

#23



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** New Link (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, April 14, 2014 7:36:25 AM

**Last Modified:** Monday, April 14, 2014 9:15:41 AM

**Time Spent:** 01:39:16

**IP Address:** 86.152.77.218

## PAGE 1

### Q1: Your details:

Name: David Roll

Address:

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone? No

## PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of: Respondent skipped this question

## PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: SamDev 1.3

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection? Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant No

Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective, Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework ork

**Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

SamDev plan states: The draft SAMDev Plan has been subject to extensive consultation over several years and the responses have been used to inform the preparation of the draft Final Plan document.

The consultation statement says:

2) Shropshire Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)

2.1 The Shropshire Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) was adopted by the Council in February 2011. The document sets out the broad framework for how Shropshire Council will engage communities and organisations on planning issues across the county, including in the preparation of Planning Policy documents.

2.2 The preparation of the SAMDev Plan has followed the consultation principles established in the SCI, and in many cases exceeded them, in particular in the Pre-submission phase of production.

However, Statement of Community

Involvement for Shropshire, Adopted version - February 2011 has not been followed.

Only less than 4,000 public consultant submissions were received, mainly from companies and public bodies. Private individuals did not have access to written submissions at the road-shows which were held in 2010. Only those already involved in planning issues were invited to contribute. Other 'engaged' individuals such as those working on local neighbourhood plans, were not included. The consultation is now way out of date as the economy, the NPPF and the latest census would have changed opinions in the past 5 years.

**Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.**

The public consultation should be open to everyone and heavily publicised via mail-shots included with council tax statements. also:

, The Plain English Guide to the Localism Act states:

'Provided a neighbourhood development plan or order is in line with national planning policy, with the strategic vision for the wider area set by the local authority, and with other legal requirements, local people will be able to vote on it in a referendum. If the plan is approved by a majority of those who vote, then the local authority will bring it into force.'

I can find no evidence that any of our local authorities are complying with this. The forthcoming elections in May would be the ideal time to enact this requirement.

**Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?** Yes

## PAGE 4: Representation details 2

<b>Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:</b>	Schedule S14.1a: Housing Sites The Cottams, Morda Rd. (OSW030)
<b>Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?</b>	Object
<b>Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.</b>	
Legally compliant	No
Sound	No
<b>Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)</b>	Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective, Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework

## SAMdev consultation 2014

**Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

This site has been included in error. This schedule states: 'The Cottams, Morda Road (OSW030) Development subject to the retention of land adjoining the River Morda as open space to maintain the important physical gap to Morda village (this site is in Oswestry Rural Parish).'

Any development here would not be able to retain the land adjoining the River Morda as open space and maintain the important physical gap to Morda village. As stated the site is not in Oswestry Town and should not be included in this schedule.

The Local Parish Plan is still in preparation and will not include development on this site when published.

Over 125 detailed objections to any development on this site have been submitted.

The development boundary of this site is also in error and subject to the decision of the High Court of Justice 3rd April 2000 Between Jennings Homes Ltd. and Oswestry Borough Council and should be considered by the inspector.

Any development here is unsustainable, contrary to NPPF, especially given the current permissions given for current and proposed building in the area. The local and wider infrastructure is already unable to manage current demands. That is one of the reasons why earlier proposals for this site were rejected and it should not have been included in the SamDev draft documents.

**Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.**

Remove OSW030 from the plan.

**Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?**

No

### PAGE 5: Representation details 3

**Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

### PAGE 6: Finally...

**Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?**

Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination

### PAGE 7

**Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.**

To ensure that my representation is fully understood.

**Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)**

When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes