

For Shropshire Council use

Respondent no:

Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan

Pre-Submission Draft (Final Plan) 17 March 2014 – 28 April 2014

Representations Form

Please note you can also make representations to the SAMDev Pre-Submission Draft using our online form via:

www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Independent Planning Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this representations form please see the guidance notes available on the Council's website at www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev.

Your details: Who is making this representation?

Name: Cliv	e Roberts		
Organisation (if applicable):	Kembertons		
Address:	71 Court Street, Madeley, Telford, Shropshire TF7 5EP		
Email: kembertons@aol.com			
Telephone:	01952 585020		

If you are acting as an Agent, please use the following box to tell us who you are acting for:

Name:	
Organisation (if applicable):	Galliers Homes Ltd
Address:	Old Bank Chambers, Bellstone, Shrewsbury, Shropshire
Email:	
Telephone:	

Your Representations

<u>Please note, you must use a separate form for each representation you wish to make.</u>

(Please refer to the accompanying Guidance Notes on Making Representations when completing this section)

In the box below please give the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map your representation relates to:

MD7a: Housing Development in the Countryside							
Is your representation in support or objection? (please tick as appropriate)							
Support Ye		No 🗆					
Object Ye	S 🔛	No □					
In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, do you consider the SAMDev Plan is:							
Legally compliant	Yes 🛄	No 🗌					
Sound	Yes 🔲	No 🛄					

If your representation considers the SAMDev Plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is not (*Please tick all that apply*):

Positively prepared	YES
Justified	YES
Effective	YES
Consistent with National Policy	YES

In the box below please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary).

The principle of guiding development to named sustainable settlements is supported.

However, a number of settlements that are indicated in the draft SAMDev DPD as being appropriate to accept further development are left without development boundaries. It is assumed that land outside development boundaries is to be regarded as open countryside, within which development will be restricted.

The lack of development boundaries gives rise to confusion as to whether particular parcels of land are to be regarded as part of the settlement and therefore potentially developable or are in open countryside and therefore not candidates for development. There is no certainty for developers, landowners or investors.

Please use the box below to explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMDev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound? You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

The plan should be revised either by the provision of development boundaries for all settlements where development is to be permitted, or criteria devised that can be used by developers, landowners and investors to assess whether a particular site is likely to be regarded as being within a settlement where development will be allowed or in open countryside.

Please be sure that you have provided all the information necessary to support your representations and any changes you are proposing. After this stage you will not be able to make any further representations about the SAMDev Plan to Shropshire Council. Any further submissions will only be possible at the invitation of the Inspector conducting the examination, who may seek additional information about the issues he/she has identified.

Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination.	YES	No, I wish to pursue my representations through this written representation.	
--	-----	--	--

If you wish to attend the examination, please explain why you think this is necessary in the box below:

The issues that are objected to need to be explored with a wide range of other interested parties having a wide range of opinions both in terms of the problems themselves and the possible solutions.

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

When the SAMDev Plan has been submitted for examination	
When the Inspector's Report is published	YES
When the SAMDev Plan is adopted	YES

Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 28 April 2014

You can e-mail it to:

Planning.policy@shropshire.gov.uk

Or return it to: Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

Please note, we will acknowledge receipt of representations made by email.

<u>Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000</u>
Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Pre-Submission SAMDev Plan you confirm that you agree to this.