

#50



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** New Link (Web Link)

**Started:** Sunday, April 27, 2014 4:35:16 AM

**Last Modified:** Sunday, April 27, 2014 5:49:45 AM

**Time Spent:** 01:14:29

**IP Address:** 146.90.214.194

## PAGE 1

### Q1: Your details:

Name: Tim Weaver

Organisation (if applicable)

Email:

Telephone:

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone? No

## PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of: Respondent skipped this question

## PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: S2.2 (iv) Lydbury North

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection? Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant No

Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) Not positively prepared, Not justified

**Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

S2.2 (iv) Lydbury North Development Policy

My objections are as follows:

- (i) the survey does not accurately reflect or determine the views and the needs of the whole community
- (ii) no direct access to information about the plan and its progress

The plans appear non-representative of the whole community and in particular those most likely to be affected. This lack of information results in those who are directly involved not having access to the decisions made by the Parish Council, who in turn have not recently consulted with the community.

1. There has been no accurate information for the results of the surveys/consultations with the community.

The proposal to build 20 houses represents an increase of about 10% on four sites clustered and partly joined in one part of the village and only affects 13 households. The information given by Shropshire Council at a Parish Council meeting was that that the majority of the parish were for this development. Considering that there are about 250 households in Lydbury North village alone, and even more across the whole parish, the impact is high on a very small proportion of households. No detail of the data has been provided, including any baseline figure. The accuracy, relevance and validity of the survey/consultations is highly questionable and biased because:

- a) the majority of households in the parish are not affected by the development sites chosen
- b) the figures shown for the eastern sites are aggregated
- c) the low numbers of responses and the 'differences' identified are therefore skewed and therefore cannot be accepted as true or valid.

2. The Parish Council have failed to inform and update householders with information:

- a) no information, updates, minutes posted on the village website and which has in the last few weeks been closed/taken offline.
  - b) no information or updates published in the monthly community newsletter
  - c) no information or updates published in the Parish Council's own irregular newsletter
- process for informing and updating householders is unacceptably poor: and up to date information on this process in my view is inadequate.

In short, neither the Parish Council or Shropshire Council are not communicating with the community it represents as required under Section 2.5 'Statement of Community Involvement for Shropshire' (Adopted Version 2011).

**Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.**

S2.2 (iv)

Amend the paragraph:

'Proposals will form part of an emerging Community led plan providing additional guidance and helping to inform planning decisions in the parish.'

to:

'Proposals will form part of an emerging Community led plan developed in regular consultation with the villagers, particularly those directly affected by the developments. The proposals, findings and results must be easily accessible, properly scrutinised and provide further guidance so that the whole community play a fair and relevant part to inform planning decisions in the parish.'

**Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?**

No

## PAGE 4: Representation details 2

**Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

# SAMdev consultation 2014

**Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

## PAGE 5: Representation details 3

**Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

## PAGE 6: Finally...

**Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?**

No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation

## PAGE 7

**Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)**

When the Inspector's report is published

Yes