

**APPEAL REF: APP/L3245/W/23/3332543**

**APPEAL AGAINST THE REFUSAL BY SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL OF AN APPLICATION (REF 22/04355/FUL) FOR ERECTION OF AN UP TO 30 MW SOLAR PV ARRAY, COMPRISING GROUND MOUNTED SOLAR PV PANELS, VEHICULAR ACCESS, INTERNAL ACCESS TRACKS, LANDSCAPING AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, INCLUDING SECURITY FENCING, CCTV, CLIENT STORAGE CONTAINERS AND GRID CONNECTION INFRASTRUCTURE, INCLUDING SUBSTATION BUILDINGS AND OFF-SITE CABLING**

**AT LAND WEST OF BERRINGTON, SHREWSBURY, SHROPSHIRE SY5 6HA**

**APPELLANT: ECONERGY INTERNATIONAL LTD**

---

**ADDENDUM TO FLOUR NOT POWER'S STATEMENT OF CASE**

---



**Aaron & Partners**  
**Solicitors**

Lakeside House  
Oxon Business Park  
Shrewsbury  
SY3 5HJ

1. This document is an addendum to the Statement of Case submitted by the Rule 6 Party, Flour Not Power, in respect of the above appeal.
2. In addition to the evidence referred to in the Statement of Case, Flour Not Power will also provide evidence to demonstrate that the proposed development does not accord with the relevant legislation and policy relating to heritage. Evidence will focus on the impact of the proposal on the following heritage assets:
  1. Cantlop Bridge – Grade II\* listed, list entry number 1366715;
  2. Berrington Farmhouse – Grade II listed, list entry number 1177114;
  3. Newman Hall cottages and associated pump – both Grade II listed, list entry numbers 1176937 and 1055579; and
  4. Cantlop Mill – locally listed and referred to in the Shropshire Historic Environment Record.
3. Evidence will be provided to demonstrate that once the duty in section 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 has been complied with, the proposal would not accord with the adopted development plan, specifically policies MD13 of SAMDev, and policies CS6 and CS17 of the Core Strategy. Evidence will also show that the proposal does not comply with policy DP23 of the emerging Shropshire Local Plan, or section 16 of the NPPF.
4. Flour Not Power will provide evidence that the proposal would have an unacceptably negative impact on the heritage significance of these assets, and would not preserve their settings.
5. Flour Not Power will also provide evidence that the condition which was proposed to be attached to the planning permission, requiring a 10-year landscape management and maintenance plan, would not be sufficient to alleviate the harm.