Month / Year	Shropshire Plan Stage	date	event	ABCA Comments and Observations
2016				
Sep-16				
23/01/17 to 20/03/17	Shrosphire Issues and Strategic Options Consultation			
May-17				
Jun-17				
27/10/17 to 22/12/17	Shropshire Preferred Scale and Distribution of Development			
	Consultation			
Jan-18				
Feb-18		14/02/18	BC Core Strategy Review DtC meeting. Shropshire attendee: Adrian Cooper	meeting organised and chaired by Black Country. Agenda: BC key issues and options and feedback from their consultation; ongoing engagement by BC with their DtC partners. No record of dicussions or actions
Peb-16		13/03/18	WMCA Housing Deal meeting Shropshire attendees: Gemma Davies, Liam	meeting arranged by Shropshire following BCCS meeting, to discuss the potential for any new settlement in Shropshire to contribute to housing or employment land shortfall for WMCA. No evidence of the scale of the shortfall being considered or the approach that Shropshire propose to take to consider the ability for any new settlement proposed to meet that need. From the
1410			Cowden and Adrian Cooper	email correspondence arranging the meeting (Adrian Cooper Shropshire 28/02/18) and calendar invitation from Shropshire, it appears that Shropshire is considering a new settlement regardless of need arising from the WMCA. It does not appear that it is the consideration of the unmet need which is driving the consideration of whether to consider a new settlement
Mar-18				no notes of discussion or actions. No evidence of any process to be undertaken by Shropshire to assess what amount of WMCA housing and employment need it could accommodate with or without a new settlement.
		12/07/18	Letter from ABCA to other LPA (unknown	the request to Shropshire Council is twofold:
			distribution) requesting if the receiving LPA can accommodate any amount of the 20,000 houses and 300ha employment land shortfall in the BC,	to consider what amount of the shortfall it is able to accommodate taking account of the expected shortfall of 20,000 homes and 300ha of employment land. And to consider whether any of the sites which are being promoted to the Shropshire Plan are able to contribute to meeting BC needs due to their location and accessibility.
			and whether any sites due to their location and accessibilty could be attributed to meeting BC need.	There is no evidence in the following chronology of Shropshire ever considering what amount of the unmet BC need it could accommodate, or evidence of any methodology or undertaking to do so. Neither is there evidence of Shropshire specifically considering as a criteria of any assessment process whether any of the sites being promoted to the Shropshire Plan could have a role to play in meeting all or part of the BC need due to the location and accessibility. There is no evidence of such consideration being given any weight or being made at all in the chronology of evidence put
		13/07/18	BC Core Strategy Review Launch Event.	forward by Shrosphire. event appears in summary table submitted by Shrsophire to the Examination, but not in the bundle. Attendance at the lauchh event of the BC Plan is not evidence of cooperation by any measure.
		27/07/18	Shropshire attendee: Dan Corden email reply from Shropshire to ABCA letter of	Shropshire note that they have adopted a new Economic Growth Strategy. That SEGS forms part of the evidence base to this Plan review which Bradford Estates has cross referenced in its
Jul-18		27/07/10	12/07/18.	representations and matter statements. SEGS has a first immediate action of Shopshire participating in the WMCA and focusing on the key areas of collaboration set out in the WMCA Strategic Economic Plan to 2030, to accelerate economic growth, employment and productivity and focus on mutual priority actions, including the Land Commission and rely office of the control of the cont
				request for consideration of housing and employment numbers and considering whether any sites being promoted to Stropshire could contribute to meeting BC need through location and accessibility, other objectives of the SEGS include prioritising future deliverable sites based upon return on investment (p8). There is no evidence of Shropshire considering individually or cumulatively the
				benefits of allocation of Bradford Estates J3 site to contribute towards BC needs and SEGS objectives. Shropshire states it will be bold, ambitious and forward thinking in its approach to prioritising sites in locations key to its growth strategy (p9) but there is no evidence it has acted upon its stated commitment, or that it has applied that commitment to the DIC request from ABCA.
				SEGS also states a commitment to ensure housing supply supports the SEGS including the allocation of strategic sites.
				These objectives and commitments from the SEGS which have direct synergy and mutual benefit with the DIC request from ABCA are not referenced in this reply or in any way pursued in the subsequent evidence, despite the Shrsophire letter acknowledging the functional economic relationship and having comissioned the M54 strategic options study.
		04/09/18	GBHMA request of SC to confirm its previous	The Preferred High Growth strategy is expected to provide 3,350 homes above Shropshire's need to accept such migration.
			statement that Shropshire's planned high growth strategy requires net in migration with the West Mids being the principal source of net migration (to	
Sep-18		07/09/18	Shropshire].	Stropshire amended text to state Stropshire is planning for housing above its local housing need but the amount of over provision and source of expected in migration deleted. SC state an lagreement for SC to take part of GBBC HMA shortfall would need to be subject to a formal SoCG which also recognised the the implications of such growth for employment, facilities, services, and
			Statement.	infrastructure. The email acknowledges that some housing growth will come from inward migtation, but there is no proposal to quantify that or consider the effects of increasing or decreasing it. There is no subsequent evidence of such an assessment having been made.
