


#68

**COMPLETE****Collector:** New Link (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, April 28, 2014 9:22:43 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, April 28, 2014 9:29:10 AM**Time Spent:** 00:06:27**IP Address:** 2.31.12.145

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: Martyn Lloyd Thomas

Address:

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone?

No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

proposals map

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?

Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant No

Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective,

Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

The urban settlement to the boundary of Halfway House is illogical. It should cover all of the land west of Marche Lane extending from the current A458 to the original route. It is illogical that the urban settlement should include Bank Villa, a site which has planning permission for residential development.

The current plan is unsound also because the Council has not provided sufficient land supply for five years inconsistent with the NPPF. Additional land should be made and the settlement of Halfway House could accommodate some of this given the illogical boundaries of this settlement.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Revise the urban settlement boundary of this village.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 6: Finally...

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation

PAGE 7

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.

Respondent skipped this question

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)

When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes