

#65

**COMPLETE****Collector:** New Link (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, April 28, 2014 8:02:04 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, April 28, 2014 8:40:29 AM**Time Spent:** 00:38:25**IP Address:** 78.147.45.26

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: B Robertson-Williams

Organisation (if applicable): .

Address:

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone? No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of: *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: OSW004

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection? Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant No

Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) Not positively prepared, Not justified, Not effective, Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

The council has ignored all the assessments other than those commissioned by the developers. There have been no independent expert opinions that support the soundness of the inclusion of OSW004 presented to the public. Public & expert opinions & reports against the plans have been ignored & sidestepped, the council have completely failed to justify the plans in any way relating to any of the above criteria

An encroachment this close to a scheduled monument as important as Stonehenge, flies in the face of public opinion, expert opinion, common sense & the local & national interest. The current view looking over Whittington Road shows the fort in its context & setting. The development would completely block this, thereby causing "significant harm". As does the view of the development looking from the hillfort.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

OSW004 should be permanently removed from SamDev

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

Respondent skipped this question

Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Respondent skipped this question

Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Respondent skipped this question

Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Respondent skipped this question

Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?	No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation
--	--

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
---	---

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)	
When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	No
When the Inspector's report is published	No
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	No