		09/10/18	Shropshire catch-up meeting between Adrian Cooper (SC) and Ian Culley (Wolverhamtpon) to	Actions for SC: to share MOD plans for Cosford; share SC position statement on development in the M54 corridor when available; share GVA M54 corridor study when available. There is no subsequent evidence of how any of those items was considered by SC together with ABCA or South Staffs. The approach appears to be that SC would undertake the M54 study with
Oct-18			discuss issues of housing and employment land in the BC and cross boundary implications from growth options in the M54	GNA, and reach its position statement on development proposals in the M54 corridor and then report the conclusions to ABCA. There is no suggestion in the actions that ABCA would be consulted and its views considered before the conclusions are drawn.
		06/12/18	corridor. GBBCHMA meeting, agenda & summary notes	SC planning for more than minimum housing due to armed forces & student need and to support higher levels of economic growth. M54 corridor study to report in new year. J3 employment
			provided.	proposal yet to be determined - focus on Cosford, possibly to be accompanied by some additional housing provision, which could address shortfall in GBBCHMA albeit to back end of plan period. Despite justifying over provision of housing for reasons including supporting higher levels of economic growth, there is nothing in the evidence to show how the effect on the economy of that additional housing provision has been assessed. There is no evidence of assessment of a different amount of additional housing provision to assess whether that would provide additional benefit for
				the economy, and no evidence of assessment of where that additional housing should be provided in order to generate benefit for the economy.
	Shropshire Preferred Sites			
08/02/19	Consultation			
		08/02/19	ABCA response to SC Preferred Sites Consultation (Reg 18).	ABCA reiterate the BC shortfall of 22,000 dwellings and 300ha employment land. ABCA support SC supporting growth of neighbouring areas specifically the BC because ABCA perceived this was acknowledgement by SC of the opportunity for it to accommodate mutually supportive cross boundary growth; seek findings of M54 corridor study strategic housing and employment opportunities; support growth in the M54 corridor around Cosford and the opportunity it affords to accommodate BC needs.
				there is no subsequent evidence that SC carried out any assessment of the mutual benefits of accommodating growth in the M54 corridor and no evidence of how such benefits might be influenced
		20/03/19	SC Cabinet. Consideration of Strategic	by the amount and locatio of growth that SC could accommodate in the M54 corridor. Cabinet approved further engagement with stakeholders to develop proposals for ironbridge Power Station and Clive Barracks strategic development sites; and approved in principle to explore
Mar-19			Developmetn Sites.	benefits of SC accepting housing from ABCA and engage further with the promoter of land at M54 J3 and neighbouring Authorities to develop proposals to meet BCA needs. There is no evidence of any assessment by SC of the benefits of accepting housing to meet BCA needs, and no evidence of SC assessing what proportion of the BC unmet need it could
				accommodate, or what the mutual benefits of doing so would be, or where to do so and whether it would be better to identify specific sites for contributing to BCA needs. There is no evidence of how the evidence which the promoter of J3 provided to the SC has been considered prior to the rejection of the J3 site.
		11/04/19	GBBCHMA meeting	Agenda includes GBBC HMA shortfall progress - Notes on which fully redacted. BC Plan to consider green belt asssessment in June. SC Plan Strategic Sites consultation to be later in 2019. no other information.
				There is no evidence at this meeting of SC doing anything to further the resolution of SC Cabinet of 20/03/19 to engage with neighbouring Authorities to develop proposals to meet BCA needs
Apr-19		26/04/19	SC letter of response to ABCA representations of 08/02/19,	Reports Cabinet decision of 20/03/19 to explore the benefits of accepting a proportion of unmet development needs from BCA,. Request for evidence to support case for allocation of land at J3.
				ABCA provided the requested evidence (and cross referenced the evidence provided by Bradford Estates) by letter dated 13/05/19. There is no subsequent evidence of SC considering that evidence or working with ABCA or Bradford Estates to consider how the J3 proposal (or any other proposal) could help to meet BCA needs.
May-19		13/05/19	ABCA response providing evidence and further information.	ABCA identify the alignment of M54 potential with WMCA Strategic Investment and Delivery Plan. ABCA identify the addditional need for strategic employment sites to serve BC identified by WMSESS.
,		11/07/19	SC officer update to councillor on meeting with ABCA the same day.	SC report that BCA shortfall set to increase to 25,000 homes and 380ha of employment land. BC keen to support SC in seeking investment for strategic infrastructure in M54 from WMCA but to manage the presentation of that position so that WMCA not seen to be supporting development in the green belt.
