

#31

**COMPLETE****Collector:** New Link (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, April 21, 2014 6:00:27 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, April 21, 2014 6:33:04 AM**Time Spent:** 00:32:37**IP Address:** 86.134.161.22

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: Douglas Dalton

Organisation (if applicable)

you acting on behalf of anyone?

No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

balanced housing 5.23

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?

Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant No

Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective,

Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

You have taken no notice of what we locals suggested. 1,200 houses are far too many for this area. You have decided to provide two sites for future industry, as you well know we have the camp plus the site on the STOURBRIDGE ROAD not full or used at all, WHY MORE on green field sites? 700 extra houses tacked on to town swamp the area; ie schools, road access and will not benefit BRIDGNORTH at all.

Why on earth do you want to move the cattle market?

It seems to me that you are determined to swamp our town, because you can.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

sections, 5.23 5.24 5.25 5.26 5.27 5.29 5.30. Are all related to section 8.

Use brown field sites, there are some! Just look.

Please do not swamp our town.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?	No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation
--	--

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
---	---

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)	
When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes