



For Shropshire
Council use

Respondent
no:

Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan

**Pre-Submission Draft (Final Plan)
17 March 2014 – 28 April 2014**

Representations Form

Please note you can also make representations to the SAMDev Pre-Submission Draft using our online form via:

www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Independent Planning Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this representations form please see the guidance notes available on the Council's website at www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev.

Your details: Who is making this representation?

Name: Julia	Parker
Organisation (if applicable):	Private resident
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

If you are acting as an Agent, please use the following box to tell us who you are acting for:

Name: n/a	
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

Your Representations

Please note, you must use a separate form for each representation you wish to make.

(Please refer to the accompanying Guidance Notes on Making Representations when completing this section)

In the box below please give the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map your representation relates to:

S 2.2(iv) 5.2 5.2i

Is your representation in support or objection? (please tick as appropriate)

Support	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Object	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, do you consider the SAMDev Plan is:

Legally compliant	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If your representation considers the SAMDev Plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is not (*Please tick all that apply*):

Positively prepared	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Justified *	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective	<input type="checkbox"/>
Consistent with National Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>

In the box below please specify your reason for supporting or objecting.

If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary).

S2.2(iv) Lydbury North Development Policy

I have no confidence in the validity of the survey used as a basis for the plan. I believe there is a high probability of bias in the responses.

There has not been direct access to information about the plan.

Neither of these are actually illegal but the research basis of the plans is non-representative and skewed against those directly involved. We have not had access to decisions made by the Parish Council. The proposed plan did not highlight that the 4 sites are very close together, bringing 20 new houses to one side of the village. The 3 adjacent sites by the garage will affect only 13 households and the proposed total of 20 houses will increase the number of houses in the parish by almost 10%.

There can be no confidence in data reported without baseline figures. It is statistically likely that the majority of responses would be positive since the majority of households are not affected by chosen site developments' traffic or infrastructure. In addition, the figures for the eastern sites were aggregated and the low number of responses and any quoted differences are known to be likely to be statistically invalid.

The Parish Council's process for ensuring the parish householders are informed regularly with accurate and up to date information is inadequate. I only heard about the plan to build 16 houses nearby from Mr H. Needham , the owner of site LYD009 a few days ago. My neighbours also knew nothing. I consider the Parish Council should have published their agendas and minutes more widely. The village website is no longer working and notes are only posted on the village noticeboard. The village newsletter does not include this information.

Mr Needham does not want his site LYD009 to be included in the proposals and feels the Parish Council has not acted properly in its dealings with him. From what he has told me, this appears to be the case.

5.2 and 5.2i

A stream runs alongside the road below our house and feeds into a stream that is the home of the Freshwater Pearl Mussel. This is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC).

Please use the box below to explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMDev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound? You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

5.2 and 5.2i Amend paragraph:

Development will respect the character of the village and its heritage assets particularly within the central Conservation Area and will also respect the setting of the village within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB).

to:

Development will respect the character of the village and its heritage assets particularly within the central Conservation Area, the Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and will also respect the setting of the village within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)

S2.2 (iv)

Amend the paragraph:

Proposals will form part of an emerging community led plan providing additional guidance and helping to inform planning decisions in the parish.

to:

Proposals will form part of an emerging community led plan developed in regular consultation with the villagers, particularly those directly affected by the developments, which can be accessed easily and scrutinised to provide additional guidance so villagers and other parishioners can help inform planning decisions in the parish.

Please be sure that you have provided all the information necessary to support your representations and any changes you are proposing. After this stage you will not be able to make any further representations about the SAMDev Plan to Shropshire Council. Any further submissions will only be possible at the invitation of the Inspector conducting the examination, who may seek additional information about the issues he/she has identified.

Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination.

☐

No, I wish to pursue my representations through this written representation.

☐

If you wish to attend the examination, please explain why you think this is necessary in the box below:

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

When the SAMDev Plan has been submitted for examination	
---	--

When the Inspector's Report is published	*
When the SAMDev Plan is adopted	

Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 28 April 2014

You can e-mail it to:

Planning.policy@shropshire.gov.uk

Or return it to: Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

Please note, we will acknowledge receipt of representations made by e-mail.

Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000

Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Pre-Submission SAMDev Plan you confirm that you agree to this.