				There is no mention of any assessment to be undertaken by SC to consider how it should respond to the increased need that ABCA has identified or any other action for SC other than the intent to
				prepare a statement of common ground
01/07/19 to 09/09/19	Shrosphire Strategic Sites Consultation	06 15	1000	
		09/09/19	ABCA response to consultation.	MS4.13 site supported by ABCA for "game changing housing and economic development opportunity". Reponse provided by ABCA to each of the matters stated by SC to require resolution prior to SC selecting the site as a prefered location
		19/09/19	GBBCHMA meeting, agenda only provided.	GBBCHMA position statement, SoCG scoping note, and local plan updates. Two different sets of notes for 5 November GBBCHMA meeting. Perhaps the first in the bundle is this meeting?
		13/09/19	agenua only provided.	Summary notes state BC expects reduced capacity for housing as a result of urban capacity review. BCA to provide update by end October. SC has completed strategic site consultation, the SC
				Reg 19 plan scheduled for March 2020. Meeting notes on statement of common ground scoping are redacted.
Sep-19				The notes record no actions for SC to carry out any assessment or consideration of how it can contribute to meeting BCA needs, and report no output of any such assessment.
		30/09/19	Letter from ABCA endorsing the response to Strategic Site consultation sent by Wolverhampton	
Oct-19			on behalf of ABCA dated 09/09/19.	
	·			

date	Cheshire East event
16/03/17	CEC comment on SC LP Partial Review. CEC consider no current DTC cross border strategic
	planning matters
20/06/17	DtC meeting between SC. Summary note of
	matters discussed provided. CEC comment on Preffered Option. No significa
	cross broder issues.

		05/11/19	GBBCHMA meeting.	Summary action notes state:
		00/11/10	CSSS. IIIV (IIIOSUIII)	BC evidence on green belt and urban capacity will be published after general election. BC Reg 18 BCP Autumn 2020. SC Preferred Option may be delayed awaiting BCP evidence refresh. Decision on M54 J3 stratetigic proposal not yet reported to members for consideration.
				increase in BC shortfall of 6,500 dwellings due to employment land redevelopment previously expected now not expected to come forward. Discounting of SHLAA sites expected to reduce BC capacity by a further 5,000 dwellings. BC evidence critical to justify other LPA going beyond local housing need (South Staffs, Lichfield and Shropshire)
Nov-19				the only action from the meeting is for the BCA to share its evidence of need. There are no actions for SC to consider that evidence once received. The evidence was presented by BCA to a meeting on 11/12/19
1404-13				
		05/12/19	GBBCHMA Agenda dated Tuesday 5 December 2019	appears to be error and relate to Tuesday 5 November as provided with 5 November summary notes and 5 December 2019 was a Thursday
Dec-19		11/12/19	GBBCHMA meeting, summary action note:	Focus of the meeting to receive presentations on the BC Urban Capacity Refresh and Green Belt /Landscape Assessment. Position statement on that refresh redacted.
		11/12/13	Obbotivis meeting, summary action note.	Todas of the Internal to receive presentations of the BO orban departity foreign and Orean detectable Assessment. To short state foreign treatment of that foreign reduced.
Jan-20		12/02/20	GBBCHMA meeting	Summary action note: BC figures require adjustment for net demolitions. Everything else redacted.
			•	
Feb-20				
Mar-20				
		28/04/20	Eddie West and Ian Culley Catch-up.	No agenda or minutes provided
400				
Apr-20				
		21/05/20	Eddie West and Ian Culley Catch-up.	No agenda or minutes provided
May-20		40/07/01	Lotter from CO to ABOUT 1	SC solventidate similarity appendix of PC by
		12/07/20	Letter from SC to ABCA (dated 12/07/22 sent by email 07/07/20).	SC acknowledge significant proportion of BC housing and employment needs cannot be accommodated in BC. Functional linkages between Shropshire and BC recognised. SC propose to accept 1,500 of BC housing need within SC [existing] housing requirement and delivered in accordance with the occannot ho distribution of growth. The additional housing [not additional] would not be accommodated on a single site and not anticip[ated to require any further loss of green belt. Offer to formalise through SOCG.
				To this point there is no evidence of how the figure of 1,500 homes has been arrived at. The 1,500 homes are not additional provision to be made by SC, but instead offered as justification for provision which SC proposed to make in any event. The offer is made on the undersatnding
Jul-20		20/07/20	SC Cabinet. Minutes provided.	that it will require no additional land to be released from the green belt and no specific site will be identified as copntributing to the BCA need. Cabinet accepted principles of SC accepting 1,500 dwellings from ABCA as part of the DIC and for these to be incorporated into Shropshire's overall housing requirement and distributed in accordance with the overall strategies approach to distribution of prowth.
Jur-20				accordance with the overall strategic approach to distribution of growth. There is no explanation of how the figure of 1,500 was arrived at.
		Jul-20	GBBCHMA Housing Need and Land Supply Position Statement.	No indication of how this was considered or by whom. Just included in bundle. 3.9 states the Shrosphire Draft Plan Reg 18 July 2020 proposes a contribution of 1.500 dwellings towards BC shortfall. 4.3 acknowledges shortfalls have only been tested to 2031 but it is celar that there is further shortfall post 2031. How to meet that shortfall will be the subject of ongoing DTC discussions. 6.3. The BC shortfall shows in 20 3.50°. Scene for contributions from Shrosphire outside the MMA but with storegible or the GRECHMA in sended. Appendix 2 statuse the BC Ulston
				discussions. 6.3 The BC shortfall alone is 29,260. Scope for contributions from Shropshire outside the HMA but with strong links to the GBBCHMA is noted. Appendix 2 states the BC Urban Capacity Review estimates that up to 2,370 homes could be brought forward in the urban area which is not reflected in the figures above.
		04/08/20	ABCA letter to SC and others	Provides an update on strategic issues of housing and employment needs arising over the BC plan period and request SC to confirm if emerging LP is seeking to deliver levels of housing / employment in excess of local needs on non-GB and GB land. Mention of developing SoCG. BC housing shortfall 27,000 homes, employment shortfall 292ha - 570ha. Potential housing
		17/08/20	Edward West and Ian Culley DtC catch-up meeting	contributions from South Staffs, Lichfield, Cannock and Shropshire identified. Employment had only one potential contribution from West Midlands Interchange. response requested within 2 months
			Following ABCA letter of 04/08/20 and Planning White paper Edward West and Ian Culley DtC catch-up. No	no notes of discussion
Aug-20	Shropshire Regulation 18: Pre- Submission Draft Consultation		notes.	no notes or assussion draft letter confirms emmployment land target of 300ha for SC does not include contribution to BC needs and has required SC to release land from green belt. That target of 300ha and proposal to release green belt having been made ahead of consideration of providing any employment land towards BCA needs. SC willing to consider principle of contributing employment land provided it
	Submission Draft Consultation		be issued by SC to AGCA.	does not take provision above the already planned 300ha and does not compromise the urban focus strategy of the Shropshire Plan.
		30/09/20	ABCA response to Shropshire LP Reg 18 Consultation.	ABCA object to the plan due to lack of provision of employment land to meet BC unmet need. ABCA note their previous support for M54 J3 has not been responded to by the draft plan. Support the housing figure of 30,800 incorporating 1,500 for the BC. Agreed as a positive approach to cross border cooperation. Allocations in principal settlements in the easetm area closest to BC
			Consultation.	including Shiftal and Bridgnoth considered well placed to meet this need. However, concern at lack of trigger for review of plan in light of low rate of delivery or increased need. BC employment shortfall 263ha - 500ha. Evidence gap in how SC need and planned provision of 300ha calculated. Note information submitted by Bradford Estate in relation to M54 J3 and request further engagement with SC through DIC to explore employment land at J3.
Oct-20		22/10/20	lan Culley ABCA , Eddie West SC catch up meeting.	no notes of discussion
Nov-20		13/11/20	Local Plan catch-up meeting.	no notes of discussion
		14/12/20	email exchange Ian Culley ABCA and Eddie West	the focus of dialgue now appears to be to put in place a statement of common ground.
			SC re Shropsire Reg 19 consultation date and diarising meeting to deal with SOCG.	
		07/12/20	SC Cabinet. Minutes provided.	Cabinet agreed principle of SC accepting up to 30ha of employment need from ABCA and to distribute in accordance with draft policy SP2. 5.25 This acceptance of need will NOT require identification of additional land. 5.24 SC planned 300ha is beyond is baseline need which is deliberate to deliver a step change in economic growth as proposed by the Economic Growth Strategy.
				Reconsideration of M54 J3 Bradford Estates proposal for a strategic employmet site only with no housing, rejected on basis that there is insufficient justification to release this land from the green belt.
Dec-20				There is no evidence of prior assessment of how much employment land SC could accommodate towards the BCA unment need. The evidence confirms that no additional land is proposed to be allocated towards BCA needs beyond that which was already allocated for SC needs. The evidence confirms that no sites are proposed to be allocated specifically to meet BCA needs. There is therefore no evidence of response to the size or location requirements of BCA need, and
				no evidence of mutual benefits, or how accommodating BCA needs in Shropshire could benefit growth in Shropshire, growth in the BC or other mutual benefits as SC had undertaken to do in accordance with SEGS.
