

For Shropshire Council use

Respondent no:

Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan

Pre-Submission Draft (Final Plan) 17 March 2014 – 28 April 2014

Representations Form

Please note you can also make representations to the SAMDev Pre-Submission Draft using our online form via:

www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Independent Planning Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this representations form please see the guidance notes available on the Council's website at www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev.

Your details: Who is making this representation?

Name:	Yvonne Roberts
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

If you are acting as an Agent, please use the following box to tell us who you are acting for:

Name:	
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

Your Representations

<u>Please note</u>, you must use a separate form for each representation you wish to make.

(Please refer to the accompanying Guidance Notes on Making Representations when completing this section)

In the box below please give the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map your representation relates to:

Schedule 5.1a: Allocat	ted Housing	g Sites: CST	R019	
Is your representation in	n support o	r objection?	(please tick as app	ropriate)
	es □ es √	No √ No □		
In respect of your repre Policies Map, do you co				on of the
Legally compliant Sound	Yes T	No No	$\sqrt{}$	
If your representation content whether this is because			· ·	lease say
Positively prepared				
Justified			_	

In the box below please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary).

Effective

Consistent with National Policy

There is not clear evidence of participation of the local community. The proposal that was publicly consulted upon was for 25 houses, not 50 as the proposal now states.

The scale of the increase is significant enough, given the siting of the proposed building development in the AONB, within 500m of Ancient Woodland and in clear view from the Cardingmill Valley tourist destination and the Long Mynd, to consider it as a different scheme to that consulted upon.

Please use the box below to explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMDev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound? You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

My suggested revision to the SAMDev plan is to reduce the scheme to 25 houses **or** to publicise the outline planning permission application for the site, which has already been made, to the residents of Church Stretton, with full details of the proposal and information on how they can make their views known through either supporting or objecting to the application.

Please be sure that you have provided all the information necessary to support your representations and any changes you are proposing. After this stage you will not be able to make any further representations about the SAMDev Plan to Shropshire Council. Any further submissions will only be possible at the invitation of the Inspector conducting the examination, who may seek additional information about the issues he/she has identified.

Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

pursue my ons through on.	√				
If you wish to attend the examination, please explain why you think this is necessary in the box below:					

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

When the SAMDev Plan has been submitted for examination	
When the Inspector's Report is published	
When the SAMDev Plan is adopted	

Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 28 April 2014

You can e-mail it to:

Planning.policy@shropshire.gov.uk

Or return it to: Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

Please note, we will acknowledge receipt of representations made by email.

Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000

Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Pre-Submission SAMDev Plan you confirm that you agree to this.



For Shropshire Council use

Respondent no:

Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan

Pre-Submission Draft (Final Plan) 17 March 2014 – 28 April 2014

Representations Form

Please note you can also make representations to the SAMDev Pre-Submission Draft using our online form via:

www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Independent Planning Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this representations form please see the guidance notes available on the Council's website at www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev.

Your details: Who is making this representation?

Name:	Yvonne Roberts
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

If you are acting as an Agent, please use the following box to tell us who you are acting for:

Name:	
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

Your Representations

Please note, you must use a separate form for each representation you wish to make.

(Please refer to the accompanying Guidance Notes on Making Representations when completing this section)

In the box below p Map your represe	<u> </u>		graph or sec	ction of th	e Policies
Schedule 5.1a: A	llocated Housing	g Sites: CS	TR019		
Is your representa	ition in support o	or objection	? (please tic	k as app	ropriate)
Support	Yes	No √			
Object	Yes √	No 🗆			
In respect of your Policies Map, do y	•	•		n or secti	on of the
Legally comp	oliant Yes	□ No	√		
Sound	Yes	☐ No			
If your representate whether this is because				sound, p	lease say
Positively prepa	ired				
Justified					
Effective					
Consistent with	National Policy	/	_		
In the box below If you are objecting having regard to the not positively prep (Continue on a sepa	g, you should ma he issues of 'lega pared, justified, e arate sheet if nece	ake clear v al compliar effective or	why the docunce' or wheth	ment is uner the do	unsound ocument is
Non-compliance	with SC6.				
The proposal at	Schedule 5.1a s	states that	t is in compl	iance wit	h CS6.
SC6 states that: " proposals like in accessible loc public transport reduced"		portunities	for walking,	cycling a	and use of
Scheme CSTR0 will generate jus					

"The site is not within easy walking distance of a bus stop with a regular

service, the school, the town centre or the main employment area." It is over a mile to the town centre and over 1.5 miles to the schools.

SC6 also states that all development "protects, restores, conserves and enhances the natural, built and historic environment and is appropriate in scale, density, pattern and design taking into account the local context and character......"

When this scheme was put out to public consultation, it was for a development of 25 houses. The current plan proposes 50 houses. The total of 25 was of a similar density to the adjoining development (the Battlefield Estate) to the West and further down the slope. Even at 25 houses, it was of a density that is above that of the other neighbouring development - Oakland Park development to the South. A density of 50 houses is non-compliant with SC6 as stated above. This is of particular importance given that, as the South Shropshire Landscape Sensitivity and Capacity Mapping report states, this site (reference SSCS3-81) is ".... visible from the valley floor on the opposite side in places and also from the Long Mynd" The report concludes that "larger plots with significant planting within and native tree planting on the site boundaries to soften the overall appearance may be appropriate here" This refers only to plot CSTR019/R - not to the access plot adjacent to it. Doubling the density is contrary to the Landscape Sensitivity and Capacity Mapping report for this specific site within the AONB area and with the duty under SC6 (as quoted above) to protect such landscape.

The definition, in terms of capacity, of such conformity with the surrounding landscape was agreed by the site agents on behalf of the site owners, as recorded in the Church Stretton Town Council minutes for 5th February, 2013:

Please use the box below to explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMDev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound? You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

The proposed development should be reduced to 25 houses to ensure compliance with the above stated policies.

Please be sure that you have provided all the information necessary to support your representations and any changes you are proposing. After this stage you will not be able to make any further representations about the SAMDev Plan to Shropshire Council. Any further submissions will only be possible at the invitation of the Inspector conducting the examination, who may seek additional information about the issues he/she has identified.

Do you consider it necessary examination?	to attend and give evidence at the	
Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination.	No, I wish to pursue my representations through this written representation.	
If you wish to attend the examina necessary in the box below:	ation, please explain why you think this	is
_	any of the following? Please tick all the the details you have given above.	at
When the SAMDev Plan has be	een submitted for examination $\sqrt{}$	
When the Inspector's Report is	published $\sqrt{}$	
When the SAMDev Plan is ado	pted $\sqrt{}$	

Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 28 April 2014

You can e-mail it to:

Planning.policy@shropshire.gov.uk

Or return it to: Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

Please note, we will acknowledge receipt of representations made by email.

Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000
Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Pre-Submission SAMDev Plan you confirm that you agree to this.