Hi Andy,

I've been going through my emails and can see I  $\underline{\text{was}}$  posted about the SAMDev proposals. Thanks for that.

Clearly this negates some of my comments, but I still think 'engagement' with public isn't quite what it should be. Seems too low on radar as far as I can see.

Also I think it's not just about viewing the information, there almost needs to be a 'guided tour'.

Hopefully, all positive things (sustainable building design/ reduced car speed areas / urban trees / interesting architectural features / retention of existing wildlife habitats, green corridors, allotments/incredible edible planting / community woodland/ open space provision/ cycle networks / walking routes/ links out beyond the bypass / community facilities) will all come out in the wash.

regards Tom