For Shropshire Council use



# Shropshire

#### Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan

#### Pre-Submission Draft (Final Plan) 17 March 2014 – 28 April 2014

#### **Representations Form**

#### Please note you can also make representations to the SAMDev Pre-Submission Draft using our online form via: www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Independent Planning Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this representations form please see the guidance notes available on the Council's website at www.shropshire.gov.uk/samdev.

# Name: Organisation Organisation (if applicable): Tasley Estates Ltd Address: Email: Telephone:

#### Your details: Who is making this representation?

## If you are acting as an Agent, please use the following box to tell us who you are acting for:

Name:	
Organisation (if applicable):	Stansgate Planning (KW/RJB/K/6225)
Address:	9 The Courtyard, Timothy's Bridge Road, Stratford upon Avon CV37 9NP
Email:	rachel@stansgate.co.uk
Telephone:	01789 414097

#### **Your Representations**

#### <u>Please note, you must use a separate form for each representation you</u> <u>wish to make.</u>

(Please refer to the accompanying Guidance Notes on Making Representations when completing this section)

In the box below please give the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map your representation relates to:

Table MD1.1 para	graphs 4.2-4.4
------------------	----------------

Is your representation in support or objection? (please tick as appropriate)

Support Object

I	τ			

In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, do you consider the SAMDev Plan is:

Legally compliant	Yes 🗵	No 🗌
Sound	Yes 🗵	No 🗌

Yes

If your representation considers the SAMDev Plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is not (*Please tick all that apply*):

Positively prepared	
Justified	
Effective	
Consistent with National Policy	

#### In the box below please specify your reason for supporting or objecting.

If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary).

Tasley Estates cautiously support the number of dwellings identified in Table MD1.1 column '*Remaining to deliver to 2016*' as it appears the commitments figure is not discounted to allow for non-implementation and therefore if any sites fail to be delivered the planned number of dwellings may not be reached.

It is usual to provide an allowance for non-implementation of around 10% which would result in a higher number of dwellings remaining to be delivered in order to give the best chance of meeting the planned requirement.

Where the Market Towns are concerned the number of dwellings remaining to be found at 5,372 is to be viewed as a minimum having regard to the absence of a non-implementation allowance, and this number compared to the allocations made amounting to just over 5,000 (excluding Much Wenlock) could therefore lead to

under delivery.

Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.4 note that allocations in excess of the requirements are provided for and *'this position builds in extra resilience into the Plan by providing flexibility and for choice and competition in the market*<sup>'</sup>. If this is the case this should provide some comfort although the figures in the table do not appear to show any excess.

Please use the box below to explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMDev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound? You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the Policies Map, and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please be sure that you have provided all the information necessary to support your representations and any changes you are proposing. After this stage you will not be able to make any further representations about the SAMDev Plan to Shropshire Council. Any further submissions will only be possible at the invitation of the Inspector conducting the examination, who may seek additional information about the issues he/she has identified.

### Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination.



No, I wish to pursue my representations through this written representation.



If you wish to attend the examination, please explain why you think this is necessary in the box below:

As the issue is too complicated to deal with in writing.

**Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?** Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

When the SAMDev Plan has been submitted for examination	
When the Inspector's Report is published	
When the SAMDev Plan is adopted	

#### Please return this form by 5pm on Monday 28 April 2014

#### You can e-mail it to:

Planning.policy@shropshire.gov.uk

**Or return it to:** Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

#### Please note, we will acknowledge receipt of representations made by email.

Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000 Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Pre-Submission SAMDev Plan you confirm that you agree to this.