


#30

**COMPLETE****Collector:** New Link (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, April 21, 2014 2:16:59 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, April 21, 2014 2:41:23 AM**Time Spent:** 00:24:24**IP Address:** 89.168.12.16

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name:

Amy Pattison

Organisation (if applicable)

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone?

No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

MD3

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?

Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant

No

Sound

No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective,

Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

1. The SAMDev Plan is not legally compliant because it has failed to:

1.1 fulfil its duties with regard to the Human Rights Act 1998;

1.2 fulfil its Duty to Cooperate with neighbouring local authorities in addressing the needs of gypsies and travellers;

1.3 adequately consider alternative approaches towards addressing the needs of gypsies and travellers as part of the Sustainability Appraisal Regulations; and

1.4 be consistent with the Core Strategy (policy CS12 in particular) as required by the 2012 Local Plan Regulations.

2. The SAMDev Plan is not sound because it is:

2.1 Not positively prepared - Policy CS12 states that Shropshire will allocate sites for gypsies and travellers to meet identified needs, yet it has failed to do so.

2.2 Not justified - the Council has not considered alternatives, nor has it set out why ignoring the issue is the most effective strategy.

2.3 Not effective - the SAMDev Plan's silence will not deliver what is needed. The Plan will be ineffective and fail to provide what Core Strategy policy CS12 promised.

2.4 Not consistent with national policy - The Council has ignored the requirements set out in DCLG's 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' March 2012 to identify five years' worth of specific identifiable sites, with further identified provision for years 10-15. It has failed to establish pitch targets for gypsies and travellers and plot targets for travelling show people (paragraphs 8 & 9 of the DCLG Policy).

SAMdev consultation 2014

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Undertake a full assessment of alternative approaches to meeting the needs of gypsies and travellers, including assessing alternative sites for allocation.

Make suitable provision for gypsies and travellers' housing requirements in the SAMDev Plan.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 6: Finally...

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation

PAGE 7

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.

Respondent skipped this question

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)

When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes