

# **Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan**

For Shropshire Council use

Respondent

no:

### **Main Modifications consultation**

1 June 2015 – 13 July 2015

#### **Main Modifications Consultation Form**

The SAMDev Plan Schedule of Main Modifications includes a series of changes to the published SAMDev Plan. These suggested changes are being consulted on for a period of six weeks. For advice on how to respond to the consultation, and how to fill in this form please see the guidance notes on the Council's SAMDev Plan website at: <a href="http://shropshire.gov.uk/planning-policy/samdev-examination/main-modifications-consultation/">http://shropshire.gov.uk/planning-policy/samdev-examination/main-modifications-consultation/</a>.

## **Submitting comments:**

Please fill in this form and return:

Via email to: Programme.Officer@shropshire.gov.uk

By posting to: Daphne Woof - Programme Officer

c/o Planning Policy Team

**Shropshire Council** 

Shirehall

Abbey Foregate Shrewsbury SY2 6ND

- Comments must be received by <u>5pm on 13 July 2015</u>. Comments received after this time will not be accepted and will not be considered by the Inspector.
- Please fill a separate for each Main Modification you are commenting on.
- Please clearly identify which Main Modification your comments refer to using the reference (i.e. MM1, MM2 etc) in the SAMDev Plan Schedule of Main modifications.
- Please do not repeat your previous comments as these have already been considered by the Planning Inspector. Comments will only be considered that refer to a change as shown in the SAMDev Plan Schedule of Main Modifications.

Shropshire Council SAMDev Plan Main Modifications Response Form

- All comments received on the proposed changes within the time period will be considered by the Planning Inspector as part of the examination of the SAMDev Plan. The Inspector may wish to contact you to discuss your comments and concerns, prior to concluding the formal examination into the Plan.
- The personal information will only be used for purposes related to the consultation and the SAMDev Plan examination. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. However other information will be shared with the Planning Inspector.
- The information relating to your comments on the Main Modification (Part B) will be published on the Shropshire Council SAMDev Plan examination webpage.

### A) Your details:

# 1) Who is making this representation?

Name:	John Acres
Organisation (if applicable):	Turley
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

<u>Client's details</u> (only applicable if you are acting as agent on behalf of another person or business)

Name:	Richborough Estates
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	c/o Agent
Email:	
Telephone:	

B) Your representations: What do you wish to object to/support?

Shropshire Council SAMDev Plan Main Modifications Response Form

Please use a separate form for each Main Modification you wish to comment on. Only comments relating to a proposed Main Modification will be considered.

1) Please give the Main Modification reference your comment relates to.

Main Modification reference - MM: 154							
Do you consider the proposed Main Modification addresses the following issues in relation to the policies concerned?							
Logally compliant	Yes	No					
Legally compliant							
Positively prepared							
Justified							
Effective							
Consistent with national policy		X					
2) If you have answered 'ne' to any of the above places anseity your							

3) If you have answered 'no' to any of the above please specify your reason for objecting below. You should make clear why the Main Modification is either not legally compliant and/or unsound having regard to whether the modification is not: positively prepared; justified; effective; or, not consistent with national policy. Please see guidance notes for explanation of these terms:

The proposed amendments to the text appear to exceed the provisions of both the NPPF and the 1990 Act. The amended version requires developments to 'respect, restore and enhance' the setting and significance of the historic core of the town. Firstly, it makes the reference to 'setting and significance' rather than referring to setting as part of significance (in line with the NPPF). However, it again uses the terms 'respect' and 'restore', neither of which have a basis in the 1990 Act or NPPF. 'Respect' is a frequently used term in planning issues, but how can it be defined from a policy perspective? How do we know when 'respect' has been achieved? An alternative approach may be to use the term 'sustain and / or enhance the character and appearance'. In this way it would be possible to define the attributes that contribute to 'character and appearance' and then assess whether, or not, a proposed development sustains or enhances those attributes.

Similarly, the amended text requires proposals to 'recognise' the importance of Ludlow Castle as a nationally and internationally important heritage asset. How would the LPA be able to state whether or not a proposed development had 'recognised' that importance?

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Continu	ue on a separa	te sheet if no	ecessary.		

Please note you should cover all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to justify the representation and the suggested change. After this stage, further submissions will only be accepted at the request of the Inspector.

You must return this form by 5pm on Monday 13 July 2015.

You can e-mail it to:

Programme.officer@shropshire.gov.uk

**Or return by post to:** Daphne Woof - Programme Officer, c/o Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

The Programme Officer will acknowledge receipt of comments submitted by e-mail.