


#56

**COMPLETE****Collector:** New Link (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, April 28, 2014 5:00:49 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, April 28, 2014 5:17:10 AM**Time Spent:** 00:16:21**IP Address:** 86.143.65.170

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name:

Dr Rachel Pope FSA

Organisation (if applicable)

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone?

No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:

OSW004

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?

Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant

No

Sound

No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)

Not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Inclusion of OSW004 in the SAMdev ignores NPPF guidance re. the national significance of Old Oswestry Hillfort and the fact that the setting of such an important heritage asset should not be subject to development.

In making this statement, I have the support of numerous high-ranking academics in the field, including Emeritus Professor Sir Barry Cunliffe (Oxford), Emeritus Professor Dame Rosemary Cramp (Durham), and Professor Mary Beard (Cambridge). The issue is currently being taken to the All Party Parliamentary Archaeology Group and SCC should be aware of this.

I believe that SCC has been negligent in its duty to protect our national heritage under NPPF guidelines.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove OSW004 from the SAMdev.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?

No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 6: Finally...

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination

PAGE 7

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.

It may help to hear from an expert in the field of British archaeology.

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)

When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes