-	-				0	, ,	
Similar Security within being seed of denie are more record all that apply:		Wildlife Site	, ,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March of Septimization of Consequence 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1													
2 Section of a finisher Section Section of the Section Sec				v		-	-				· ·		
Post of a fixed of Special Source S				·		-					-		
Secretarian exposition 1	2		Yes = minus score (-)				-			, ,	· ·	, ,	
2500 of a facility limit 100 1			No = zero score (0)	·		, ,							
Command and processing processing or groups) within a ran rate boundary Yes - minus soors () 0													
3 The Petersonan Chert (page or group) within a row of boundary Yes - minus assor (-)				0		-	0				0		0
Size contains one or more (or party) of the following' (record all that apply): 4. Charlest glaves upon a contain contain and a contain and a contain a con	3			0	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Collection Subsequent Yes = minus score (+) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Site contains one or more (or part) of the following 2 (record all that apply											
A consider secure finally Protection and protection			''/-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annexing green spaces	4	Outdoor sports facility	Yes = minus score (-)	·			-						
Accessible matural green space (particularismontanian) repress de the following repress of the following repression fol				0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site boundary within 450m ² of one or more of the following (record all that apply):		Accessible natural green space (natural/semi-natural green space)	• •	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6 Paragrapy Leaves destine Leaves control Description and or michig bilarry stupy) Leaves control Description and or michig bilarry stupy) Leaves control Description and provide bilarry stupy) Leaves control Description and provide bilarry stupy in the study of the		Site boundary within 480m ³ of one or more of the following (record all th	at apply):										
Characycommence or mobile library stop)				-	-		+	+	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Second control Yes - place score (a)										-	-	-	-
Cultivaria playeground Cultivaria playegro	E		Von - plus soors (:)							-	-	-	-
Customs sports facility Accessible matural green space Acces											-	-	-
Accessive invalue green space potential seminary green space of seminary or parties of the space of potential seminary or grant in 20 or 3 apricultural seminary or grant in 20 or 3		Outdoor sports facility	NO ≡ minus score (-)		1					<u> </u>	-	-	
Accessable natural green space (natural green space) Yes = plus score (+) Yes = plus						-	1					-	
Site boundary within 48m² of a public transport node with a regular No = minus score (-) No = minus score (-					-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service offered during peak travel times 1:			Ves - nlus score (+)										
No = para soore (1)	6	service offered during peak travel times ⁴ :	No = minus score (-)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 plut plant of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3 9 All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3 No = zara score (0) 10 Site is wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area No = zara score (0) 11 Site is wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area No = zara score (0) No = zara s	7			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area Ves = minus score (-) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8	All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone (groundwater)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 Site wholiy/partly within an Air Quality Management Area Yes = minus score (-) No = zero score (0)	9	All or part of the site is within Flood Zones 2 or 3	• * *	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use No = zero score (c) No = zero score (d) No = zero sco	10	Site wholly/partly within an Air Quality Management Area	Yes = minus score (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 area with a previous industrial or potentially contaminative use No = zero score (0) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Site is wholly/partly classified as brownfield or is wholly/partly within an			1		+					+	
Site would displace an existing waste management operation No = zero score (c) No = zero score (d) No No No No No No No N	11		No - zero score (1)	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0
Site would splace an existing waste management operation No = zero score (0)													
A World Heritage Site or its buffer zone	12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No = zero score (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a Scheduled Monument A Registered Battlefield B Registered Battlefield B Registered Battlefield B Registered Park or Garden B Registered Battlefield B Registered Battlefield B Registered Park or Garden B Registered Battlefield B Registered Park or Garden B Registered			oly):										
13 a Registered Battlefield Security				·								-	
A Registered Park or Garden No = zero score (0) No = zero sc	10		Yes = double minus	·						-		-	
a Conservation Area a Listed Building Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply): 300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone 300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone 300m of a Registered Battlefield 300m of a Registered Battlefield 300m of a Registered Park or Garden 300m of a Registered Park or Garden 300m of a Listed Building No = zero score (0) No = zero score (0) Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential or site is inside the development boundary Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score -4 -4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7	13										-		
A Listed Building 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			No = zero score (0)										
Site boundary within buffer zone of one or more (record all that apply): 300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				·		-				0	Ŭ	0	
300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone 300m of a Scheduled Monument Yes = minus score (-) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				-	1	-	1		1	1	-	1	<u> </u>
14 300m of a Scheduled Monument 300m of a Registered Battlefield 14 300m of a Registered Park or Garden 300m of a Registered Park or Garden 15 300m of a Conservation Area 300m of a Conservation Area 300m of a Conservation Area 300m of a Listed Building 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		300m of a World Heritage Site or its buffer zone		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300m of a Registered Park or Garden No = zero score (0)		300m of a Scheduled Monument		0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
300m of a Conservation Area 300m of a Listed Building Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential Minus score (-) Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or site is inside the development boundary Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score 4 4 4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7	14			0									0
300m of a Listed Building Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential Minus score (-) Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or site is inside the development boundary Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score Overall Score -4 -5 -1 -2 -0 -7 0			No = zero score (0)			0		0		0	0	0	0
Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential Minus score (-) Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Zero score (0) O O O O O O O O O O O O O										-	- 0		
Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly/partly classified as medium low, medium, or medium high landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or site is inside the development boundary Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score -4 -4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7		Site is wholly/partly classified as very high landscape sensitivity for	Double minus score ()								Ü		
landscape sensitivity for residential Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or site is inside the development boundary Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score -4 -4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7		Site is wholly/partly classified as high landscape sensitivity for residential	Minus score (-)			-	-					-	
Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or site is inside the development boundary Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score -4 -4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7	15		Zero score (0)	0	0				0		0		0
Please note: where a site falls into more than one category, highest sensitivity category is recorded Overall Score -4 -4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7		Site is wholly classified as low landscape sensitivity for residential or	Plus score (+)					+		+			
Overall Score -4 -4 -5 -1 -2 -2 0 -7 0 -7			gory is recorded				1						
O TOTAL O COTO		i sandani sand		-4	-4	-5	-1	-2	-2	0	-7	0	-7
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		Range is 0 to -7 Good is 0 to -2 Fair is -3 to -5 Poor is -6 to -7 Overa		Fair	Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Good	Poor

Cleobury Mortimer Place Plan Area Stage 2b Screening of Sites: Site Assessments

Site Assessment - Stage 2b

Site Assessment - Stage 2b Site Reference:	CMO002			
Site Address:	Curdale Farm, Ludlow Road, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	8.73			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	262			
Type of Site:	Greenfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	Large site to the west side of Cleobury Mortimer's development boundary, encompassing the existing Curdale Farmyard and associated field. A slightly undulating site with a pool to the south side, this site runs along Ludlow Road at its northern border.			
Surrounding Character:	Residential areas of Cleobury Mortimer are immediately east of the site, and is otherwise surrounded by agriculture on all other sides.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential			
Availability Information ¹ :	Availability Unknown			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹	As the cites availability for recidential development is unknown the cite will not			
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³				
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the			
,	sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b				
Site Reference:	CMO003			
Site Address:	Land off Ludlow Road (A4117), Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	7.24			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	217			
Type of Site:	Greenfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	Large site to the west of the built form of Cleobury Mortimer. The site is fairly large and flat, and is surrounded by agricultural fields, and is adjacent to Ludlow Road (to the south).			
Surrounding Character:	Agricultural use surrounds 3 sides of the site with Ludlow Road to the south and a cemetery to the east.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential			
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹ :				
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³ :				
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b				
Site Reference:	CMO004			
Site Address:	Land to the north of Station Road, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	4.01			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	120			
Type of Site:	Greenfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	Large agricultural site to the east side of the town. Fairly steep sided site with lots of large trees, immediately south of the River Rea.			
Surrounding Character:	Station Road wraps around the site to the east and south sides, with residential to the south-east. The River Rea runs along the northern boundary with agricultural fields on the other side. Plant nursery to east of the site.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential			
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹				
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³				
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b

