

# **Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule**

Representations Form

### Please return to:

Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND, preferably by email to planning.policy@shropshire.gov.uk

BY 21" April 2011		
Personal Details*  *If an agent is appointed, please complete only boxes below but complete the full contact details.  *If an agent is appointed, please complete only boxes below but complete the full contact details.  *If an agent is appointed, please complete only boxes below but complete the full contact details.		2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	W	
First Name	Shaun	
Last Name	Jones	
Job Title (where relevant)	Rural Director	
Organisation (where relevant)	Halls Holding's Ltd	
Address Line 1	welsh Bridge	
Line 2	Shrewiting	
Line 3		
Post Code	S43 8LA	
Telephone Number	01743 284777	
E-mail Address	Shawjehalls.to	
(where relevant)		

#### Shropshire Levy Draft Charging Schedule: Representations Form

### Matters on which representations may be made

The purpose of the examination is to consider whether the Draft Charging Schedule meets the following statutory tests in accordance with sections 212(4) and 221 of the Planning Act 2008:

- (a) That the charging authority has complied with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008 and the CIL Regulations;
- (b) That the charging authority has used appropriate available evidence to inform the draft charging schedule;
- (c) That the charging authority has had regard to the Statutory Guidance, "Charging setting and charging schedule procedure guidance" (March 2010).

Representations must relate to these matters. Other matters may be outside the scope of the examination and will be subject to the Inspector's judgement as to their relevance.

All representations will be considered by the Inspector as written representations. In addition, any persons or organisations making representations have the right to be heard in person at the examination, should they choose to appear. The examination will take the format of a hearing, using an informal 'round table' format under the direction of the Inspector.

Q1. Please indicate whether you wish to be present at the Hearing

a) Yes	
b) Possibly: to be decided at a later date and confirmed with the Council in May / June (we will write to you to	
confirm)	
c) No: please treat my representation	,
as a Written Representation (note that	
equal weight will be given by the	v
Inspector to written representations)	

#### (a) Procedural and Legal Points

Q2. Do you wish to make representations regarding matters of technical compliance with the Planning Act 2008 or the CIL Regulations?

a) No	$\vee$	
b) Yes (please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)		

## (b) Appropriate Available Evidence

Q3. Do you have any representations regarding the evidence that has been used to inform the Draft Charging Schedule?

	7
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)	
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary) with reference to 3-12 of the Statement of Consultation	>
The condusion is misleadily. A more logical approved	
would be to consider the number of box	
Conversion Schemes developed throughout strophire.	
The tomer South Shopshire area with an attordable	
housing payment of 50% had a handfull of	
down and is to last to read whereas all	
atter area! In the court had perhaps 200-50	0.
Every payment /tax/levy hinders development. I	F 12
Every payment /tax/levy hinders development. I inge the concil to exercise courtion and stick to	tho m.
uge the land to exercise and	

Q4. Do you have any representations regarding the Council's interpretation or use of the evidence?

3.17 Average land values in most areas of the lating one probably £300-400,000 per acre currently. The levy is therefore more influential than suggested. From Existing use value of land may provent land from coming forward particularly if taxes (levies reduce the element of gain too much.	toursel patientale it land
---	----------------------------

Q5. Do you have any other representations regarding the statutory test, "That the charging authority has used appropriate available evidence to inform the draft charging schedule"?

(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)
There has been no distinction for existing
developed land with value eq. borns. As capital gain for these sites is less than greenfield sites
gain for these sites is less than greentied sites
This justifies a reduced levy. Listed buildings
Should have a reduced less due to extra
1. Il reste
Para 3-90 is a poor justification for a differential.

(c) Regard to the Statutory Guidance
Q6. Do you have any comments on the proposed Levy rates for residential development, of £40/m2 in Shrewsbury, the towns and key centres and £80/m2 in the rural area? (NB. Comments relating to charging zones should be addressed in Q7 and comments relating to affordable housing should be addressed in Q8)
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary) There should be no differential. Rural areas are often more expensive to deliver.
Q7. Do you have any comments on the boundary line between the two Charging Zones?
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)
Q8. Do you have any comments on the proposed nil Levy rate for affordable housing?
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)  It is fair and reasonable.

Shropshire Levy Draft Charging Schedule: Representations Form

Q9. Do you have any comments on the proposed Levy rates for any other type of development (commercial, industrial, employment, retail, hotels, residential institutions, assembly and leisure, agricultural or other uses)?
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)
ck.
Q10. Do you have any other comments relating the Council's Charging Schedule?
(please detail using continuation sheets if necessary)
1. There should be a limit on the inflation measure to provent excessive increases.
2-11-11

Shropshire Levy Draft Charging Schedule: Representations Form

#### Comments on other matters

Please note that comments on the Accompanying Notes or any other matters that do not form part of the Charging Schedule will not be considered in the examination, but will be included as part of the responses to the concurrent consultation on the Developer Contributions Supplementary Planning Document. This includes comments on the types of infrastructure on which the CIL may be spent in the future.