				The evidence states that SC considered there were not exceptional circumstances and insufficient need to remove land from the green belt as its justification for rejection of the opportunity promoted by Bradford Estates at M54 J3. However, the evidence is that SC had already concluded that there we exceptional circumstances justifying the release of land from the green belt for employment and housing. There is no evidence of assessment of the relative benefits for either Shropshire or the BCA of the allocation of additional green belt land at J3 either instead of or in
				addition to allocations proposed elsewhere. Para 5.28 states that SC has weighed up the benefits of allocating J3, but there is no evidence of that assessment being presented to Cabinet for its consideration, and no evidence of that assessment of benefits at all.
		11/01/21	Local plan catch-up meeting.	no notes of discussion
18/12/20 to 06/02/21	Shropshire Regulation 19 Pre- Submission consultation			
Jorden E I				
Feb-21				
Mar-21				
4				
Apr-21				
May-21		20/00/2	SC instead death Section - App.	Seeling and where we are with the process to pay 1 CC and ADCA office any and the control of the
Jun-21		30/06/21	SC issued draft SoCG to ABCA	*setting out where we are with the process to now*. SC seek ABCA officer support to defend BC evidence at Shropshire examination. SC reject any modifications in response to ABCA request for review trigger. SC suggest that programme of BC plan being 2 years behind Shropshire negates need for review trigger as Shropshire will have to review its plan in 2026.
		15/07/21	email from SC to ABCA	confirmation that SC consider the DTC has been fulfilled and will be closed once ABCA board ratify the SOCG on 28/07/21.
h.4.04				
Jul-21				
03/09/21 Sep-21	Shropshire Plan submission	26/04/22	letter from ABCA providing update on unmet	None of the potential contributions which in total range from 3,500 to 10,000 are certain ABCA estimates 8,000 of that number could be allocated to BC needs. Even with the potential contributions
			housing and employment land need and potential contributions from other LPA.	(including from SC) the unmet need remains over 18,000 - 24,500 homes. Additional earlier stage potential contributions add up to 8,700 homes. ABCA estimates up to 16,700 homes could be contributed which would still leave a shortfall of 11,500 homes. Employment contributions are the potential 30ha from SC and an asserted 67ha from West Midlands Insterchange [which has not been offered by South Staffs]. The outstanding shortfall after these potential contributions is 108ha.
Apr-22				ABCA seek contributions to addressing its urmet need for housing and employment land, participation in work to address recommendations of WMSESS for strategic employment land; participation in SOCG, and early review mechanism in the Local Plan to enable consideration of additional requirements from the above requests.
				The evidence is that ABCA continues to have very substantial unmet needs for housing and employment and at the point of the submission of the Shropshire Plan there is no evidence of a joined up
				consideration by SC together with other LPA of how that BCA need could be met overall or how much of that need could be accommodated by Shropshire and the benefits of doing so.

27/02/20	letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that
	and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.
	final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May. Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs
17/03/20	development needs. CEC reply to request. Decline to assist due to CEC being a self contained HMA and CEC not yet understanding its own needs
18/03/21	SC forwarded draft SoCG to CEC. Email exchanges to agree scope and content of the
	Socg
24/06/21	Agreement of SoCG (signed by CEC Head of
	Planning)

	Cheshire West and Chester		Herefordshire	Malvern Hils		Newcastle under Lyme		Powys		South Staffordshire
date	event	date	event	date event	date	event	date	event	date	event
		20/01/17	DtC meeting with SC, HC and Powys. Agenda				20/01/17	DtC meeting with SC, Hrefrodshire Council and		
			provided including housing, Gypsy and Traveller, economic development and minerals & waste. No notes of discussion					Powys Council Agenda includes plan timetables & progress; housing/employment requirements; gypsy and Traveller matters; minerals, waste & energy matters; infrastructure; evidence base		
								energy matters; infrastructure; evidence base requirements; nutrient management in Clun catchment and need for DtC.		
								no notes		
									13/03/17	SSDC request assistance from SC with GBHMA need. No subsequent evidence of response or
									25/05/17	how any response was considered email exchange between SSDC and SC. SSDC
										consider there are no DTC issues outstanding in relation to the SAD, but do note there are outstanding DTC issues such as unmet housing
										need which will need to be addressed through the South Staffs Local Plan review. SC seek dialogue on request froim SSBC for SC to accomodate
										overspill from the WMCA.
									26/06/17	DtC meeting SC and SSDC - no agenda or minutes.
15/12/17	CWaC response of no comment to consultation on SC preferred approach.									
23/01/18	DtC meeting between CWaC and SC seeking to identify whether any strategic or non-strategic cross boundary issues exist. Sumamry checklist									
	provided. Identifying no significant cross boundary issues.									
					15/02/18	Stoke and NuLC Joint LP DtC meeting. Summary notes identify no significant cross boundary strategic matters.				
							22/03/18	Crossborder workshop with PC, Grow Mid Wales and LEP. No agenda.		
		24/07/18	Marches LEP Infrastructure meeting. Agenda includes housing and commercial land sites, energy, transport, broadband.							
			No notes of meeting.							
					06/12/18	Local plans catch-up meeting. No agenda or			06/12/18	GRHMA meeting - undate on Shronshire plan
					00/12/10	minutes.				GBHMA meeting - update on Shropshire plan. SSDC section redacted. SC planning for more than min. housing due to
										armed forces & student need and to support higher levels of economic growth. M54 corridor study to report in new year. J3 employment proposal yet to
										be determined - focus on Cosford, possibly to be accompanied by some additional housing provision, which could address shortfall in
										GBBCHMA albeit to back end of plan period
										DtC meeting SC and SSBC re list of current issues. minutes of 17/12 meeting circulated - SC strategy
										is urban focused high growth + marked increase in scale of development in East Shrosphire as result of green belt review and delivery of sites in north
										east to meet sub-regional needs within West Midlands. Both LPA want investment in M54
										corridor. Signed statement of agreement to joint working. Green belt reviews being undertaken separately.
										Noted as having same consultant and methodology. [but neither will consider the findings
										of the other].
									15/03/19	SSDC endorsement of its officer reps to SC Preferred Sites consultation
										GBHMA meeting. action notes redacted for SSBC.
										BC Plan to consider green belt asssessment in June. SC Plan Strategic Sites consultation to be later in 2019. no other information.
					31/07/19	DtC Informal meeting. No notes				
						,				
001	011-0								15.00	
	CWaC responds to consultation on Preferred Strategic Sites. No comments made as no no cross boundary strategic issues.								15/08/19	letter from SSDC. SSDC has not formed a view on J3 as it is not a preferred strategic site of SC. Request for further Reg 18 consultation if J3
					06/09/19	NuLC Reg 18 Strategic Sites consultation				proposed.
						response. Support proposed allocation of of Clive Barracks. NuLC consider there are no strategic cross border issues, but express interst in M54 J3				
						site regarding regionally significant employment offer.				
									19/09/19	GBBCHMA meeting.
										GBBCHMA position statement, SoCG scoping note, and local plan updates. Two different sets of notes for 5 November GBBCHMA meeting.
										Perhaps the first in the bundle is this meeting? South Staffs section redacted Summary notes state BC expects reduced
										capacity for housing as a result of urban capacity review. BCA to provide update by end October.
										SC has completed strategic site consultation. Reg 19 plan sceduled for March 2020.

											January III and III an
						05/11/19	letter from NuLC to SC asking SC to assist with their 5,515 housing need shortfall andn prposing next DTC meeting is used to discuss this.				GBBCHMA meeting. Summary action notes state: South Staffs redacted BC evidence on green belt and urban capacity will
											be published after general election. Reg 18 BCP Autumn 2020. SC Preferred Option may be delayed awaiting BCP evidence refresh. Decision
											on M54 J3 stratetgic proposal not yet reported to members for consideration.
											increase in BC shortfall of 6,500 dwellings due to employment land redevelopment previously expected now not expected to come forward.
											Discounting of SHLAA sites expected to reduyce BC capacity by a further 5,000 dwellings. BC
											evidence critical to justify other LPA going beyond local housing need (South Staffs, Lichfield and Shropshire)
		09/12/2019	Correspondence for a meeting for DtC							05/12/19	GBBCHMA Agenda dated Tuesday 5 December
		14/01/2020	Correspondence for a meeting for bio							03/12/13	2019 - appears to be error and relate to Tuesday 5 November as provided with 5 November summary
										11/12/19	notes and 5 December 2019 was a Thursday GBBCHMA meeting, summary action note: Focus
											of the meeting to receive presentations on the BC Urban Capacity Refresh and Green Belt
		15/01/20	DtC meeting- no agenda or minutes								/Landscape Assessment. Position statement on that refresh redacted.
										12/02/20	GBBCHMA meeting, Summary action note: BC figures require adjustment for net demolitions. Everything else redacted.
	need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that		letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that		letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that		letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that		need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that	27/02/20	letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that
	the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.
	final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May.		final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May.		final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May.		final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May.		final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May.		final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May.
	Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs. CWaC reply to request. Decline to assist. CWaC		Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs.		Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs.		Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs.		Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs.	12/03/20	Request from SC for assistance in meeting its development needs. SSDC officer declines to accommodate need. No
	cannot meet any of the specifically identified development. CW land ajoining SC is countryside.										evidence of final decision by Cabinet member as required by SSDC.
		20/04/20	HC reply to request. Decline to assist due to HC significantly under delivering on HDT and restrictions on development for the Lugg				Email exchange regarding SoCG between NuLC and SC. NuLC request reference in SoCG to transport concerns connected to HS2. NuLC not				
			Catchment Area.				yet clear what its needs are is it is restarting its plan separately from Stoke.				
						14/04/20	SC respond to letter dated 05/11/2019 from NuLC declining to assit NuLC with their unmet housing need due to conflict with SC proposed scale and				
							pattern of development				
29/09/20	CWaC response to Reg 18 consultation. CWaC identify Gypsy and Traveller Sites and minerals as	29/09/20	HC email stating no comments to make on Reg 18 Consultation							29/09/20	SSDC response to SC Pre-Submission draft consultation. The lack of proposed allocation of
	identify Gypsy and Traveller Sites and minerals as cross boundary issues about which dialogue is requested.		Gormandon								M54 J3 is noted and calls made for robust evidence of exceptional circusmatches of the site
											were to be proposed for allocation in the future.
				22/01/21	Initial draft of SoCG sent by SC to MHC			13/01/21	Initial SoCG sent to PC	08/01/21	SSDC request SC cooperation to meet 4,000 dwelling contribution which SSDC considers is its
26/01/21	SC and CWaC DtC meeting on draft SoCG- no							26/01/21	Updated SoCG sent by SC to PC	12/01/21	reasonable contribution to GBHMA unmet need SC response confirming that SC is proposing
	agenda or minutes. Minerals to be discussed specifically.							174-1		3.721	1,500 dwellings and 30ha towards BC but not able to meet all of the BC need. Therefore SC not able
											to address entirety or any part of SSDC contribution to GBHMA. SC propose SoCG re DTC.
01/02/21	draft SoCG provided by SC to CWaC.	26/02/21	Reg 19 Consultation representation	19/02/21	Reg 19 Consultation representation						
04/03/21	SoCG signed by CWaC	01/03/2021	Correspondence between SC and HC on the							10/03/21	DtC meeting SC and SSDC
		11/03/2021	SoCG								
		00.12	0.000	42.0	MUO			10.0	Market (F	11/03/21	updated SoCG circulated
		08/04/21	SoCG signed copy sent from SC to HC	15/04/21	MHC query receiving letter from SC dated 27/02/2020				Meeting of Powys Local Development Plan working group. Minutes provided. Agreement that the SoCG with SC should be signed.		
				19/04/21	Discussion regarding the scope and content of the draft SoCG. SC attendee: Dan Corden			20/04/21	Updated SoCG following meeting on 19/04/2021		
					SC email stating SC generally happy with SoCG SC signed SoCG sent to MHC					26/05/21	Agreement of the submitted SoCG
				09/06/21	Meeting with SC, Tenbury Wells TC and Burford cllr. On cross boundary infrastructure. Agenda			30/06/21	Agreement of submitted SoCG		
					provided						
					Conversation between SC and MHC on the Shropshire Local Plan position. Development proposals in Burford and cross-boundary						
					infrastructure requirements associated with development in Burford and the appropriateness of						
					proposed modifications to address concerns.						
						15/09/21	Agreement of the submitted SoCG				

date	Stafford event	date	Telford and Wrekin	date	Wrexham	date	Wyre Forest
uate	CVCIII			uate	CYCII	date	To the state of th
		undated	DTC checklist for Telford & Wrekin Local Plan 2011 - 2031. Both SC and T&WC consider they are self contained HMA, but there is net migration				
			each year from T&WC to SC. SC and T&WC agree no cross boundary issues for employment				
		06/09/16	DtC meeting, suggested agenda provided - identification of cross boundary issues.				
			identification of cross boundary issues.				
						22/02/47	DtC meeting, no agenda or meeting provided.
17/03/17	SBC response to Issues and Strategic Options Consultation, no proposals that will affect the					25/02/17	bito meeting, no agenda of meeting provided.
	Borough			19/05/17	DtC meeting. Notes provided. Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Pontcysyllte Aqueduct		
					identified as matters of strategic cross border significance.		
		06/11/17	Shropshire preferred options consultation meeting,				
08/12/17	SBC response to Consultation on Prefferred Scale and Distribution of Development. SBC consider		no agenda or minutes provided.				
	there are no strategic cross border issues.						
29/01/18	SC and SBC DtC meeting. No agenda or minutes.	30/01/18	T&WC response to SC Preferred Site Allocations Consultation. Cross boundary matters identified as				
			being: highways M54 J4, increased flood risk, waste and water resources				
		24/07/18	Marches LEP Infrastructure meeting. Agenda includes housing and commercial land sites,				
			includes housing and commercial land sites, energy, transport, broadband. No notes of meeting.				
							Letter from WFC to SC with comments on SC LP review. Comments on net additional hosuing of
							review. Comments on net additional hosuing of 10,250, Highleys allocation causing increased congestion, Cleobury Mortimer NP, welcome employment land and protection of GB
							employment land and protection of GB
		09/09/19	Reg 18 Consultation Response. T&WC response to SC Strategic Site Allocations Consultation.				
			to SC Strategic Site Allocations Consultation. Clarification requested on former Ironbridge power station, extent of GB release for RAF Cosford, long term use of airfield of Clive Barracks. T&WC				
			term use of airfield of Clive Barracks. T&WC oppose development of the land at M54 J3 indivdually and cumulatively with RAF Cosford.				
		19/09/19	GBBCHMA meeting, agenda only provided. GBBCHMA position statement, SoCG scoping				
			note, and local plan updates. Two different sets of notes for 5 November GBBCHMA meeting.				
			Perhaps the first in the bundle is this meeting? Summary notes state BC expects reduced				
			capacity for housing as a result of urban capacity review. BCA to provide update by end October. SC has completed strategic site consultation. Reg 19 plan sceduled for March 2020.				
			19 plan sceduled for March 2020.				
		24/09/19	DtC catch-up meeting between SC and T&WC.				
						15/10/19	DtC meeting, no agenda or minutes.

			I				
		11/12/19	GBBCHMA meeting, summary action note: Focus of the meeting to receive presentations on the BC				
			Urban Capacity Refresh and Green Belt /Landscape Assessment. Position statement on that refresh redacted.				
		12/02/20	GBBCHMA meeting, Summary action note: BC figures require adjustment for net demolitions.				
27/02/20	letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that	27/02/20	Everything else redacted. letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that	27/02/20	letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that	27/02/20	letter from SC requesting assistance with meeting need. Page 2 (p50 of bundle) acknowledges that
	the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.		the stratetgic importance of the east of the County and particularly the M54 corridor, justifies growth in the green belt.
	Final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May. Request from SC for assistance in meeting its		final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May. Request from SC for assistance in meeting its		Final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May. Request from SC for assistance in meeting its		Final decision on whether to include M54 J3 in the Plan to be made in May. Request from SC for assistance in meeting its
16/03/20	development needs. SBC respond to letter dated 27/02/2020. SBC support development in the M54 corridor to	03/03/20	development needs. SC and T&WC DtC meeting, no agenda or minutes provided.		development needs.		development needs.
	strengthen links with West Midlands conurbation. Low levels of functional linkage between SBC and SC areas, therfore SBC decline to accept any						
	Shropshire housing need						
		06/05/20	Email exchange between SC to T&WC regarding				
			housing standard method calculations update as T&WC proposing a housing needs study.				
						29/09/20	Reg18 Pre-Submission Consultation response from WFC. Comments made on cross boundary
							issue. Concern about traffic impact from developoment near LPA boundary. WFC identify potential for further out migration of employemnt
							from Wyre Forest.
						13/11/20	Email correspondence. SC response to WTC concerns on boundary impact traffic. Arranging a meeting to discuss SoCG for w/c 30/11/2020. No
		14/12/20	SC and T&WC meeting to discuss strategic				date finalised in emails but last proposal 04/12/20
			matters including housing need, gypsy and traveller sites, GB review, M54/A5, Ironbridge Power Station.				
			- Canon				
		18/12/20	Post 14/12/2020 meeting email requesting update on SoCG.				
				14/01/21	Draft of SoCG sent. Email reiterated that following previous discussions, there was no significant cross-boundary impacts and all matters were		
					agreed		
		24/02/21	Reg 19 Consultation representation	19/02/21	SoCG signed off. SC amended following comments from WC towards removal of Gypsy and Traveller Site near Llay and Development	11/02/21	Reg 19 Consultation representation
19/03/21	Email from SC to arrange discussion/meeting of SoCG				and Traveller Site near Llay and Development Management Policy		
22/03/21	SC and SBC DtC meeting. No agenda or minutes.						
_ 50/21	augurad or minutes.						
25/05/21	SC sent signed SoCG to SBC			25/05/21	Agreement of the submitted SoCG		
25/05/21 28/05/12	Agreement of the submitted SoCG						
		19/07/21	Draft SoCG sent to T&WC				
			Draft SoCG catch up meeting with SC and T&WC. SC attendees: Edward West and Dan Corden			25/08/21	Draft SoCG sent to WFC. Included SC summary of WFC Reg 19 Reps and SC response
		27/07/21	email from T&WC with comments on draft SoCG and response from SC. SC state that 1,500 dwellings allocation for Shifnal is mainly existing				
			completions and comitments with only 230 dwellings allocated and 92 windfalls allowed for. That with a single employments site of 39ha				
		31/08/21	stated to be very moderate growth levels. Agreement of the submitted SoCG				
						15/12/21	Agreement on the submitted SoCG