Site Assessment - Stage 2b				
Site Reference:	CMO005			
Site Address:	North East of Catherton Road, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	3.91			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	117			
Type of Site:	Greenfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	Large site located to the north east of the town's built form. The site is slightly undulating with Catherton Road wrapped around its western edge. Very little on site with no tree or overhead infrastructure.			
Surrounding Character:	Site is adjacent Catherton Road and agricultural fields to the west and north. To the south are the residential curtilages within the development boundary and sports pitches from the nearby school are to the east.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential			
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹ :				
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³ :				
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions			
	reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b				
Site Reference:	CMO006			
Site Address:	Land to the east of Fryers Close, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	0.55			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	17			
Type of Site:	Brownfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	The site consists of an area in industrial use and a residential dwelling and its curtilage, off Ludlow Road in the centre of Cleobury Mortimer. An existing permission is extant on the site for a 58 bedroom residential care home.			
Surrounding Character:	The site is mostly surrounded by residential development, however there is also a medical centre and sports pitches in proximity.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Currently Suitable			
Availability Information ¹ :	Availability Unknown			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹ :	As the sites availability for residential development is unknown the site will not proceed to the next stage of the site assessment process.			
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³ :				
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	-			
Site Reference:	CMO009			
Site Address:	Land West of Catherton Road, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	3.25			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	97			
Type of Site:	Greenfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	Site located north east of Cleobury Mortimer, just outside of the development boundary to the west of Catherton Road. The site is an open field in agricultural use. The site slopes upwards at its eastern boundary.			
Surrounding Character:	The site is surrounded on three sides by agricultural use, with residential (and a cemetery) to the south.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential			
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹ :				
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³ :				
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b				
Site Reference:	CMO017			
Site Address:	Rear of Manor House, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	0.35			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	10			
Type of Site:	Brownfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	Land to the rear of Manor House Nursing Home. The site is currently garden land and cannot be accessed from the nearby roads or pathways.			
Surrounding Character:	Manor House Nursing Home is directly north of the site, with residential dwellings surrounding the site. The are several retail outlets north of the site, as well as a bank and public house. The site is set off slightly from the main High Street which runs through the town, with the nearest road being Tenbury Road the west, and Eagle Lane to the south.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Suitable			
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹ :				
Conclusion: Size ² :	As the site is less than 0.5ha, it is not in isolation considered to be of sufficient size to allocate for residential development. Due to the size and location of the site it is not considered to have potential for allocation as part of a wider site (it is either not adjacent to another promoted site, or the other promoted site is not considered available and/or the strategic assessment has identified a significant constraint).			
•	The site appears to be landlocked, without a road frontage.			
Summary.	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			

within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b

Site Reference:	CMO018
Site Address:	Land South of Tenbury Road, Cleobury Mortimer
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer
Site Size (Ha):	1.33
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	40
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	Area of land encircling an existing employment area and an employment allocation (part developed). The site consists of part of two agricultural fields.
Surrounding Character:	Encircled within the site is an employment area. To the south of the site character is predominantly agricultural. To the north of the site character is mixed housing/agricultural.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information ¹ :	Availability Unknown
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability ¹ :	As the sites availability for residential development is unknown the site will not proceed to the next stage of the site assessment process.
Conclusion: Size ² :	
Strategic Suitability ³ :	
Summary:	Removed from the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b				
Site Reference:	CMO019			
Site Address:	Land to the South of Lion Lane, Cleobury Mortimer			
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer			
Site Size (Ha):	1.78			
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	53			
Type of Site:	Greenfield			
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A			
General Description:	The site consists of parts of three agricultural fields located to the south of Cleobury Mortimer.			
Surrounding Character:	The character to the south, east and west is predominantly agricultural. The character to the north is predominantly residential.			
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential			
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available			
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.			
Availability ¹ :				
Conclusion: Size ² :				
Strategic Suitability ³ :				
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.			
^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided				

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Site Assessment - Stage 2b	
Site Reference:	CMO020
Site Address:	Land off Tenbury Road, Cleobury Mortimer
Settlement:	Cleobury Mortimer
Site Size (Ha):	11.75
Indicative Capacity (Dwellings):	353
Type of Site:	Greenfield
If mixed, percentage brownfield:	N/A
General Description:	The site consists of a series of agricultural fields located to the south west of Cleobury Mortimer.
Surrounding Character:	Character to the south and west is predominantly agricultural. Character to the north consists of a mix of residential and agricultural. Character to the east is a mix of residential and commercial.
Suitability Information: (from SLAA)	Not Currently Suitable but Future Potential
Availability Information ¹ :	Currently Available
Achievability/Viability Information:	Residential development is generally considered achievable and viable unless there are site specific issues evident. To confirm this conclusion, a viability assessment will be undertaken to inform the Local Plan Review.
Availability ¹ :	
Conclusion: Size ² :	
Strategic Suitability ³ :	
Summary:	Considered within the next stage of the site assessment process due to conclusions reached regarding the sites availability, size and/or suitability.
1, 2 and 3 Further information provided	

^{1, 2 and 3} Further information provided within the Site Assessment Process Overview.

Cleobury Mortimer Place Plan Area Stage 3 Detailed Site Review: Site Assessments

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	CMO003
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality:	
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	1%
surface flood risk zone:	·
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	2%
surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 1,000	
year surface flood risk zone:	5%
Percentage of the site identified on	
the EA Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of	00/
an historic flood event:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	0/6
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)	
Visual Impact Considerations: (from the LVSS)	Medium
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing	
Highway Suitable for Traffic	N
Associated with the Development at	·
the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	Y. Within existing 40 mph speed limit but this may need to be extended.
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	
Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out	
Of 24) (Based on Primary School, GP	17
Surgery, Convenience Store & Public	
Transport Service):	
Ecology Comments	None.
Significant Constraints:	TO THE
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds.
Other Constraints:	LCIA required. Surveys for OCIV (pollus Within South), baugers , bats, flesting birds.

Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/trees on site.
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Use open space provision to provide biodiversity enhancements. Link open space to existing hedgerow systems.
Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	Systems.
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	No known archaeological interest but site is of a larger size, so may have some archaeological potential
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	trees and hedges to parts of site boundaries
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arb Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arb Method Statement.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	A suitable high quality planting scheme could enhance tree / hedge cover at the location. Use 20% canopy cover policy to plant woodland adjacent existing trees and hedges
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Noise from road to south.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	Primarily set back from the road due to emerging health data around living in close proximity to main roads. In addition noise mitigation including glazing, ventilation, layout and orientation of buildings and boundary treatment.
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Fair
Strategic Considerations:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Potential for Allocation?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Recommendation	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Reasoning	A Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared for Cleobury Mortimer. This will identify the strategy for achieving the housing and employment guidelines identified for the town.
If proposed for Allocation, Potential Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	CMO004
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	3%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	10%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	90%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	1%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	2%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000	
year surface flood risk zone:	7%
Percentage of the site identified on	0%
the EA Historic Flood Map:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of	0%
an historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:	9%
All or part of the site within a Source	
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	High
(from the LVSS)	l ligii
Visual Impact Considerations:	High
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to Highway Network?	Υ
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing	
Highway Suitable for Traffic	N
Associated with the Development at the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y. The site frontage is all within a 40 mph section of speed limit which will need to be reduced to 30 mph.
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	Access will need to take account of Mill Pool Place.
So?	
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site Works?	Y
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achievable?	
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out	
Of 24) (Based on Primary School, GP	20
Surgery, Convenience Store & Public	20
Transport Service):	
Ecology Comments Significant Constraints:	Site lies entirely within Env. Network corridor. CS17 Environmental Networks applies. No or reduced number of dwellings possible. Suggest seek landscape advice. Lies within the flood plain.
Ecology Comments Other Constraints:	EcIA required. Surveys for Dormice, Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, plants (unimproved grassland and other potential priority habitats need botanical survey to assess impacts), reptiles, otters, water voles, Crayfish.

Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/trees/priority habitat on site. If priority habitats present (looks likely), mitigation land will need to be provided. River would require buffering from development. As this site lies within a major river corridor of the Env. Network, the area for development would depend on surveys but will be greatly reduced, perhaps a small amount of development close to existing housing, away from the river. CS17 Environmental Networks applies.
Ecology Comments	Secure large area of the site beside the river as semi-natural open space with public access.
Opportunities:	
Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Possible effects on setting of Castle Toot scheduled monument (NHLE ref. 1012868). Possible windmill site (HER PRN 15795) and archaeological earthworks on site, and it therefore holds archaeological interest.
Heritage Comments	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA, field evaluation + setting
Management of Constraints:	assessment).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	Relatively small scale field pattern would effectively be destroyed if whole site is developed.
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	Belts of mature trees and hedges around and within site.
Other Constraints:	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arb Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arb
Tree Comments	Method Statement. Suitable development stand-off required from mature trees, consider shading issues
Management of Constraints:	where trees to the south / west of dwellings.
Tree Comments	New planting to thicken band of trees along streamside? to northern site boundary. Use 20% canopy
Opportunities:	cover policy to plant woodland adjacent existing groups of trees and hedges.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments	
Other Constraints:	Noise from road to the south.
	Primarily set back from the road due to emerging health data around living in close proximity to main
Public Protection Comments	roads. In addition noise mitigation including glazing, ventilation, layout and orientation of buildings and
Management of Constraints:	boundary treatment.
Public Protection Comments	
Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability	Fair
Appraisal:	
Strategic Considerations:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Known Infrastructure Requirements	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
to make Development Suitable in	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Planning Terms:	See comments from relevant service areas.
	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
	See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Potential for Allocation?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Recommendation	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Reasoning	A Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared for Cleobury Mortimer. This will identify the strategy for achieving the housing and employment guidelines identified for the town.
If proposed for Allocation, Potential	
Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	CMO005
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	V
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	2%
surface flood risk zone:	2/0
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	3%
surface flood risk zone:	370
Percentage of the site in the 1,000	5%
year surface flood risk zone:	370
Percentage of the site identified on	0%
the EA Historic Flood Map:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of	0%
an historic flood event:	070
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	12%
detailed river network:	1270
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	NO
Landscape Considerations:	Medium and High
(from the LVSS)	Wedium and riigh
Visual Impact Considerations:	Medium and High
(from the LVSS)	Wedium and riigh
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Y
Highway Network?	'
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing	
Highway Suitable for Traffic	N
Associated with the Development at	''
the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	Y. Site frontage is outside current 30 mph limit on Catherton Road. Limit will need to be extended and
Highway at Access Point is Not	footway provided. Also within 30mph limit there is a missing section (25m) of footpath which may require
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	third party agreement to achieve.
So?	and party agreement to achieve.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	Cumulative impact of CMO005 and CMO009 (213 houses total) on the Catherton Road / A4117 signal
Off-Site Works Achievable?	controlled junction will need to be examined.
	controlled junction will need to be examined.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out	
Of 24) (Based on Primary School, GP	15
Surgery, Convenience Store & Public	
Transport Service):	
Ecology Comments	
Significant Constraints:	None.
o.gjicane constraints.	
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m)Badgers, Bats, nesting birds.
Other Constraints:	Low regarded our regards of points within soonly budgets, buts, heating birds.

Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/trees on site.
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Use open space provision to provide biodiversity enhancements. Link open space to existing hedgerow systems.
Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	No known archaeological interest but site is of a moderate size, so may have some archaeological potential
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	
Other Constraints:	trees and hedges to site boundaries
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arb Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arb Method Statement.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	A suitable high quality planting scheme could enhance tree / hedge cover at the location. Use 20% canopy cover policy to plant adjacent existing trees and hedges, linking with and extending trees / woodland to east of site.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	No specific mitigation likely to be required for any Reg Services matters.
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
• •	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
to make Development Suitable in	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. A Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared for Cleobury Mortimer. This will identify the strategy for
If proposed for Allocation, Potential Capacity: If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	achieving the housing and employment guidelines identified for the town.

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	CMO009
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Vec
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	6%
surface flood risk zone:	5/0
Percentage of the site in the 100 year	10%
surface flood risk zone:	-0/*
Percentage of the site in the 1,000	24%
year surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on	0%
the EA Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of	0%
an historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a	0%
detailed river network:	
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)	
Visual Impact Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)	
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Υ
Highway Network?	
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing Highway Suitable for Traffic	
	N
Associated with the Development at	
the Access Point? Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y. Site frontage is outside current 30 mph limit on Catherton Road. Limit will need to be extended and
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	footway provided. Also within 30mph limit there is a missing section (25m) of footpath which may require
So?	third party agreement to achieve.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	'
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged	Cumulative impact of CMO005 and CMO009 (213 houses total) on the Catherton Road / A4117 signal
Off-Site Works Achievable?	controlled junction will need to be examined.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out	
Of 24) (Based on Primary School, GP	
Surgery, Convenience Store & Public	15
Transport Service):	
Ecology Comments	None.
Significant Constraints:	
Ecology Comments	F 1A 1 - 1 C C - C 1 - 11 - 500 - 10 - 1 - 5
Other Constraints:	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m) Badgers, Bats, nesting birds.

Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/trees/priority habitat on site.
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Use open space provision to provide biodiversity enhancements. Link open space to existing hedgerow systems.
Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	Systems.
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	No known archaeological interest but site is of a moderate size, so may have some archaeological potential
Heritage Comments	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA +?field evaluation).
Management of Constraints: Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments Other Constraints:	trees and hedges to site boundaries
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arb Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arb Method Statement.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	A suitable high quality planting scheme could enhance tree / hedge cover at the location. Use 20% canopy cover policy to plant woodland adjacent existing trees and hedges
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	No specific mitigation likely to be required for any Reg Services matters.
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented.
Planning Terms:	See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Potential for Allocation?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Recommendation	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Reasoning	A Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared for Cleobury Mortimer. This will identify the strategy for achieving the housing and employment guidelines identified for the town.
If proposed for Allocation, Potential Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	CMO019
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Yes
Agricultural Land Quality: Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	0%
surface flood risk zone: Percentage of the site in the 100 year	
surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000	201
year surface flood risk zone:	0%
Percentage of the site identified on	0%
the EA Historic Flood Map:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of	0%
an historic flood event: Percentage of the site within 20m of a	
detailed river network:	1%
All or part of the site within a Source	No
Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	High
(from the LVSS) Visual Impact Considerations:	
(from the LVSS)	High
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	
Highway Network?	Y
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How? Highway Comments - Existing	
Highway Suitable for Traffic	
Associated with the Development at	N
the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	
Highway at Access Point is Not	Y. But the plot is considerably higher than the adjacent highway and significant costs would be involved in
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made So?	achieving an adequate access.
Highway Comments - Could the	
Development Occur Without Off-Site	N
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achievable?	N. Access to the A4117 would be via Lion Lane which is narrow and lacks pedestrian facilities and the junction onto the A4117 is substandard. There is very limited scope to make improvements.
	,,,,
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out Of 24) (Based on Primary School, GP	
Surgery, Convenience Store & Public	19
Transport Service):	
Ecology Comments	
Significant Constraints:	None.
Ecology Comments	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), plants (unimproved grassland and other potential
Other Constraints:	priority habitats need botanical survey to assess impacts), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, reptiles, possibly
	invertebrates.

Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/trees/priority habitat on site. If priority habitats present, mitigation land will need to be provided.
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Use open space provision to provide biodiversity enhancements. Link open space to existing hedgerow systems.
Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	Site located in a highly prominent position on the boundary, and within the setting of, the Cleobury Mortimer Conservation Area. Also probable effects on the setting of the listed buildings within the Conservation Area, including Grade I Listed Church of St Mary. We advise that the site is not allocated
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Site is close to the site the Civil War engagement on Carver's Close (HER PRN 04130), so may have archaeological interest.
Heritage Comments	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA, field evaluation + setting
Management of Constraints:	assessment).
Heritage Comments Opportunities:	
Tree Comments Significant Constraints:	
Tree Comments	trees and hedges to parts of site boundaries
Other Constraints:	trees and nedges to parts of site boundaries
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arb Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arb Method Statement.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	A suitable high quality planting scheme could enhance tree / hedge cover at the location. Use 20% canopy cover policy to plant woodland adjacent existing trees and hedges.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Good
Strategic Considerations:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Known Infrastructure Requirements	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Potential for Allocation?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Recommendation	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Reasoning	A Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared for Cleobury Mortimer. This will identify the strategy for achieving the housing and employment guidelines identified for the town.
If proposed for Allocation, Potential Capacity:	
If proposed for Allocation	
Design Requirements:	

Site Assessment - Stage 3	
Site Reference:	CMO020
Coal Authority Reference Area?	No
Mineral Safeguarding Area?	No
Wholly or Partly Grade 1, 2 and/or 3	Ven
Agricultural Land Quality:	Yes
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 3:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 2:	0%
Percentage of site in Flood Zone 1:	100%
Percentage of the site in the 30 year	1%
surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site in the 100 year surface flood risk zone:	1%
Percentage of the site in the 1,000	2%
year surface flood risk zone:	
Percentage of the site identified on the EA Historic Flood Map:	0%
Percentage of the site within 20m of	0%
an historic flood event:	
Percentage of the site within 20m of a detailed river network:	10%
All or part of the site within a Source Protection Zone:	No
Landscape Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)	Medidili
Visual Impact Considerations:	Medium
(from the LVSS)	Weddin
Highway Comments - Direct Access to	Υ
Highway Network?	·
Highway Comments - If No Direct	
Access, Can One Reasonably Be	
Achieved? And How?	
Highway Comments - Existing	
Highway Suitable for Traffic	γ
Associated with the Development at	
the Access Point?	
Highway Comments - If Existing	Assumes a connection can be made to Lark Rise. Any access onto Tenbury Road would require extension
Highway at Access Point is Not	of 30mph speed limit and footway provision which may require third party land in the vicinity of the
Suitable, Can It Reasonably be Made	employment site.
So?	<u> </u>
Highway Comments - Could the	V
Development Occur Without Off-Site	Υ
Works?	
Highway Comments - Are Envisaged Off-Site Works Achievable?	Additional impact of CMO020 (354 houses) on the capacity of Larks Rise / A4117 T junction will need to be examined.
Highways Accessibility Rating (Out	
Of 24) (Based on Primary School, GP	
Surgery, Convenience Store & Public	19
Transport Service):	
Ecology Comments Significant Constraints:	None.
5 9	
	EcIA required. Surveys for GCN (ponds within 500m), plants (unimproved grassland and other potential
Ecology Comments	priority habitats need botanical survey to assess impacts), Badgers, Bats, nesting birds, reptiles, otter,
Other Constraints:	water vole, crayfish. Environmental Network crosses the northern part of the site along the water course
	so CS17 Environmental Networks applies.

Ecology Comments Management of Constraints:	Protected and priority species and habitats mitigation and enhancement. Retain and enhance all hedgerows/trees/priority habitat on site. If priority habitats present, mitigation land will need to be provided. Buffer of semi-natural vegetation required to the water course and open space should be located along this northern boundary with green corridors to west, east and to connect to footpath in south.
Ecology Comments Opportunities:	Use open space provision to provide biodiversity enhancements. Link open space to existing hedgerow systems and water course.
Heritage Comments Significant Constraints:	
Heritage Comments Other Constraints:	Possible effects on settings of non-designated heritage assets (farm house and traditional farm buildings) at Curdale Farm. No known archaeological interest but site is of a large size, so may have some archaeological potential
Heritage Comments Management of Constraints:	Heritage Assessment required with application (archaeological DBA + field evaluation).
Heritage Comments	
Opportunities:	
Tree Comments	
Significant Constraints: Tree Comments	
Other Constraints:	trees and hedges to site boundaries and north-south through middle of site
Tree Comments Management of Constraints:	Standard BS5837 tree survey / constraints analysis. Arb Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan & Arb Method Statement.
Tree Comments Opportunities:	A suitable high quality planting scheme could enhance tree / hedge cover at the location. Use 20% canopy cover policy to plant woodland adjacent existing groups of trees and hedges.
Public Protection Comments Significant Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Other Constraints:	Commercial existing to the south east of the site may require some noise consideration.
Public Protection Comments Management of Constraints:	
Public Protection Comments Opportunities:	
Conclusion - Stage 2a Sustainability Appraisal:	Poor
Strategic Considerations:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Known Infrastructure Requirements to make Development Suitable in Planning Terms:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Known Infrastructure Opportunities:	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process. Relevant supporting studies should be undertaken and their recommendations implemented. See comments from relevant service areas.
Potential for Windfall?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Potential for Allocation?	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Recommendation	To be determined through the Neighbourhood Plan process.
Reasoning	A Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared for Cleobury Mortimer. This will identify the strategy for achieving the housing and employment guidelines identified for the town.
If proposed for Allocation, Potential Capacity:	, , <u>U</u>
If proposed for Allocation Design Requirements:	