

#59

**COMPLETE****Collector:** New Link (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, April 28, 2014 6:55:35 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, April 28, 2014 7:29:50 AM**Time Spent:** 00:34:15**IP Address:** 158.230.100.102

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: Patrick How ell

Address:

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone?

No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Schedule S3.1a: Housing Sites [Bridgnorth]

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?

Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant Yes

Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Not positively prepared, Not justified

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Logically, the lowest impact site for new housing would be the area of land surrounding Stanmore industrial estate. This would be low visual/environmental impact, with easy access to local employment on the industrial estate and on the Stourbridge Rd, and in the ideal location for commuters to Wolverhampton/Stourbridge.

Para 5.24 in the explanations section states: "Great concerns were raised by the local community about the concentration of development at Tasley and crossing the bypass, but at the present time the town has little option but to extend in a north-west direction due to Green Belt, topographical and landscape constraints in all other directions. It is recognised that the Green Belt will need to be reviewed in the next Local Plan review"

Review of the Green Belt allocation should have been included in the current plan - failure to do this has resulted in a heavily imbalanced plan, with disproportionate and damaging development in the Tasley area. This area has already been the focus of previous greenfield developments.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Review Green Belt allocations as part of the CURRENT plan, with a view to removing green belt protection from area surrounding Stanmore industrial estate, and introducing an equal area of green belt protection in the Tasley area currently hallmarked for house building. Any new build to be limited to the Stanmore area

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?	No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation
--	--

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<hr/>	
Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)	
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes