SAMdev consultation 2014

#55

COMPLETE

Collector: New Link (Web Link)
Started: Monday, April 28, 2014 3:55:33 AM

Last Modified: Monday, April 28, 2014 4:53:00 AM

Time Spent: 00:57:27 IP Address: 194.116.198.185

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: Paul Clarke

Organisation (if applicable) Carter Jonas LLP

Address: South Pavillion, Sansaw Business Park, Hadnall,

Shrew sbury, SY4 4AS

Email: paul.clarke@carterjonas.co.uk

Telephone: 01939 210172

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone?

Yes

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:

Name: Murray Family & Baker Family

Address: c/o Carter Jonas LLP. South Pavillion, Sansaw

Business Park, Hadnall, Shresbury, SY4 4AS

Email: paul.clarke@carterjonas.co.uk

Telephone: 01939 210172

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details MD5: Sites for Sand and Gravel Working for your first representation relates to:

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?

Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant Yes

Sound

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective

SAMdev consultation 2014

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

The SAMDev Plan states that the 'Existing Reserve at Operational & Committed Sites' is 8.96 million tonnes, where this is "the mineral which could be produced at maximum output during the period 2012-2026".

Within this allocation is an allowance in respect of minerals at Barnsley Lane. The reserve allocated to Barnsley Lane is understood to be in excess of 1.5 million tonnes.

Barnsley Lane is a historic permission for extraction granted in 2004 subject to a s106 agreement that was not resolved. Since 2004 it is known that operator interest has been surrendered at Barnsley Lane due to a proven doubt over the quality of winnable mineral. This decision was made following an exercise of intrusive exploratory works in 2011 by the previous encumbant operator company. The SAMDev does not take into account that the allocation at Barnsley Lane has since been proven as being largely barren and a commercially non-viable prospect.

This issue of Barnsley Lane demonstrating it's viability is further compounded by split legal title of the surface land and underlying mineral along with a right of way being required over a third party's landholding in order to legitimately access and egress the proposed working area. At the date of writing, it is understood that no rights exist in favour of a mineral operator being afforded the ability to occupy land at Barnsley Lane, win/work minerals or export product via the preferrred means of access across neighbouring land.

For geological and occupational/access/legal reasoning, there has to be some considerable doubt as to whether Barnsley Lane represents a deliverable mineral prospect suitable for allocation within the SAMDev.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Minerals at Canebuff have previously been excluded from the SAMDev in recognition of Barnsley Lane serving as a preferred alternative

Cannebuff represents a proven and long established mineral prospect capable of serving the requirements of the SAMDev over the coming plan period. The Council have previously recognised the evidence base for establishing the Cannebuff land as a valid mineral resource and no material change of circumstances has since occured to detract from such evidence base

Whereas there are recognisable issues as to the ownership arrangement at Barnsley Lane and the ability of securing the rights of access and working to exploit mineral at this location, it can be confirmed on behalf of the owners of the land and mineral at Cannebuff (the Baker family and the Murray family) that support in principle is offered to the occupation of their landholding for the purpose of exploiting and exporting the demonstrable resource of mineral beneath.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	Respondent skipped this question
Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?	Respondent skipped this question
Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	Respondent skipped this question
Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	Respondent skipped this question

SAMdev consultation 2014

Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	Respondent skipped this question
Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	Respondent skipped this question
Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	Respondent skipped this question
Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 6: Finally...

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?	No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation
---	--

PAGE 7

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is ncessary.	Respondent skipped this question
Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (w	ve will contact you using the details you have provided)
When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes